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Abstract

Background: Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI is a sensitive tool for the diagnosis of breast cancer, however, its value is limited in cases of non-mass enhancement. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is promising in the diagnosis of non-mass breast lesions.

Purpose: The aim of this study is to determine the value of diffusion-weighted imaging in the evaluation of intermediate non-mass breast lesions, as an alternative to biopsy.

Materials and Methods: Thirty-three female patients between the ages of 38-56 years (mean age, 45 years) with non-mass lesions on MR mammography were included in this study. The lowest ADC values were obtained for the non-mass breast lesions. MR-guided core-needle biopsies were performed for 20 patients, while the other patients who refused biopsy, had yearly mammography and ultrasound every six months for two years. They also had at least one follow up MR mammography within the two years’ interval.

Results: This study included 33 non-mass breast lesions detected on MR mammography. The lesion sizes ranged from 0.2 to 1.4 cm. The morphological characteristics of the lesions and their signal intensity curves on dynamic MR Mammography were recorded. For differentiation of benign and malignant lesions, a threshold ADC value of \(1.03 \times 10^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/s was used. The ADC values for all the lesions ranged from \(1.3 \times 10^{-3}\) to \(2.6 \times 10^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/s.

Conclusion: Diffusion-weighted imaging is effective in the evaluation of intermediate non-mass breast lesions on MR mammography and can be used as an alternative to biopsy.
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1. Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an excellent diagnostic method in oncology (1-4). Diffusion-Weighted Imaging (DWI) measures the degree of motion of water molecules in tissues,
which aids in the diagnosis of various diseases, including breast malignancy (5). The ADC values measured on DWI can differentiate malignant from benign breast lesions, which are different in tissue cellularity, and vascularity (6). The benefit of DW-MR mammography to characterize non-mass breast lesions is still unclear (7).

The aim of this study is to determine the value of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) on MR Mammography in the evaluation of intermediate non-mass breast lesions, as an alternative to biopsy.

2. Materials and Methods

Patient Selection
In the period from January 2015, till March 2017, 219 female patients were referred to our department for MR Mammography for further verification of sonographically-detected equivocal breast masses or for screening due to high risk of breast malignancy. From these patients, 33 patients (20 belonging to the forementioned former group and 13 belonging to the latter group) were included in the study, because of having non-mass lesions on MR Mammography. MR-guided core-needle biopsies were performed within one month of the MRI for 20 patients, while the other patients (13 in number) who refused biopsy, had regular yearly mammography and ultrasound every six months for two years. They also had at least one follow up MR mammography within the two years’ interval.

The patients’ ages varied between 38 and 56 years (mean age, 45 years). Informed written consents were obtained from each patient prior to MRI and biopsy.

MRI Technique
All MRI examinations were obtained using a 1.5 Tesla MRI (Optima MR 450W, GE Healthcare, South Carolina, USA) using a bilateral phased-array breast coil. Conventional sequences of routine breast MRI were performed for all patients. The sequences used for the conventional MRI studies were axial STIR and sagittal fat-suppressed T2-weighted (TR/TE, 3850/67.4 ms and 4664/99.8 ms, respectively; slice thickness, 5 mm; matrix, 512×512), sagittal T1-weighted (TR/TE, 542/13 ms; slice thickness, 5 mm; matrix, 512×512), diffusion-weighted and contrast-enhanced three-dimensional dynamic Water VIBRANT-Flex sequences (TR/TE, 7.1/3.3 ms; flip angle, 12°; slice thickness, 1.5 mm; matrix, 512×512). One precontrast sequence was followed by six post contrast sequences for dynamic contrast-enhanced images. Gadopentetate Dimeglumine (Magnevist; Schering, Berlin, Germany) was used as a contrast medium. The contrast medium was given intravenously over 20 s by an automatic MR-compatible injector. The dose was 0.1 mmol/kg.

The DWI sequences were performed with a two-dimensional echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TR/TE, 8700/63.2 ms; slice thickness, 5.5 mm; matrix, 256×256) in the axial plane. The images were obtained with b values of 0 and 800 mm2/s. The ADC map images were created automatically by the system.

MRI Interpretation
Contrast-enhanced dynamic sequences were first evaluated, and areas of nonmass-like enhancement were specified and correlated with the DWIs. On DWIs, the region of interest (ROI)
was placed on the areas of nonmass-like enhancement. A standard 5 mm diameter circular ROI was used. Three different measurements were obtained for each area. The lowest ADC values obtained were considered the final values, rather than the mean of the three measurements.

**Histopathological Analysis**
Core-needle biopsies were done under MR guidance for twenty patients, using EnCor Enspire breast biopsy system, BARD, USA. Evaluation was performed using slices stained with hematoxylin-eosin.

**Statistical Analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20.0. McNemar’s and Chi-square tests were used to compare proportions of variables. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

This study included 33 breast lesions detected in 33 patients. Two of the patients had positive family history of breast cancer, while one of them had a past history of cancer in the other breast three years back. One of the patients had bilateral silicone breast implants. The lesion sizes ranged from 0.2 to 1.4 cm. The mean lesion size was 0.6 cm. The morphological characteristics of the lesions are exhibited in Table 1.

#### Table 1: Morphologic characteristics of non-mass Enhancement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern of Enhancement</th>
<th>Number of Lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distribution</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal Enhancement</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Enhancement</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal Enhancement</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Enhancement</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal Enhancement Pattern</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clustered/ Ring-like Enhancement</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogeneous Enhancement</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clumped Enhancement</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the lesions were assigned BIRADS IVa, according to the ACR MRI lexicon. Some of the lesions had intermediate (Type II) signal intensity curves on dynamic MR Mammography, while others demonstrated benign (Type 1) signal intensity curves, as shown in Table 2

#### Table 2: Types of signal intensity curves of the lesions on MR Mammography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Curve</th>
<th>Number of Lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I (Benign)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II (Intermediate)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For differentiation of benign and malignant lesions, a threshold ADC value of $1.03 \times 10^{-3}$ mm2/s was used.
The lowest ADC values for all the lesions ranged from $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s to $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s (figures 1, 2, 3).

Figure 1: 46 years old woman who underwent breast MRI for further verification of right breast mass detected on mammography and ultrasound.

A, Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR image of right breast shows focal homogeneous non-mass enhancement, with type II signal intensity curve.

B, Diffusion-weighted MR image shows the non-mass lesion in the right breast.

C, Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is $2.98 \times 10^{-3}$mm$^2$/s. MRI-guided biopsy revealed fibroadenosis.
Figure 2: 39 years old woman who underwent breast MRI for suspected traumatic fat necrosis of the right breast

A, Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR image of right breast shows regional, clumped non-mass enhancement, with type I signal intensity curve.

B, Diffusion-weighted MR image shows the non-mass lesion in the right breast.

C, The lowest apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is $1.82 \times 10^{-3}\text{mm}^2/\text{s}$.

MRI-guided biopsy revealed traumatic fat necrosis.
Figure 3- 45 years old woman who underwent breast MRI for further verification of right breast mass detected on mammography and ultrasound.

**A**, Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR image of right breast shows right retro areolar homogeneous, ductal enhancement, with type II signal intensity curve.

**B**, Diffusion-weighted MR image shows the retro areolar non-mass lesion.

**C**, The lowest apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value is $2.04 \times 10^{-3}\text{mm}^2/\text{s}$.

MRI-guided biopsy revealed fibroadenosis.
MR-guided core-needle biopsies of twenty lesions showed all of them to be of benign etiology. The histopathological diagnoses of the lesions are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Histopathological diagnoses of the lesions in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of Lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibroadenosis</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic fat necrosis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

Diffusion-weighted breast imaging (DWI) has an increasingly prominent role in the radiological diagnosis of breast cancer (8).

Non-mass lesions of the breast cause high false positive results on MRI. These lesions don’t exhibit the classical kinetic patterns for differentiation of benign and malignant breast lesions and thus their patterns of enhancement cannot be used for diagnosis (9). This finding is particularly significant because the most common initial manifestation of DCIS (ductal carcinoma in-situ) is non-mass enhancement (10).

This finding is in accordance with this study, because 57% of the non-mass lesions demonstrated benign (type 1) signal intensity curves, while the rest of the lesions (43%) demonstrated (type 2) curves. Though the difference was statistically insignificant, still a large number of benign lesions demonstrated intermediate patterns of enhancement.

Previous studies did not evaluate the role of DWI in the diagnosis of malignancy in mass lesions compared to non-mass lesions (10). In addition, no reports up to this date evaluated the sensitivity and PPV of DWI in nonmass-like breast lesions, which was the objective of this research.

In DWI, if a low b value is selected (less than 400 s/mm²), the image will be affected by blood flow in the capillaries, causing pseudo-diffusion in malignant lesions (11, 12). DWI with higher b values is more favorable, with no significant effect of the resultant signal loss on the diagnosis (6, 11, 13). The ideal b value for DWI was 850 s/mm² (14). In this study, the b-value used was 800 s/mm², as per vendor.

Kinoshita et al (15) warned in their study that small lesions detected on MRI of the breast; less than one cm in diameter were easily missed. However, Partridge et al (16) stated that DWI can detect lesions of any size even the small ones. In this study, non-mass lesions of different sizes were detected on DWI, even the small ones; the size range being 0.2 to 1.4 cm.

The final ADC value for each lesion was the minimum ADC value, because of higher sensitivity and specificity than the mean ADC value (1, 17, 18).

A threshold ADC value of 1.03×10⁻³ mm²/s was used in this study to differentiate benign from malignant breast lesions, according to Şahin and Anibal (19). This ADC value provided 88.5% sensitivity and 100% PPV in their study. Other studies suggested higher ADC values for differentiation between the breast lesions; however, these were the mean and not the lowest ADC.
values. In this study, the sensitivity and PPV of DWI using this threshold ADC value were also high (100%).

All the lesions in this study were BIRADS IVa on MRI, either because of exhibiting type II signal intensity curves or because of mildly suspicious enhancement patterns, according to ACR MRI lexicon.

The limitations of this study were the small population and that no cases of ductal carcinoma in situ were included, as most of these cases were diagnosed by mammographic stereotactic biopsy of suspicious micro calcification. Further investigation is needed to verify the role of DWI in the evaluation of intermediate non-mass lesions on MR mammography.

In conclusion, diffusion-weighted imaging is effective in the evaluation of intermediate non-mass breast lesions on MR mammography and can be used as an alternative to biopsy.
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