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Abstract

There is a gaining interest in reducing the production cost of agricultural crops. Salt industries byproduct (SIB) can reduce production cost as it is a cheaper fertilizer source. SIB is the effluent of salt industries. But there is no research on its effects in agricultural crop production in Bangladesh. Therefore, it is important to identify the dose of SIB in sweet pepper/capsicum in Bangladesh. In this study, growth and yield parameters in sweet pepper by applying different doses of SIB were investigated. Five concentrations of salt industries byproduct (SIB) are considered as treatments, viz. $S_1 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_2 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_3 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_4 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, and $S_5 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB. Different concentrations of salt industries by product showed significant variation in most of the parameters. The highest plant height, the maximum number of fruit/plants, individual fruit weight, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit volume, and yield were found highest in $S_3$. But all the parameters were drastically reduced when $S_5$ treatment was applied. Therefore, $S_3$ treatment can be used for sweet pepper cultivation in soilless culture system in Bangladesh.
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1. Introduction

Sweet pepper (Capsicum annum L.) is a flowering plant under the genus Capsicum and belongs to the family Solanaceae. In Bangladesh it is commonly known as capsicum. It is relatively non-
pungent with thick flesh and is the world’s second most important vegetable after tomato (AVRDC, 1989). Brazil is thought to be the original home of pepper (Shoemaker and Teskey, 1995). Capsicum can be considered a functional food, because it contains many health-promoting phytochemicals such as vitamins A, B, C, E, phenolic compounds, carotenoids, and capsaicin (Bloch and Thomson, 1995). These compounds are reported to have antioxidant, anticarcinogenic, antimutagenic, anti-aging, and antibacterial properties (Chu et al., 2002; Surh, 2002). In addition to their role in defense against human diseases, antioxidants have an important role in plant defense and are produced in response to both biotic and abiotic stresses (Sahihamaet al., 2002; Slater et al., 2003).

There is increasing attention being given toward reducing the production cost of agricultural crops. Salt industries byproduct can reduce production cost as it contains many macronutrients, especially calcium (Ca$^{2+}$), magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$), and micronutrients. Salt industries byproduct is the effluent of salt industries and cheaper than commercial fertilizers. Salt industries byproduct contains sodium (Na$^+$) that may impose mild salinity, but it also contains some silicon (Si) that may minimize the negative effects of salinity. Bradbury and Ahmad (1990) and Liang et al. (1996) reported that Si minimized the adverse effects of salinity. Ca$^{2+}$ plays a key role in plant growth and fruit development and is involved in many biochemical and physiological processes (Saure, 2005). Significant economic losses of horticultural crops have been linked to inadequate Ca$^{2+}$ nutrition (Grattan and Grieve, 1999). Salt industries byproduct can supply adequate Ca$^{2+}$ and other micronutrients to sweet peppers. Thus, it can reduce fertilizer input and make agricultural practices more sustainable. However, there has been no research on salt industries byproduct application effects on crop production. Therefore, the present research work was aimed to study with the following objectives:

- To evaluate the effect of salt industries byproduct on growth and yield of capsicum, and
- To identify the suitable dose of salt industries byproduct in soilless capsicum.

2. Materials and Methods

Experimental Site: The experiment was conducted in the greenhouse at the Horticulture Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh from September 2015 to March 2016. The experiment was carried out during rabi season. The location of the study site is situated between 23° 41’ N latitude and 90° 22’ E longitude (Anon., 1989). The altitude of the location was 8 m from the sea level (The Meteorological Department of Bangladesh, Agargaon, Dhaka).

Plant Materials: Sweet pepper cv. ‘Wonder Bell’ of average fruit weight around 220 g was used in this experiment. Seeds of sweet pepper were collected from Siddique Bazar Seed Market, Dhaka. The salt industries byproduct was collected from Nitaigonj, Narayanganj.

Experimental Environment: The seeds were sown in the seed bed prepared by the media mixture of coco peat, brick broken and rice husk at the ratio of 6:2:2 (v/v). Two-week-old seedlings were transferred into the 250-mL plastic pots. Eight-week-old seedlings were transferred 20-cm apart into the cork-sheet boxes containing media mixtures of coco peat, brick broken and rice husk at the ratio of 6:2:2 (v/v). The 150-cm × 25-cm × 30-cm cork sheet boxes were prepared by cork-sheets. The boxes were filled with the media mixture of coco peat, brick broken and rice husk at
the ratio of 6:2:2 (v/v). Six healthy seedlings were transferred in each box. The pH and EC of 6.0 and 2.5–3.5 dS·m⁻¹, respectively are maintaining in the nutrient solution.

**Experimental Design and Treatments:** The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized block design with three replications. Five concentrations of salt industries byproduct (SIB) are considered as treatments, viz.

S₁ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml·L⁻¹ SIB,
S₂ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml·L⁻¹ SIB,
S₃ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml·L⁻¹ SIB,
S₄ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml·L⁻¹ SIB and
S₅ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml·L⁻¹ SIB.

The nutrient compositions of Rahman and Inden (2012) solution were NO₃-N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S of 17.05, 7.86, 8.94, 9.95, 6.0 and 6.0 meq·L⁻¹, respectively. The rates of micronutrients were Fe, B, Zn, Cu, Mo and Mn of 3.0, 0.5, 0.1, 0.03, 0.025 and 1.0 mg·L⁻¹, respectively for the nutrient solutions.

**Growing Media Preparation for Seedling Rising:** The mixture of coco peat, broken bricks (khoa) and ash at the ratio of 50:30:20% (v/v). Coco peat was soaked in a big bowl for 24 hours. It was washed well with water and spread in a polythene sheet for 3 hours. Then they are mixed with khoa and ash properly. This mixer was placed in a Styrofoam sheet box for using seedbed.

**Seed Sowing:** The seeds were soaked in water for 24 hours and then wrapped with piece of thin cloth. The soaked seed were then spread over polythene sheet for 2 hours to dry out the surface water. After that seeds were sown in growing substrate and covered newspaper under room temperature for rising.

**Transplanting of Sweet Pepper Seedling:** Sweet pepper seedlings were transplanted into the pots containing media mixtures mixture of coco peat, khoa and ash. Healthy capsicum seedlings were selected for transplanting. Capsicum plants were transplanted carefully so that roots were not damaged. After transplanting of capsicum plant in the pots light watering was done with sprayer.

**Data Collection:** Data were collected on different growth and yield components, viz., plant height at different days after planting, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit volume, number of fruits per plant, individual fruit weight and fruit yield per plant.

**Statistical Analysis:** Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software and the differences among means were determined using Tukey’s test at 5% level of probability.

**3. Results and Discussion**

**Plant Height:** Plant heights at different days after planting (DAT) of sweet pepper were significantly affected by different SIB concentrations. The highest plant heights at 30 DAT, 60 DAT, 90 DAT, 120 DAT, 150 and 180 DAT were found in the S₃ (¾ strength Rahman and Inden
(2012) + 0.5 mL⁻¹ SIB) treatment (Table 15). Meanwhile, the lowest plant heights were found in S₅ (¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 mL⁻¹ SIB) treatment (Table 1). This result revealed that the greater plant height was found in the S₃ treatment compared to others. However, the mechanism for improvement of plant height due to application of S₃ treatments not clear, but the positive impact of SIB is due to the presence of rather high amounts of Ca²⁺ and Si, which might have contributed to reduce Na⁺ absorption sites. Bradbury and Ahmad (1990) and Liang et al. (1996) reported that Si minimized the effects of salinity in Prosopis juliflora and barley, respectively. Calcium sulfate counteracted the toxic effect of NaCl, resulting in greater plant height and leaf number of salts treated Leucaena leucocephala plant (Hansen and Munns, 1988). Salt industries byproduct (SIB) contains a higher amount of Ca²⁺ which may able to counteract the toxic effects of Na⁺ when applied at the rate of 1.0 mL⁻¹.

**Number of Fruit Per Plant:** Significant variation was observed among S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄ and S₅ treatments in terms of number of fruits per plant (Figure 1). The maximum number of fruits per plant (9.0) was found in S₃ whereas the lowest (3.0) was found in S₅ treatment (Figure 2). The plants required optimum nutrient combination for proper growth and development. Probably S₃ treatment provided Ca²⁺ which decrease % (BER) on the contrary S₅ treatment contain more Ca²⁺ than S₃ treatment which causes osmotic stress, resulting higher (%) BER. Water stress and osmotic stress reduce Ca²⁺ transport particularly to the distal end region of sweet pepper fruit, where BER develops (Marcelis and Ho, 1999; Silber et al., 2005). Due to lower % (BER) higher number of fruits found in S₃ treatment.

**Individual Fruit Weight:** Individual fruit weight of capsicum varied significantly by different SIB concentrations. Result revealed that the biggest fruit (210g) was recorded from S₃ treatment whereas S₅ treatment was scored as the lowest (182g) at final harvest (Figure 2). This might be because of proper supply of nutrient in the plants. Shinohara et al. (1978) stated that sweet pepper growth was affected by different strength of nutrient solutions. The present finding was consisted with the findings of Shinohara et al. (1978). In the present study, S₃ can supply proper amount in available forms of nutrients to the plants resulting higher fruit weight.

**Fruit Length:** The maximum fruit length (8.90cm) of capsicum was found in S₃ (¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 mL⁻¹ SIB) treatment (Figure 3). Meanwhile the lowest value (4.90cm) was found in S₅ (¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 mlL⁻¹ SIB) treatment. Similar findings on pepper plants were reported by Navarro et al. (2002).

**Fruit Diameter:** Fruit diameter of capsicum exposed statistically significant inequality for the application of salt industries byproducts. The maximum fruit diameter (7.6 cm) was recorded from S₃ (¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5ml of SIB) treatment and the minimum fruit diameter (4.5cm) was obtained from S₅ (¾Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0ml of SIB) treatment (Figure 4). Similar findings on pepper plants were reported by Navarro et al. (2002).

**Fruit Volume:** Significant difference was not found in fruit diameter due to the application of different strength nutrient solutions with salt industries by product. The highest fruit volume (228 cc) was recrded from S₃ (¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5ml of SIB) treatment. Meanwhile, the lowest fruit volume (185cc) was obtained from S₅ (¾Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0ml of SIB) (Figure 5). Salinity reduces total yield by a fruit size reduction (Chartzoulakis and Klapaki, 2000).
Plant Fresh Weight: The maximum plant fresh weight (170 g) was recorded from of S₃ (¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5ml of SIB) treatment while, the minimum plant fresh weight (110g) was found from S₅ (¾Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0ml of SIB) treatment (Figure 6). Kaya et al. (2009) reported that increased salinity decreased fresh weight. In treatment S₅ salinity increased resulting decreased fresh weight.

Plant Dry Weight: Plant dry weights of capsicum were varied significantly by five treatments (Table 2). The highest dry weights of leaf stem and root was found in S₃ treatment. Meanwhile, dry weights of plants drastically decreased at S₅. This might be due to proper supply of nutrient solution to the plants. S₂ treatment containing higher Ca²⁺ which contributed to higher dry weights. On the contrary, S₅ treatment contain highest amount of Ca²⁺ compared to other treatments, but it has salinity stress resulting lower dry weight. Epstein and Bloom (2005) reported that Ca²⁺ increased the root dry weight and calcium content in plant tissues. The present findings consisted with the other findings.

Yield: Marketable yield was affected by SIB concentrations (Figure 7). The highest yield (2.3 kg/plant) was found in S₂ (¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5ml SIB) treatment while, the lowest yield (0.90 kg/plant) was found in S₅ (¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0ml of SIB) treatment. This might be due to higher number of fruits by application of S₃. Furthermore, SIB contains Si that might have a positive effect on fruit yield in sweet pepper. Stamatakis et al. (2003) found a positive effect of Si addition to the nutrient solution under saline condition in tomato fruit yield. Alexander and Clough (1998) also observed that marketable yield of pepper increased due to increased Ca²⁺, mainly because of decrease in BER-affected fruits.

In conclusion, plant growth and yield contributing characters were higher when applied ¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 mL⁻¹ SIB. Therefore ¾ Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 mL LSIB can be applied in capsicum with high yield in minimum cost of production.

**Table 1:** Effect of salt industries by-product on plant height (cm) at different days after transplanting of capsicum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Plant height (cm) at different days after transplanting (DAT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 DAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>41 c²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>47 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>53 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>37 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>33 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means with different letter is significantly different by Tukey's test at P ≤ 0.05. P represents the level of significance of one-way ANOVA. ** significant at P ≤ 0.01. * significant at P ≤ 0.05. SIB: Salt industries byproduct. S₁ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 mL⁻¹ SIB, S₂ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 mL⁻¹ SIB, S₃ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 mL⁻¹ SIB, S₄ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 mL⁻¹ SIB and S₅ = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 mL⁻¹ SIB
Table 2: Effect of salt industries byproduct on plant dry weight of capsicum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Plant dry weight (g/plant)</th>
<th>Leaf</th>
<th>Stem</th>
<th>Root</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>7.98 c²</td>
<td>10.1 c</td>
<td>5.2 c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>9.2 b</td>
<td>12.3 b</td>
<td>6.1 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>10.5 a</td>
<td>15.2 a</td>
<td>8.5 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>6.2 d</td>
<td>8.5 d</td>
<td>4.2 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>5.3 e</td>
<td>6.9 e</td>
<td>3.4 e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

zMeans with different letter is significantly different by Tukey’s test at P ≤ 0.05. P represents the level of significance of one-way ANOVA. ** significant at P ≤ 0.01. SIB: Salt industries byproduct, S<sub>1</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB, S<sub>2</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB, S<sub>3</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB, S<sub>4</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB and S<sub>5</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB.

Figure 1: Effect of salt industries byproduct on number of fruits per plant. SIB: Salt industries byproduct, S<sub>1</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB, S<sub>2</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB, S<sub>3</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB, S<sub>4</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB and S<sub>5</sub> = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> SIB. Vertical bars represents the standard error of the means.
Figure 2: Effect of salt industries byproduct on individual fruit weight (g). SIB: Salt industries byproduct. S1 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S2 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S3 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S4 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml.L⁻¹ SIB and S5 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the means.

Figure 3: Effect of salt industries byproduct on fruit length (cm). SIB: Salt industries byproduct. S1 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S2 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S3 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S4 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml.L⁻¹ SIB and S5 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the means.
Figure 4: Effect of salt industries byproduct on fruit diameter (cm). SIB: Salt industries byproduct. 
$S_1 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_2 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_3 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_4 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB and $S_5 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the means.

Figure 5: Effect of salt industries byproduct on fruit volume (cc). SIB: Salt industries byproduct. 
$S_1 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_2 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_3 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB, $S_4 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB and $S_5 = \frac{3}{4}$ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml L$^{-1}$ SIB. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the means.
Figure 6. Effect of salt industries byproduct on plant fresh weight (g). SIB: Salt industries byproduct. S1 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S2 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S3 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S4 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml.L⁻¹ SIB and S5 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the means.

Figure 7. Effect of salt industries byproduct on yield (kg/ plant). SIB: Salt industries byproduct. S1 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S2 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.25 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S3 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.5 ml.L⁻¹ SIB, S4 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 0.75 ml.L⁻¹ SIB and S5 = ¾ strength Rahman and Inden (2012) + 1.0 ml.L⁻¹ SIB. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the means.
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