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Abstract
Asians Indian are commonly dyslipidemia and predisposed to develop accelerated arthrosclerosis. The present study was done to critical analyze of the different anthropometric indicators of obesity such as body mass index, waist – hip ratio and waist circumference. The sample comprised of 150 females (mean age = 20.6 years) selected randomly from post graduate student of Banasthali University. A questionnaire was framed to collect the information from the respondent such regarding personal profile, physical activity and dietary pattern, different anthropometric measurements (height, weight, waist and hip circumference), BMI and WHR were calculated using standard techniques. The results of the study revealed that mean value of BMI and WHR of all respondents were 20.80 and 0.78 respectively. The result showed that according to BMI 49.3 per cent of respondents were normal while 1.3 per cent of respondents were in obese category. According to WHR prevalence of obesity was seen in 14.76 per cent of respondents. They all had risk of obesity associated diseases and other metabolic disorder while 83.39 per cent of the respondents had normal waist circumference they had no risk of metabolic complication. Thus, the study shows WHR is more useful and simple anthropometric indicator of obesity.
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1. Introduction
The anthopometry has a Greek origin meaning human (anthropes) measurement (metery). The techniques involve the measurement of whole body (body weight) and part there of physique e.g. height, thickness of skinfolds arms muscles, head and chest circumference. (Sharma, 2008).
Anthropometry is one of the most basic classification for assessing nutritional status, whether over
nutrition or under nutrition. A variety of methods are available to measure obesity and body
 thinness. However, waist circumference and waist hip ratio are useful in predicting health
 outcomes and innovative measurement of body fat or obesity. Previously WHR was used for
 assessment for abdominal fat but now a day it is shifted to WC. Waist circumference has been
 shown the best simple measure of both intra-abdominal fat mass and tatal fat. Currently, there are
 many measures for diagnosing obesity at population level but most frequently used diagnostic tool
 in current classification system of obesity is body mass index (Soni A, Verma V, 2013).

Overweight specifically refers to an excess body weight compared to set standards (Zafar et al,
 2007). There are many sites of fat distribution in body like skin, visceral identified of two types
 Android and Gynoid. Android type of obesity is likened to the shape of apple. The shoulders, face,
 arms, neck, chest and upper portion of abdomen are bloated. Gynoid is type the lower part of the
 body has the extra flesh. This type of obesity is similar to pears (Ketel et al, 2007).

Overweight and obesity has emerged a major disorder associated with many metabolic diseases in
 both developed and developing countries. Globally it is predicted that by 2020 non –
 communicable diseases will contribute to 80% of the global burden of diseases causing 7 out of
 10 deaths in developing countries (Vijaylakshmi K and Aruna M, 2014).

2. Methodology

The present study was carried out in Banasthali University, Rajasthan. The study was taken to
 assess the anthropometrical indicators (height, weight and waist hip ratio) among 150 post
 graduate students (girls) between the age of 18 – 22 years selected randomly. All girls were
 belonged to different state and were vegetarians. Personal information about age, dietary pattern
 and demographic profile were collected through questionnaire. Each student was contacted
 personally at their hostel. The body height measured with an anthrometer in standing erect
 position, to the nearest 0.9 cm. Body weight was measured on electronic digital scale with in
 aquracy of up to 0.1 kg. Waist and hip circumference was measured. Percent mean and standard
 deviation was calculated. In general BMI = weight (kg) / height (m2) and WHR = waist / hip for
 assessment of overweight and obesity.

3. Result

3.1. Selection of the Respondents

As selected respondents belonged to post graduate courses. Their mean age was 19.7 years. All
 were females. They belong to middle income group and upper income group and follow on
 sedentary pattern of life. All the respondents (n=150) were vegetarian because they had to strictly
 follows vegetarianism in Banasthali University. They took four meal pattern diet which provide
 around 1996.7 kcal and 76.38 g protein daily. Student belonged to different states and they live in
 hostel.
Table no 1 indicated that majority of the respondents i.e. 40 per cent were belonged to the UP state while 22 percent of the respondent were belonged to the Rajasthan. Only 10 per cent of the respondents were belonged to the Bihar.

Table 1: Distribution of respondent according to their belongingness (n = 150)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of student</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Anthropometric evaluation

Body mass index (BMI) is a scale used for determining the weight status of an individual in relation to height and associated risk. BMI has different categories which show the nutritional status of a person. So, BMI of respondents has been shown in Table no. 2.

Data in Table no. 2 revealed that 49.3 per cent of the respondents (n = 74) were having normal BMI range 20 – 25 and their mean value of BMI as 22.16± 1.62. The results showed that they have normal weight status.

Respondents who had slightly lower weight were 18.8 per cent (n = 28) with BMI range 18.5 – 20 and their mean value of BMI was 19.30± 0.34. According to the study again 18.6 % per cent of the respondents (n = 28) with BMI range 17 – 18.5 and their mean was 17.86±0.48. They also had slightly low weight and prone to malnutrition while 7.3 percent of respondents (n = 18) lie below 17 BMI range. They had their mean BMI was 16.22±0.59. They come in malnutrition category.

The study showed that only 4.6 per cent of the respondents (n = 7) comes in slightly overweight category (25 – 30 BMI range). Their mean value was 28.73±1.25 i.e. they were prone to obesity also have different associated diseases. Another 1.3 per cent of subjects (n = 2) had high BMI range 30 – 40 i.e. obese grade 2. They had high body fat. These respondents were prone to different associated diseases like cardiovascular and diabetes etc.

Table 2: Evaluation of BODY MASS INDEX (BMI) (n = 150)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI range</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Mean ± SD(BMI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Above 40(obese grade 3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 40 (obese grade 2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>32.40 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 30 (obese grade 1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>28.13± 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 25 (normal)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>22.16± 1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5- 20 (low weight- normal)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19.30±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17- 18.5 (chronic energy deficiency grade 1-mild)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>17.86±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 17 (chronic energy grade 2- moderate)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>16.22±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Waist – Hip Circumference

Waist – hip circumference of all respondents were measured by dividing waist circumference with hip circumference. This has been found to be a better marker of abdominal obesity was calculated and defined as the basis of WHR (Zafar, 2007). The cut off value of central obesity was considered ≥ 0.95 in males while normal value for females was ≥ 0.80 (WHO, 1998). The WHR has been used in a number of epidemiologic studies to show increased risk for diabetes, coronary artery diseases and hypertension (Albu et al, 1997).

The data in Table no. 3 stated that 83.3 percent of respondents (n = 125) had normal WHR category and their mean value of WHR was 0.77± 0.038. This showed their low risk for cardiovascular diseases and diabetes. Another 14.70 per cent of respondents (n=21) had high WHR range (Above – 0.85). They are prone different obesity associated diseases. Their mean was 0.88±0.02 (Table no. 3). Only 2.66 percent of the respondents (n=4) had slightly lower WHR (below – 0.7). They had low abdominal obesity. It showed they were less prone or risk for other obesity associated diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waist hip ratio</th>
<th>No. of subjects</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Mean ± SD(WHR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Below 0.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.66%</td>
<td>0.61±0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7 - 0.85</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>83.39%</td>
<td>0.77±0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 0.85(central obesity)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14.76%</td>
<td>0.88±0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

According to BMI only 5.9 per cent of respondents had high BMI range (≥25). Only 49.3 per cent respondents were in normal BMI and 44.5 per cent subjects were in low BMI range (≤ 18.5). According to WHR classification 83.3 per cent of respondents had normal WHR range 0.7 – 0.85. Only 2.66 per cent of respondents had below than 0.7 WHR and 14.76 respondents had WHR above 0.85. This showed that more than half subjects were not identified obese through WHR. Waist circumference was independently analysed and it was found that 80 %of subjects had normal waist circumference.
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