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ABSTRACT

Intonation plays an essential role in verbal communication. Besides, intonation patterns that influence information transmission in speeches have always been a significant research focus in current years. Based on Halliday's intonation systems, this study investigated the intonation features, including tonality, tonicity and tone shown in speeches of Chinese EFL learners and English native speakers. In the study, the acoustic tool Praat and Excel were employed to label the data and analyze the obtained statistics. Results indicated that Chinese students were able to use tone groups and marked tonicity to emphasize the focus of information units. However, there existed some improper and excessive use of tonality segmentation and marked tonicity. Moreover, Chinese students showed more changeable tones when delivering speeches, which might create an understanding barrier of listeners. In order to improve Chinese EFL learners' use of intonation, a more thorough comprehension of the information units, key focus and meaning of each tone is required.

1. INTRODUCTION

Intonation is the change of pitch of the whole utterance and is the pattern of pitch movement. It is also a means of expression, and one of its most essential functions is an emotional function (Zhang, Chao, Huang et al., 2020). Besides, intonation also has a specific function of semantic expression. The function of intonation can be divided into two dimensions, including emotional and semantic facets. The emotional function includes indicating statement, question, imperative and exclamation, while semantic intonation generally expresses happiness, fear, sadness or disgust (Cao, 2002). Therefore, as one of the important means to carry information in oral English communication, mastering and using intonation properly and correctly should be regarded as a significant and necessary goal (Yang, 2020). Currently, those intonational studies about expression and communication mainly focused on intonational studying and education (Zou, 2020; Yang, 2020); intonational perception and therapy (Han, Zhang & Xiao, 2020; He, Yang et al., 2020; Steffman, 2019); or the epistemic meanings realized by intonation (Honnet, Grazov et al., 2018; O'Grady, 2020). However, to our knowledge, there were limited studies that paid much attention to the function of intonation in presenting speeches, although intonation played exactly an important role in transmitting oral information (Chen, 2008). One of the useful methods for improving the teaching and education of English intonation...
is to make a comparison of the intonation between the learners and native speakers. Through finding out the
difference, the incorrect or improper use of English intonation by Chinese students can be found and summarized.
Thus, this study probed into the intonational characteristics of speeches by Chinese English as foreign language
learners (EFL), which were compared with that of English native speakers. Under the framework of Halliday’s
intonation systems (1967), the tonality segmentation, the use of marked tonicity and distinctive tones that speakers
used in speeches were investigated. Through the comparison of intonation features, this research hopes to provide
some suggestions for the intonation teaching and improvement of Chinese EFL learners. Three questions guided the
exploration of the present studies.
1) What are the features of the tonality segmentation of the two groups of speakers?
2) What are the features of the tonicity employed by two groups of speakers?
3) What is the frequency of different tones that were used by two groups of speakers?

1.1. DEFINITIONS OF INTONATION

With regard to the definitions of intonation, a kind of suprasegmental phenomena with pitch movement as the
main characteristics in human language (Chen & Bi, 2015; Chen, 2009), there existed the broad and narrow
descriptions. Broadly speaking, intonation involved pitch, intensity, speech length, pause, stress, rhythm and some
relevant suprasegmental events (Allen, 1971; Cruttenden, 2002; Halliday, 1967; Ladd, 1996; Tench, 1996). However,
in a narrow sense, pitch rising and falling from the aspect of the acoustic, phonetic and phonological level were
investigated and considered (Chen, 2009). Based on the broad definition, intonation could be employed to indicate
the grammatical boundaries and the syntactic structure of the utterance. Moreover, the information focus could be
transmitted by the prominent part within an intonation contour (Crystal, 1969; 1975; Halliday, 1967).

1.2. HALLIDAY’S 3-T THEORY

The intonation system encompassed three different systems, including the tonality, the tonicity and the tone
(Halliday, 1967). From the perspective of intonation systems, tonality could split the utterance into several tone
groups. These tone groups were the basic components of English intonation. Tonicity played the core role in one
tone group and represented the information focus of that information unit as well. There were two kinds of tonicity,
of which one was the marked tonicity, and another one was the unmarked tonicity. Most of the tonicity was located
at the last lexical item of the tone group and was called as unmarked tonicity (Crystal, 1975). However, when the
speaker needed to emphasize some specific information, the information focus might be put on the items other than
the last lexical item within one tone unit. At this time, this kind of tonicity was regarded as the marked tonicity. When
there was no special purpose of expression or explanation, using the unmarked tonicity was the relatively best choice
(Halliday & Greaves, 2008). Another part of the intonation system was the tone, which could be described as the
pitch trajectory on the tonicity. As stated by Halliday (2008), five distinctive tones (Tone 1, Tone 2, Tone 3, Tone 4
and Tone 5) composed of the primary tone system and two further kinds of intonation contour (Tone 13 and Tone
53).

Figure 1: Illustration of the simple tones (Halliday & Greaves, 2008)
This study used Halliday's intonation system as the theoretical framework and regarded the tonality, the tonicity and the tone as three research focuses.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. RESEARCH SUBJECTS AND DESCRIPTION OF THE CORPUS

English native speakers and Chinese EFL learners were the research subjects of the study. Their speeches were used as the research samples and for the comparison. Specifically, graduation speeches that five famous people delivered in the United States and the speeches presented by 17 Chinese students at 21st Century Coca-Cola Cup National English Contest were used as the corpus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corpus</th>
<th>Corpus source</th>
<th>Total duration</th>
<th>Topics of speeches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English native</td>
<td>Graduation speeches from famous</td>
<td>71m12s</td>
<td>Study, globalization,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>speakers</td>
<td>universities in the United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>equality, dream,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ENSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>culture, dream,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese EFL</td>
<td>Final speeches of the 21st Century</td>
<td>71m32s</td>
<td>future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learners</td>
<td>Coca-Cola Cup National English Contest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CELC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By reason of the significant distinction of the length of a single speech (for instance, 3 minutes for the single speech delivered by NNES but 15 minutes for the single speech presented by NES) by the two groups of speakers, the study tried to control the total duration, the number of words and topics of the two corpora. Moreover, in order to control the influence of syntactic structures, merely complex and simple sentences were selected for the final investigation.

2.2. RESEARCH INSTRUMENT AND DATA PROCESSING

2.2.1. TOOLS FOR PROCESSING SOUNDS

Audacity for Mac was employed for editing the original speech from two spoken corpora and eliminating the noise of speeches.
As a cross-platform, multi-functional acoustic software, Praat was mainly used for processing and analyzing the audio files in the present study. In details, the pitch curve, fundamental frequency, sound amplitude were investigated and analyzed through Praat. Besides, this tool was also employed for labelling the speech sound with reference to Halliday's (1967) intonation systems.

2.2.2. TOOLS FOR CALCULATING AND RECORDING STATISTICS

Pages is a powerful word processor that can be used to create stunning documents. In this study, Pages was firstly used for marking the syntactic structures (complex and simple sentences) of speeches. Then the tool was employed to label the intonation of speeches. Another tool that the study used to analyze the statistics was IBM SPSS. It was used to do the descriptive analysis, calculating the mean value of the frequency, and some relevant information.

2.2.3. DATA LABELLING

Halliday's tone system (1967) was the basis of the labelling process. T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T13 and T53 were used to mark different tones that speakers used in speeches. When processing the sound file, the words of the utterance were first recorded. After that, the tones of each tone group were labelled under the framework of the tone system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tone 1</td>
<td>Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 2</td>
<td>Rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 3</td>
<td>Level rising</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Description of tones
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In order to compare the features of using tonality segmentation by the two groups of speakers, statistics including mean, sum and distribution were analyzed and presented.

Table 2 listed below shows the frequency of using the tonality by English native speakers (NES) and non-native English speakers (NNES) in both simple and complex sentences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tone 4</td>
<td>Fall rising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 5</td>
<td>Rise falling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 13</td>
<td>Fall + level rising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone 53</td>
<td>Rise falling + level rising</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of the tonality segmentation by the two groups of speakers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Simple</th>
<th>Complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NNES</td>
<td>NES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Distribution=Number of tonality / Number of complex sentences)

In simple sentences, NNES (mean=47>mean=26; sum=796>sum=427) used more tonality segmentation than NES. Besides, the difference between the distribution of the use of tonality segmentation (NNES=3; NES=1.2) indicated that Chinese EFL learners were more likely to use several tone groups in one simple sentence. But English native speakers preferred to use one tone group when there was a single simple sentence. When it came to complex sentences, there was no significant difference in the use of tonality segmentation of NNES and NES. Nevertheless, Chinese EFL learners divided the sentences more frequently (distribution: NNES=5.5>NES=4.4) than native English speakers.

For the sake of illustrating the distinction of the use of tonality segmentation, two examples were listed here.

Example:
1) //Now / I've / given / a / lot of / speeches / about / education // (NES-2)
2) // Have / you // ever // bought / any / food // on / the / train // And // do / you / ask // for / the / receipt // after / buying / it // (NNES-3)

Examples listed above show the use of tone groups in several simple sentences by native English speakers and Chinese EFL learners. In Example 1), NES-2 used merely one tone group when uttering this simple sentence. However, as for Example 2), Chinese students used more than one tone group when presenting simple sentences. The excessive employment of tonality segmentation in simple sentences probably caused the understanding barrier of listeners.

Based on the description of statistics and the explanation of examples listed above, it could draw an inference that Chinese students could consciously use tone groups to divide the sentence into several information units. However, improper or excessive use of tonality segmentation might cause the barrier of listeners' understanding.

3.1. TONICITY OF NATIVE ENGLISH SPEAKERS AND CHINESE EFL LEARNERS

When focusing on the tonicity used by the two groups of speakers, two distinctive facets were considered. The first one is the frequency of using marked tonicity, which was represented by the number of times the marked tonicity was employed in the speech. The second one is the location, which was mainly reflected by the part of speech of the items.
Table 3 below presented the frequency of marked tonicity that NES and NNES used in their speeches.

Table 3: Comparison of the frequency of marked tonicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Simple</th>
<th>Complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NNES</td>
<td>NES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution¹</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution²</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Notes: Distribution¹ = Number of marked tonicity / Number of simple sentences; Distribution² = Mean of number of marked tonicity / Mean of number of tone groups)

On the whole, in both simple and complex sentences, NNES were more likely to use marked tonicity than NES. No matter the mean or the sum did show the significant difference of using the marked tonicity. In complex sentences, there existed a more obvious difference in the statistics, especially in the comparison of distribution². Chinese EFL learners (distribution² = 50.2%) presented a higher distribution of using marked tonicity than native English speakers (distribution² = 45.9%).

Table 4 shows the parts of speech of marked tonicity that the Chinese students and English native speakers used in their speeches. Several parts of speech including conjunction, determiner, article, proposition and some other content words were employed when using the marked tonicity. Due to the discussion of the present study mainly concentrated on the correctness of the employment of marked tonicity, the key information involving nouns, verbs, adverbs were generalized as the content word here. From Table 4, both NES (simple = 98.40%; complex = 97.96%) and NNES (simple = 96.20%; complex = 94.85%) used marked tonicity most frequently when they spoke content words no matter in simple or complex sentences. However, there still existed some slight difference in the use of the preposition. Chinese EFL learners (simple = 2.3%; complex = 3.61%) used much more preposition than native English speakers (simple = complex = 0%) in speeches.

Table 4: Comparison of the location of marked tonicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence types</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Conjunction</th>
<th>Preposition</th>
<th>Determiner</th>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Content word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>NNES</td>
<td>2 (0.00%)</td>
<td>9 (2.30%)</td>
<td>2 (0.00%)</td>
<td>2 (0.00%)</td>
<td>376 (96.20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NES</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>2 (0.00%)</td>
<td>2 (0.00%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>244 (98.40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>NNES</td>
<td>2 (0.00%)</td>
<td>21 (3.61%)</td>
<td>3 (0.00%)</td>
<td>4 (0.00%)</td>
<td>552 (94.85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NES</td>
<td>4 (0.00%)</td>
<td>3 (0.00%)</td>
<td>2 (0.00%)</td>
<td>1 (0.00%)</td>
<td>481 (97.96%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:
3) // You’ve reached an important milestone // (NES-1)
4) // That / was / almost / 100 / years / ago // (NNES-4)

In Example 3) and Example 4), the use of marked tonicity of English native speakers and Chinese EFL learners are presented. Regarding the word “important” accentuated by NES-1, the speaker consciously put the information focus on the adjective instead of the noun at the end of the utterance. The main purpose of this kind of use might be to let listeners notice the importance of the current stage they were in (The audiences of the speech that NES-1 gave were senior students at the junior high school). As for NNES-4’s speech, the speaker emphasized the verb “was” in this simple sentence. But it was really hard for listeners to grasp the implied meaning of using this marked tonicity here. Thus, the improper location of the information focus possibly increased the understanding difficulty of listeners.

Based on the tables and figures listed above, it could be concluded that Chinese EFL learners were able to use the marked tonicity to emphasize the information focus within one utterance. However, when speaking a more complex or long sentence, it was more possible for NNES to use marked tonicity excessively. Moreover, they had some improper use of marked tonicity because of the higher proportion of the use of function words.
3.2. TONE OF NATIVE ENGLISH SPEAKERS AND CHINESE EFL LEARNERS

Based on Halliday's intonation systems, there are five simple tones (T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5) and two compound tones (T13 and T53). The frequency of each tone was counted and converted into a percentage for the comparison. Figure 6 shows the frequency of different tones that speakers used in simple sentences, and Figure 7 shows that in complex sentences.

![Figure 6](image.png)  
**Figure 6.** Comparison of tones that were used in simple sentences

![Figure 7](image.png)  
**Figure 7:** Comparison of different tones that were used in complex sentences

Based on Figure 6 and Figure 7, the proportion of the use of T1 was higher than 50% no matter in simple or complex sentences. Therefore, both Chinese EFL learners and native English speakers tended to use T1 in their speeches than any other tones. Besides, both of these two groups of speakers (NNES=0.26%; NES=0.00%) used T13 much less than other tones. Additionally, T2 and T4 were the most regularly used tones except for rise, although there was a difference of the employment of T2 and T4 between NNES (T2=11.61%; T4=17.47%) and NES.
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(T2=8.75%; T4=5.37%). But there still was the difference of using T1 between NES and NNES. NES (simple=74.55%; complex=68.61%) used T1 more frequently than NNES (simple=53.44%; complex=53.63%) when delivering speeches in the two types of sentence structures.

Example:
5) // 1 It / is / a / way / for / you / to */ understand / the / importance // 1 of */ sportmanship // (NES-1)
6) // 1 From */ strangeness */ 1 misunderstanding //1 to */ cross / cultural / resonance // 1 it / takes / three */ generations // (NNES-1)

Two examples mentioned here present the different employment of tones that English native speakers and Chinese students used in their speeches. The meaning of T1 proposed by Halliday (1967) was that it could represent “give + information”. In Example 5), NES-1 used the falling tone (T1) to directly state his point of view, making it clear and concrete for audiences to understand the speaker's logic and purpose. As for Example 6), the speaker used only the falling tones when dividing the whole sentence into four separate tone groups. However, here the adjacent sentences should have some implied logical meaning, that // it takes three generations // was the independent information. Thus, a more appropriate use of tones here should be //4//1//.

A relatively similar use of most tones demonstrated that Chinese EFL learners could express their attitude or implied some relations between sentences with the help of different tones they used. However, the distinctive proportion of T1 in simple and complex sentences indicated that Chinese students might have a more changeable tones when delivering the speech. Moreover, there was some inappropriate use the distinctive tones in Chinese students’ speeches.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on Halliday's intonation systems, the present study investigated the intonation features of speeches delivered by Chinese EFL learners and English native speakers. On account of the comparison between the two groups of speakers, Chinese students were able to use tonality segmentation and marked tonicity to make their speeches more understandable. However, the excessive use of tone groups would increase the information units and led to the burden of listeners' comprehension. Besides, improper use of marked tonicity, like putting the marked tonicity on function words, might move the information focus to inappropriate location. Moreover, Chinese students had the awareness to use distinctive tones when delivering speeches. But sometimes, quite changeable tones were not useful for Chinese EFL learners to express their attitude or the relations between two adjacent utterances. Regarding the teaching of intonation, teachers and students could pay attention to these three aspects. Firstly, students need to have a more thorough understanding of information units and try to put the boundary tone at the right place. Secondly, it is necessary for EFL learners to reduce mistakes that put marked tonicity on meaningless function words. Thirdly, when using distinctive tones, students should not make their tones quite changeable.
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