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ABSTRACT

The study investigated the direction of causality between population variables and economic growth in Nigeria between 1986 and 2020 using VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests. The results of the findings revealed the existence of feedback effects among economic growth, death rate, birth rate and secondary school enrollments. The results further showed that population growth and per capita GDP growth are completely independent, and that higher population growth rate did not translate to higher economic growth in Nigeria. The study concluded that an average population growth rate in Nigeria was not seen as being productive as it did not translate to economic growth.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The empirical debates on the intertemporal research into population growth, birth and mortality situation have been topical research issues in most of the developed economies. Reasons for this inadequate focus on population-related research in the developing nations were partly blamed on the unavailability of favourable environment that enables such task. However, right from the Malthusian days, population boom has been a thing of serious concern.
Malthus in 1798 was the first to raise this concern, stipulating those natural resources become inadequate when population growth exceeds production growth.

Defining population depends on the view of the researcher, the discipline, and the goal, aim and objective of the research. Population is such fundamental for so many scientists that make use of data regularly in analysis. Because of these different meanings and interpretations attributable to population, the term is mostly left undefined, with the user and the listeners left to determine the relevance of population in their area of focus. Despite the definition of population according to economic and statistical profession, a more general definition of population can be referred to as the economic, legal, political, and social relationships in a country between individuals that produce, reproduce, and transform the daily conditions of life (e.g., involving work, commerce, property, and the production, exchange and consumption of material goods; establishing and maintaining family life from birth to death), which individuals are legally permitted to engage in.

In Nigeria, the study of population and its day-to-day interaction within its immediate environment cannot be overemphasized. This is because it gives clear understanding of all situations, both economic, politically, socially, legally among others occurring within the society. Nigeria has an annual population growth rate of 2.8%. The Nigeria’s population was also estimated at 193 million by the year 2016. This number is even expected to double in 44 years.

In exploring the effect of population on economic growth, and in determining whether the interrelationship is positive or negative as it has been done in various studies, it would not be sufficiently determined if the main components of population were not examined as they contribute or restrict economic growth. Thus, the two major constituents of population are the rate of birth and death, better identified as the fertility and mortality rates; and these two factors have a way of influencing productivity in any economy. Also, the developing economies which seem to be less productive have a high fertility rate when compared with most other developed economies. Hence, they are more densely populated than the developed economies but majorly, lack basic resources to support their population.

Population growth serves as the primary unit of the social economy that provides labour for fostering economic growth. Hence, a dwindling population stands the risk of running out of adequate labour force supply from the working age group of the society. There have been series of academic argument on the actual effect of population growth, fertility, population dividend, among others, have on economic growth. Studies are mainly divided into three major categories: the positivism, the negativism, and the neutral schools of thought. The positivism school argued that population growth, with adequate human capital development, tends to influence economic growth positively. Studies that concur with this argument include Bloom et al. (2004), Boarnet (1994), Brezis and Young (2016).

It was also posited in literature that population growth will always inhibit economic growth as the resources needed for daily maintenance of the current population rate of increase cannot be easily reproduced without affecting capital formation processes. This manifests in the food crisis experience in most part of the country while there also is a significant reduction in productivity.
the developed and developing economies that population growth causes economic growth Pesaran and Shin (1998), Brezis and Young (2016) there is every possibility that economic growth can cause population growth.

It was expressly stated that abundance of food production amid a well-structured environment has the capacity to encourage population growth Inyang (2003) Studies also supported that improvement in the general economic wellbeing causes population growth either by encouraging migration or by increase in birth rates Wolfe et al. (1982), Cai (2018), Galor and David (2000) Hence, it becomes pertinent to explore population – economic growth interaction as it concerns the Nigerian economy.

This study is organised into five sections: Section one is the Introduction, Section two focuses the review of literature; section three deals with Methodology, variable measurement, and sources of data. Section four discusses the results with their detailed analysis. Finally, Section five contains the main conclusion of findings.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In an attempt to enhance the productivity and the reduction of zero population – output interaction, the role of population in societal development was explored and the findings of revealed that continuous development facilitation requires simultaneous increase in population Teitelbaum (1974) It however opined that awareness must continually be created in order to avoid situations of extreme population growth, beyond the level of productivity, which the study termed population bomb.

The pressure on food supplies, resources, employment opportunities, and social services was necessitated by population explosion continued to further aggravate the fragile socio-economic structure and promote additional political instability in the region Gonzalez (1971) The rate of growth in population was found to behave outpaced that of food production in Nigeria through an empirical interaction among population, environment, and food provision of the most populous country in Africa Inyang (2003)

Contrary to the above, ageing population was found in literature to have significantly contributed to low labour force participation, reduction in savings and the attendant concerns about its futuristic restrictive effect on economic growth especially among the OECD countries Bloom et al. (2010) The numerous issues and challenges facing ageing population in Korea were viewed through productivity, economic growth, and old age income security Phang (2011) The results of the findings indicated that the Korean old-aged seem to remain in the labour market in higher proportion than those of Western industrialized countries. Hence, the Korean pattern is similar to that of Japan, European Union, or OECD countries. The study therefore concluded that reinforcement of the ageing Korean could help sustaining economic growth.

Recent literature emphasizes that greater productivity can only be enhanced through the interaction between the ancient and modern population -economic growth relationship in the developed economies Brezis and Young (2016) The measure of Total Factor Productivity (TFP) emanating from the Solow-Swan model and the Lorenz curve was employed to analyse the effectiveness of investments in the selected States of USA and the results of the study revealed that population distribution affects investment expansion and general economic growth Chenavaz and Octavio (2015) It has also been supported that improvement in the general economic wellbeing causes population growth either by encouraging migration or
by increase in birth rates Lee (1990), Cai (2018), Galor and David (2000) These studies opined that any increase in economic growth can increase family’s earning capacity, causing a simultaneous rise in population.

Findings in literature have shown divergent views on the impact of various aspects of population on economic growth. While some emphasized a strong negative relationship Cai (2018), Yujie (2017) some emphasized the positive interaction Drèze and Murthi (2001), Lee (1990), Frimpong and Adu (2014) while others are neutral Tai-Hsin and Xie (2013), Galor and David (2000), Phang (2011), Engle and Granger (1987) Further research into this relationship is therefore of immense importance.

3. METHODOLOGY

To explore the empirical relationship between population and economic growth in Nigeria, this study shall rely on the Solow’s growth model. The relationship between population factors such as fertility and mortality rates determine physical capital investment, and this determines economic growth.

Therefore, assume $S(t)$ representing total savings is a constant fraction $s$ of total income $Y(t)$, the following equation was derived:

$$K(t + 1) = (1 - \delta) K(t) + sY(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 1}

Assume also that population grows at a constant rate, while dividing through by population $P(t)$, therefore $P(t + 1) = (1 + n) P(t)$ becomes

$$(1 + n) k(t + 1) = (1 - \delta) k(t) + sy(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2}

The equation above represents per capita contribution of labour and capital to total output, where $k = K / P$ and $y = Y / P$. thus, it explains the per capita stock in the next period $(t + 1)$ adjusted by the growth rate of population. It should be noted that growing population reduces the per capita stock, thus the more $(n)$, which is the number of populations, the less the growth in $(k)$ which is the capital stock growth rate per time and $(y)$, the growth rate of output reduces. The growth rate of output $sY(t)$ can thus be estimated by the following equation:

$$sY(t) = (1 + n) k(t + 1) - (1 - \delta) k(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 3}

Through logarithmic transformation, we arrive at the following estimable equation:

$$Y_t = \theta + \pi K_t + \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4}

Where,

$Y_t$ represents total output and

$K_t$ denotes a matrix of all population variables that determines the capital stock for productivity.
3.1. MODEL SPECIFICATION

Following the theoretical framework above, the theoretical basis for this study hinges on Solow growth model and is in line with related empirical works Payne and Ewing (1997), Galor and David (2000), Yujie (2017).

Therefore, the baseline equation for this study is as follows:

\[
\ln Y_t = \alpha_0 + \beta \ln H_t + \varepsilon_t \quad \text{Equation 5}
\]

Where \( H_t \) represents matrix of all explanatory variables at time \( t \).

By formulating a log-linear model that encompasses all the explanatory variables, the model becomes

\[
\ln GDP_t = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \ln BTR_t + \beta_2 \ln DTR_t + \beta_3 \ln SER_t + \beta_4 \ln INV_t + \beta_5 \ln INFL_t + \varepsilon_t \quad \text{Equation 6}
\]

Where,

\( GDP \) is GDP per capita (proxy for economic growth);
\( BTR \) is Birth Rate.
\( DTR \) is Death Rate.
\( SER \) is Secondary School Enrollments.
\( INV \) is Gross Capital Formation (proxy for the level of domestic investment in the economy).
\( INFL \) is Rate of Inflation.
\( \alpha \) is the slope
\( \varepsilon \) is Stochastic error term; and
\( \beta \) represents the coefficients of the variables

3.2. TECHNIQUE OF ANALYSIS

The objective of this study was achieved by the use of Vector autoregressive (VAR) technique. The choice of this stochastic process is because it is used to capture the linear interdependencies among multiple time series. VAR technique is adjudged as a method of investigating the dynamic interactions and shock transmission among variables as it provides information on impulse responses and variance decomposition. VAR models make all variables interact in the regression with the past values of one another. The models are dynamic and as such can explain the structure of time series better than the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) estimation technique.

The models also override the need for structural modelling by treating endogenous variables in the system as a function of the lagged values of all endogenous variables in the system. The direction of causality between population variables and economic growth in Nigeria growth can be captured by the model stated as follows:

\[
\ln GDP_t = \alpha_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta \ln GDP_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma \ln POP_{t-j} + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad \text{Equation 7}
\]
\[ \ln POP_t = \alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta \ln POP_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho \ln GDP_{t-j} + \varepsilon_{2t} \]  

Equation 8

Where GDP represents the Gross Domestic Product, and POP represents a matrix of all population variables that are assumed to have direct impact on economic growth. These variables include fertility or birth rate (BTR), mortality or death rate (DTR) and secondary school enrollment rate (SER). K represents the optimal lag length selected by the various lag length selection criteria while we shall determine the stability of the variables through appropriate unit root techniques.

### 3.3. MEASUREMENT AND SOURCES OF DATA

The study made use of annual time series secondary data over the study period. The description and measurement of variables and the sources of data are presented in Table 1 below.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>MEASUREMENTS</th>
<th>SOURCE OF DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTR</td>
<td>Birth Rate as a measure of birth and the number of children per woman.</td>
<td>Birth rate measured as the rate of increase in population per thousand.</td>
<td>World Bank's World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR</td>
<td>The rate of mortality among the citizens.</td>
<td>Death rate measured as the rate of decrease in population</td>
<td>World Bank's World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER</td>
<td>Secondary school enrollments to represent the level of human capital in the economy</td>
<td>School enrolment per thousand of the population</td>
<td>World Bank's World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INV</td>
<td>Gross Capital Formation which captures the level of domestic investment in the economy</td>
<td>Gross Capital Formation as a percentage of GDP.</td>
<td>World Bank's World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

**4.1. DESCRIPTIVE STATISTIC ANALYSIS**

The descriptive characteristics of all the variables in the model are presented in the Table 2 below. It was observed that there were shifts in the mean and standard deviation values of the variables.
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGDP</td>
<td>6.472184</td>
<td>0.950302</td>
<td>5.034656</td>
<td>8.077658</td>
<td>0.469511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBTR</td>
<td>1.821349</td>
<td>0.059567</td>
<td>1.721158</td>
<td>1.91442</td>
<td>0.104305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDTR</td>
<td>4.638343</td>
<td>0.220983</td>
<td>4.203199</td>
<td>4.844187</td>
<td>-0.679172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSER</td>
<td>3.380716</td>
<td>0.470987</td>
<td>2.029308</td>
<td>4.0682</td>
<td>-1.063553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINV</td>
<td>2.577131</td>
<td>0.557562</td>
<td>1.697265</td>
<td>3.818</td>
<td>0.792215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINFL</td>
<td>2.168553</td>
<td>1.605233</td>
<td>-3.479103</td>
<td>4.340669</td>
<td>-1.783273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author's computation from the data extracted from CBN statistical bulletin (2018) and World Bank’s World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018)

4.2. PATTERN OF GROWTH OF POPULATION VARIABLES AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA (1986 – 2020)

Figure 1 below describes the pattern of movement among the selected population variables (birth rate, death rate and secondary school enrolments) and GDP per capita (proxy for economic growth) as well as the control variables (which include Gross capital formation and inflation rate) used in the study. It contains six panels, one for each variable.

Source: Author’s graphical illustration from the data extracted from CBN statistical bulletin (2018) and World Bank's World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018)
The first panel in the top left corner of Figure 1 describes the growth rate of birth of the number of children per woman per thousand of population. From the panel, it is revealed that the birth rate in Nigeria continued to fall from 1.90% growth rate in 1986 to as low as 1.73% in 2015. It rose to 1.75% in the beginning of 2016 and then fell but in 2018 it began to rise again. Similarly, the morbidity growth rate (which is contained in the second panel in the top right corner) followed the same pattern of growth rate of birth rate but at a higher rate. The implication of this is that the growth rate of the number of children per woman per thousand of population outpaces that of death rate between 1986 and 2018.

The third panel describes the growth rate of GDP per capital (proxy for economic growth). The panel revealed a downward movement in GDP per capital from 6.7% growth rate in 1980 to 5.4% in 1986. The following year witnessed a slight increase, and the tempo was maintained, though not smooth increase, till 1989. The value of GDP per capital in 1990 and 1991 was at lowest ebb but began to rise in 1992. The economy was on the recovery path again when it rose nonstop from 1993 to 2008; fell again in the year 2009 and began to rise in the subsequent years. Also, the increase in growth rate which commenced in the year 2010 was distorted a bit in 2015 and 2016 but rose again in 2017 and maintained the tempo in the year 2018.

It was shown in the fourth panel that inflation rate was increasing at a decreasing rate in Nigeria in the early 80s. The scenario changed in the year 1986 when Nigerian economy experienced an alarming rate of inflation. The period captured the Structural Adjustment Period (SAP, 1986) when the economy experienced unprecedented increase in population growth due to the various policies introduced by government which led to workers’ pay rise and reduced concentration on agriculture. As at this period, Nigerian economy continued to experience an increased rate of inflation. The rate was skyrocketed in 1988 but fell in 1989. It began to rise again in the year 1990 and had the highest growth rate of 4.3% in 1994 in the annals of Nigeria history. There was a drastic fall in the growth rate of inflation in Nigeria commencing from 1995 and this was maintained till 1999. From the year 2000 to date, growth rate of inflation in Nigeria has been relatively stable having one to two percent fluctuations till the end of the study period.

The U-shaped like curve of growth rate of Gross Capital Formation (which captures the level of domestic investment in the economy) is depicted in the fifth panel. Here, the growth rate of investment is divided into three phases. The first phase describes a continuous fall in the growth rate of gross capital formation from 3.6% in the year 1981 to 1.96% in 1995. The momentum changed in the second phase when it rose and fell moderately between 1997 and 2007. There was an upsurge in the growth rate of investment in the third phase of the curve. Nigerian economy has been experiencing an increased investment growth rate from 2008 till date.

The sixth panel which shows a relatively positive curve depicts the growth rate of Secondary school enrolments in Nigeria between 1986 and 2018. This was basically used to measure the level of human capital in the economy. The continuous upward movement in growth rate of Secondary school enrolments in Nigeria during the study period was not unconnected with population explosion in the country. The fall in the in-growth rate of the variable as depicted in the panel in the years 1991, 1999 and 2010 was as a result of higher morbidity rate over fertility rate during the affected years. The result is partly in line with the main fulcrum of Malthus’ theory
which declares population and means of sustenance do not increase at the same rate, such that population increases in geometrical progression and the food supply in the arithmetic sequence, population is likely to elude food supply, creating an imbalance which directly results into overpopulation.

4.3. UNIT ROOT TESTS

The results of unit root tests are reported in Table 3. Since the results revealed that all the variables are stationary either at levels or at first difference but at different levels of significance, it becomes econometrically reasonable to conduct the cointegration test.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Unit Root Tests Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author's computation from the data extracted from CBN statistical bulletin (2018) and World Bank's World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018)

Note: * = 1%, ** = 5% and *** = 10% levels of significance

ADF: automatic maximum lag length is based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)

PP: automatic maximum lag length is based on Newey-West Bandwidth

4.4. ORDER OF LAG SELECTION

The maximum number of lags was determined using LR test statistic, Final Prediction Error (FPE), Akaike information criterion (AIC), Schwarz information criterion (SC) and Hannan-Quinn information criterion (HQ). The results are presented in Table 4. It was evident that almost all the lag selection criteria produced the same results. The LR, FPE AIC and SC indicated 1 as the optimal lag order. The selection of lag length is therefore based on the outcome of LR, FPE AIC and SC criteria which depict 1 as the optimal lag order. Thus, the lag length of order 1 indicated by SC criterion was therefore employed in the analysis.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Lag Selection Order Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note
*Indicates lag order selected by the criterion
LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)
FPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion
SC: Schwarz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

4.5. JOHANSEN COINTEGRATION TEST

Following the results in the Table 4 which revealed that all the variables are stationary either at levels or at first difference and at different levels of significance, there is the need to determine the long-run relationship among the variables. To achieve this, Johansen cointegration test was employed to determine the existence of long-run relationship among GDP per capita, birth rate, mortality rate, secondary school enrolments, gross capital formation and inflation rate in Nigeria between 1980 and 2018.

It was evidenced from the Johansen cointegration test results in the Table 5 and Table 6 that the null hypothesis of no cointegration among the variables at 5% level of significance for the model specification was rejected. This implies accepting the alternative hypothesis that population dynamics as measured by fertility and morbidity rates do not affect economic growth in Nigeria. The trace statistics revealed that there are cointegrating relationships among the variables. Similarly, the unrestricted cointegration Max-Eigenvalue statistic reports that there exist six cointegration equations at 5% level of significance. This implies that the variables have long-run relationship.

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesised No of CE(s)</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Trace statistic</th>
<th>5% critical value</th>
<th>Prob**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None *</td>
<td>0.868817</td>
<td>199.6356</td>
<td>95.75366</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 1 *</td>
<td>0.806334</td>
<td>124.4826</td>
<td>69.81889</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 2 *</td>
<td>0.501697</td>
<td>63.74261</td>
<td>47.85613</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 3 *</td>
<td>0.343937</td>
<td>37.97040</td>
<td>29.79707</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 4 *</td>
<td>0.319432</td>
<td>22.37493</td>
<td>15.49471</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 5 *</td>
<td>0.197401</td>
<td>8.136324</td>
<td>3.841466</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation from the data extracted from CBN statistical bulletin (2018) and World Bank’s World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018)

Note:
Trace test indicates 6 cointegrating equations at 5% level of significance
* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at 5% level of significance
**MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values
Nexus Between Population Variables and Economic Growth in Nigeria: Direction of Causality

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesised No of CE(s)</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Max-Eigen statistic</th>
<th>5% critical value</th>
<th>Prob**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None *</td>
<td>0.868817</td>
<td>75.15292</td>
<td>40.07755</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 1 *</td>
<td>0.806334</td>
<td>60.74002</td>
<td>33.87687</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 2 *</td>
<td>0.501697</td>
<td>25.77221</td>
<td>27.58434</td>
<td>0.0837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 3 *</td>
<td>0.343937</td>
<td>15.59547</td>
<td>21.13626</td>
<td>0.2494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 4 *</td>
<td>0.319432</td>
<td>14.23861</td>
<td>14.26460</td>
<td>0.0505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 5 *</td>
<td>0.197401</td>
<td>8.136324</td>
<td>3.841466</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation from the data extracted from CBN statistical bulletin (2018) and World Bank’s World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018)

Note:
Max-eigenvalue test indicates 2 cointegrating eqn(s) at the 5% level of significance
* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 5% level of significance
**MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values

4.6. VAR GRANGER CAUSALITY TESTS

In this section, causality between population growth and economic growth in Nigeria was investigated by VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests. The cointegration tests conducted in this study showed that there exist at least six cointegration equations at 5% level of significance among the variables. This implies that there exist long-run relationships among the variables included in the estimated VAR models in Nigeria during the study period. The results of the estimated VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests were presented in Table 7

Table 7

Table 7 Results of VAR Granger Causality Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dep. Var.</th>
<th>LGDP</th>
<th>LBTR</th>
<th>LDTR</th>
<th>LSER</th>
<th>ECT</th>
<th>LGDP</th>
<th>LDTR</th>
<th>LDTR</th>
<th>LSER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGDP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.130646</td>
<td>5.469184***</td>
<td>3.036772</td>
<td>20.16189*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBTR</td>
<td>0.088914</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>280.2419*</td>
<td>1.063583</td>
<td>100.3191*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDTR</td>
<td>3.27841</td>
<td>94.37873*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.02791</td>
<td>344.2296*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSER</td>
<td>2.412725</td>
<td>8.432166*</td>
<td>18.51556*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.603571</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation from the data extracted from CBN statistical bulletin (2018) and World Bank’s World Development Indicator (WDI, 2018)

Note: * = 1%, ** = 5% and *** = 10% levels of significance
X indicates presence of causality.
N indicates absence of causality

The results from the Table 7 showed the presence of causality among the variables used in the study. In the first column of the table, the results revealed that there exists absence of causality among the variables. It can be observed that GDP
growth does not Granger-cause birth rate, death rate and secondary school enrolments. Hence, there is no causal relationship between population growth and GDP growth. This implies that there is not any causation from economic growth to the population variables.

The second segment of the table revealed that birth rate Granger-caused death rate at 1% level of significance (LBTR → LDTR). This could be attributable to higher percent of infertility cases which cut across gender. Another noticeable factor for the results may be the tendency toward later marriages and a rise in unmarried cohabitation which are linked to the postponement of parenthood and thus reduced fertility. An increase in the rate of stillbirths and decreased use of contraceptive pills due to fears of side effects have been linked with increased infertility rate which constituted in no small measure to high morbidity rate in Nigeria. Similarly, it was also shown that birth rate Granger-caused secondary school enrolments at 1% level of significance (LBTR → LSER). This is an indication that high fertility rate encourages greater secondary school enrolments which represent the level of human capital in the economy. It was also observed that birth rate does not Granger-cause GDP growth. This is an indication that there is no evidence of causation from fertility rate to economic growth.

More so, in the third column of the table, the results of the analysis revealed a unidirectional causality from death rate to GDP growth, birth rate and secondary school enrolments. It was found out that death rate Granger-caused GDP growth; death rate Granger-caused birth rate and death rate Granger-caused secondary school enrolments (LDTR → LGDP; LDTR → LBTR and LDTR → LSER). It was shown that morbidity rate Granger-caused fertility rate at 1% level of significance (LDTR → LBTR). This is a unidirectional causality running from the rate of decrease in population to the number of children per woman in the economy. The implication of this is that death rate in the country significantly affects the number of births per thousand of population. This culminated in the reduction of active labour force participation which hinders output and general productivity. Morbidity rate was equally found to have Granger-caused secondary school enrolments at 1% level of significance (LDTR → LSER). This implies death rate takes toll on secondary school enrolments which represent the level of human capital in the economy. Also, morbidity rate Granger-caused GDP growth at 10% level of significance (LDTR → LGDP). This is an indication that there is an evidence of causation from morbidity rate to economic growth.

The last segment of the table revealed that the absence of causality among the variables. It was shown that secondary school enrolments did not Granger-cause birth rate, death rate and GDP growth. Hence, there is not any causation from secondary school enrolments to other population variables and economic growth. Finally, the results revealed the existence of feedback effects among economic growth, death rate, birth rate and secondary school enrolments.

5. CONCLUSION

The results of VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests revealed the existence of feedback effects among economic growth, death rate, birth rate and secondary school enrolments. The findings of this study showed that population growth and per capita GDP growth are completely independent, and that higher population growth rate did not translate to higher economic growth in Nigeria. It is therefore recommended that Government and the general public should adopt measures to check the crude death rate of Nigeria as it affects economic growth negatively.
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