



Science

SOME INCLUSION PROPERTIES FOR CERTAIN K-UNIFORMLY SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WRIGHT FUNCTION

E. E. Ali *¹

*¹ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University,
Port Said 42521, Egypt

Abstract

A new operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{4^{n-1}(\alpha)_{(n-1)} \Gamma(\lambda(n-1)+\mu)}{\Gamma(\mu)} a_n z^n$ is introduced for functions of the form $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbf{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. We introduce several inclusion properties of the new k -uniformly classes $US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$, $UC(\alpha; k; \gamma)$, $UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$ and $UK^*(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$ of analytic functions defined by using the Wright function with the operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}$ and the main object of this paper is to investigate various inclusion relationships for these classes. In addition, we proved that a special property is preserved by some integral operators.

Keywords: Analytic Functions; K-Uniformly Starlike Functions; K-Uniformly Convex Functions; K-Uniformly Close-To-Convex Functions; K-Uniformly Quasi-Convex Functions; Hadamard Product; Subordination.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45; 30D30; 33D20.

Cite This Article: E. E. Ali. (2019). “SOME INCLUSION PROPERTIES FOR CERTAIN K-UNIFORMLY SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WRIGHT FUNCTION.” *International Journal of Research - Granthaalayah*, 7(9), 218-229. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i9.2019.604>.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

Which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbf{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. If f and g are analytic in \mathbf{U} , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz

function ω , analytic in \mathbf{U} with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1$ ($z \in \mathbf{U}$), such that $f(z)=g(\omega(z))$ ($z \in \mathbf{U}$). In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbf{U} , the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbf{U}) \subset g(\mathbf{U})$ (see [9] and [10]).

For functions $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$, given by (1.1), and $g(z) \in \mathbf{A}$ defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

For $0 \leq \gamma, \beta < 1$, we denote by $S^*(\gamma)$, $C(\gamma)$, $K(\gamma, \beta)$ and $K^*(\gamma, \beta)$ the subclasses of \mathbf{A} consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, starlike of order γ , convex of order γ , close-to-convex of order γ , and type β and quasi-convex of order γ , and type β in \mathbf{U} .

Now, we introduce the subclasses $US^*(k; \gamma)$, $UC(k; \gamma)$, $UK(k; \gamma, \beta)$ and $UK^*(k; \gamma, \beta)$ of the class \mathbf{A} for $0 \leq \gamma, \beta < 1$, and $k \geq 0$, which are defined by

$$US^*(k; \gamma) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : \Re \left(\frac{\bar{z}f'(z)}{f(z)} - \gamma \right) > k \left| \frac{\bar{z}f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$UC(k; \gamma) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : \Re \left(1 + \frac{\bar{z}f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \gamma \right) > k \left| \frac{\bar{z}f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| + \gamma \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$UK(k; \gamma, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : \exists g \in US^*(k; \beta) \text{ s.t. } \Re \left(\frac{\bar{z}f'(z)}{g(z)} - \gamma \right) > k \left| \frac{\bar{z}f'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$UK^*(k; \gamma, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : \exists g \in UC(k; \gamma) \text{ s.t. } \Re \left(\frac{(\bar{z}f'(z))'}{g'(z)} - \gamma \right) > k \left| \frac{(\bar{z}f'(z))'}{g'(z)} - 1 \right| \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} US^*(0; \gamma) &= S^*(k; \gamma), \quad UC(0; \gamma) = C(\gamma), \\ UK(0; \gamma, \beta) &= K(\gamma, \beta), \quad UK^*(0; \gamma, \beta) = K^*(\gamma, \beta) \quad (0 \leq \gamma, \beta < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding to a conic domain $\Omega_{k,\gamma}$ defined by

$$\Omega_{k,\gamma} = \left\{ \mu + iv : u > k\sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} + \gamma \right\} \quad (1.6)$$

we define the function $q_{k,\gamma}(z)$ which maps \mathbf{U} onto the conic domain $\Omega_{k,\gamma}$ such that $1 \in \Omega_{k,\gamma}$ as the following:

$$q_{k,\gamma}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+(1-2\gamma)z}{1-z} & (k=0), \\ \frac{1-\gamma}{1-k^2} \cos \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\cos^{-1} k \right) i \log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}} \right\} - \frac{k^2-\gamma}{1-k^2} & (0 < k < 1), \\ 1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}} \right)^2 & (k=1), \\ \frac{1-\gamma}{k^2-1} \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2\zeta(k)} \int_0^{\sqrt{k}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}} \right\} + \frac{k^2-\gamma}{k^2-1} & (k > 1). \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Where $u(z) = \frac{z-\sqrt{k}}{1-\sqrt{k}z}$ and $\zeta(k)$ is such that $k = \cosh \frac{\pi \zeta'(z)}{4\zeta(z)}$. By virtue of the properties of the conic domain $\Omega_{k,\gamma}$, we have

$$\Re\{q_{k,\gamma}(z)\} > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}. \quad (1.8)$$

Making use of the principal of subordination and the definition of $q_{k,\gamma}(z)$, we may rewrite the subclasses $US^*(k;\gamma)$, $UC(k;\gamma)$, $UK(k;\gamma,\beta)$ and $UK^*(k;\gamma,\beta)$ as the following:

$$US^*(k;\gamma) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z) \right\}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$UC(k;\gamma) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z) \right\}, \quad (1.10)$$

$$UK(k;\gamma,\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : \exists g \in US^*(k;\beta) \text{ s.t. } \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z) \right\}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$UK^*(k;\gamma,\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{A} : \exists g \in UC(k;\gamma) \text{ s.t. } \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z) \right\}. \quad (1.12)$$

We consider the following normalized form

$$\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \Gamma(\mu)z\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}\left(\frac{z}{4}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(\mu)z^{n+1}}{4^n n! \Gamma(\lambda n + \mu)},$$

where $\lambda \geq -1, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, z \in U$. Note that the normalized wright function $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}$ was studied recently in [13].

Now, we define an operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z) = \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}(z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{4^{n-1} (n-1)! \Gamma(\lambda(n-1) + \mu)} a_n z^n, \quad (1.13)$$

where $\lambda \geq -1, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, z \in U$. Note that, if $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)}$ then the operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)$ reduces to the functions

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\nu,b,c}(z) &= \mathbf{W}_{1,\nu+\frac{b+1}{2}}(-cz) * \frac{z}{1-z} = (-c)2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + (b+1)/2) z^{1-\nu/2} \mathbf{W}_{\nu,b,c}(\sqrt{z}), \\ g_\nu(z) &= \mathbf{W}_{1,\nu+1}(-z) * \frac{z}{1-z} = (-1)2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)/2 z^{1-\nu/2} \mathbf{J}_\nu(\sqrt{z}) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\mathbf{K}_\nu(z) = \mathbf{W}_{1,\nu+1}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right) = \mathbf{W}_{1,\nu+1}(z) * \frac{z}{1-z} = 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1) z^{1-\nu/2} \mathbf{I}_\nu(\sqrt{z}). \quad (1.15)$$

Note that the function $\nu_{\nu,b,c}(z)$ was studied recently in [1, 2, 11] and $g_\nu(z)$ was investigated in [3, 12, 14].

Corresponding to the function $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}(z)$ defined by (1.13), we introduce a function $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^\alpha(z)$ given by

$$\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}(z) * \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^\alpha} \quad (\alpha > 0). \quad (1.16)$$

We now define an operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^\alpha f(z): \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ by

$$\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^\alpha f(z) = \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^\alpha(z) * f(z) \quad (1.17)$$

$$\left(\lambda \geq -1, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \alpha > 0; z \in U \right)$$

If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) , then from (1.17) , we deduce that

$$\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z) = z + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{4^{n-1}(\alpha)_{(n-1)} \Gamma(\lambda(n-1) + \mu)}{\Gamma(\mu)} a_n z^n \quad (1.18)$$

$(\lambda \geq -1, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \alpha > 0; z \in U).$

It is easily to deduce from (1.18) that.

$$z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z))' = \alpha(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}f(z)) - (\alpha-1)(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z)) \quad (1.19)$$

Next, by using the operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}$, we introduce the following classes of analytic functions for $\lambda \geq -1, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \alpha > 0, k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma, \beta < 1$:

$$US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma) = \{f \in \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z) \in US^*(k; \gamma)\} \quad (1.20)$$

$$UC(\alpha; k; \gamma) = \{f \in \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z) \in UC(k; \gamma)\} \quad (1.21)$$

$$UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta) = \{f \in \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z) \in UK(k; \gamma, \beta)\} \quad (1.22)$$

$$UK^*(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta) = \{f \in \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z) \in UK^*(k; \gamma, \beta)\} \quad (1.23)$$

We also note that

$$f(z) \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in UC(\alpha; k; \gamma),$$

and

$$f(z) \in UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in UK^*(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta). \quad (1.24)$$

In this paper, we investigate several inclusion properties of the classes $US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$, $UC(\alpha; k; \gamma)$, $UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$ and $UK^*(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$ associated with the operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}$. Some applications involving integral operators are also considered.

2. Inclusion Properties Involving the Operator $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}$

In order to prove the main results, we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [5]. *Let $h(z)$ be convex univalent in \mathbf{U} with $h(0)=1$ and $\Re\{\eta h(z)+\gamma\}>0$ ($\eta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$) . If $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0)=1$, then*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\eta p(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (2.1)$$

Implies

$$p(z) \prec h(z). \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2 [8]. Let $h(z)$ be convex univalent in \mathbf{U} and let w be analytic in \mathbf{U} with $\Re\{w(z)\} \geq 0$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} and $p(0) = h(0)$, then

$$p(z) + w(z)zp'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (2.3)$$

Implies

$$p(z) \prec h(z). \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 1. $US^*(\alpha+1; k; \gamma) \subset US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$.

Proof. Let $f \in US^*(\alpha+1; k; \gamma)$ and set

$$p(z) = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}), \quad (2.5)$$

where $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0) = 1$. From (1.19) and (2.5), we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \{p(z) + (\alpha-1)\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Differentiating (2.6) with respect to z and multiplying the result equation by z , we obtain

$$\frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha+1} f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha+1} f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + (\alpha-1)}. \quad (2.7)$$

From this and the argument given in Section 1, we may write

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + (\alpha-1)} \prec q_{k, \gamma}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}). \quad (2.8)$$

Since $(\alpha-1) > 0$ and $\Re\{q_{k, \gamma}(z)\} > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$, we see that

$$\Re\{q_{k,\gamma}(z) + (\alpha-1)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}). \quad (2.9)$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (2.8), it follows that $p(z) \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z)$, that is, $f \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$.

Theorem 2. $UC(\alpha+1; k; \gamma) \subset UC(\alpha; k; \gamma)$.

Proof. Applying (1.24) and Theorem 1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in UC(\alpha+1; k; \gamma) &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in US^*(\alpha+1; k; \gamma) \\ &\Rightarrow zf'(z) \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in UC(\alpha; k; \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

which evidently proves Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. $UK(\alpha+1; k; \gamma, \beta) \subset UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $f \in UK(\alpha+1; k; \gamma, \beta)$. Then, from the definition of $UK(\alpha+1; k; \gamma, \beta)$, there exists a function $r(z) \in US^*(k; \gamma)$ such that

$$\frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{r(z)} \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z). \quad (2.10)$$

Choose the function $g(z)$ such that $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}g(z) = r(z)$. Then, $g \in US^*(\alpha+1; k; \gamma)$ and

$$\frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}g(z)} \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z). \quad (2.11)$$

Now let

$$p(z) = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z)}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0)=1$. Since $g \in US^*(\alpha+1; k; \gamma)$, by Theorem 1, we know that $g \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$. Let

$$t(z) = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}), \quad (2.13)$$

where $t(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $\Re\{t(z)\} > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$. Also, from (2.13), we note that

$$z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z))' = \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}zf'(z) = (\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z))p(z). \quad (2.14)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.14) with respect to z and multiplying the result equation by z , we obtain

$$\frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}zf'(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z)} = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z)} p(z) + zp'(z) = t(z)p(z) + zp'(z). \quad (2.15)$$

Now using the identity (1.19) and (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}g(z)} = \frac{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}zf'(z)}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha+1}g(z)} = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}zf'(z))' + (\alpha-1)\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}zf'(z)}{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z))' + (\alpha-1)\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}zf'(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z)} + (\alpha-1)\frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z)}}{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha}g(z))' + (\alpha-1)} \\ &= \frac{t(z)p(z) + zp'(z) + (\alpha-1)p(z)}{t(z) + (\alpha-1)} \\ &= p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{t(z) + (\alpha-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Since $(\alpha-1) > 0$ and $\Re\{t(z)\} > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$, we see that

$$\Re\{t(z) + (\alpha-1)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}). \quad (2.17)$$

Hence, applying Lemma 2, we can show that $p(z) \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z)$ so that $f \in UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. $UK^*(\alpha+1; k; \gamma, \beta) \subset UK^*(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$

Proof. Just as we derived Theorem 2 as consequence of Theorem 1 by using the equivalence (1.24), we can also prove Theorem 4 by using Theorem 3 and the equivalence (1.25).

3. Inclusion Properties Involving the Integral Operator F_c

In this section, we consider the generalized Libera integral operator F_c (see [4, 6, 7]) defined by

$$F_c(f)(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (f \in \mathbf{A}; c > -1). \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 5. Let $c > -\frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$. If $f \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$, then $F_c(f) \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$.

Proof. Let $f \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$ and set

$$p(z) = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(f)(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(f)(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}), \quad (3.2)$$

where $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0) = 1$. From (3.2), we have

$$z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(f)(z))' = (c+1)\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z) - c\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(f)(z). \quad (3.3)$$

Then, by using (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(c+1)\frac{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z)}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(f)(z)} = p(z) + c. \quad (3.4)$$

Taking the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (3.4) and multiplying by z , we have

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + c} = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z)} \prec q_{k, \gamma}(z). \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemma 1, we conclude that $p(z) \prec q_{k, \gamma}(z)$ in \mathbf{U} , which implies that $F_c(f) \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$.

Theorem 6. Let $c > -\frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$. If $f \in UC(\alpha; k; \gamma)$, then $F_c(f) \in UC(\alpha; k; \gamma)$.

Proof. By applying Theorem 5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in UC(\alpha; k; \gamma) &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma) \\ &\Rightarrow F_c(zf')(z) \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma) \quad (\text{by Theorem 5}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(F_c(f)(z))' \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma) \\ (3.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\Leftrightarrow F_c(f)(z) \in UC(\alpha; k; \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. Let $c > -\frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$. If $f \in UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$, then $F_c(f) \in UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $f \in UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$. Then, in view of the definition of the class $UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$, there exists a function $g \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$ such that

$$\frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha g(z)} \prec q_{k, \gamma}(z). \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, we set

$$p(z) = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(f)(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(g)(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}), \quad (3.8)$$

where $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $p(0)=1$. Since $g \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$, we see from Theorem 5. that $F_c(g) \in US^*(\alpha; k; \gamma)$. Using (3.3) and let

$$t(z) = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(g)(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(g)(z)}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $t(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{U} with $\Re\{t(z)\} > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$. Using (3.8), we have

$$\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha z F'_c(f)(z) = (\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(g)(z)) p(z). \quad (3.10)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.10) with respect to z and multiplying by z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha z F'_c(f)(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(g)(z)} &= \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(f)(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, \mu}^\alpha F_c(g)(z)} p(z) + z p'(z) \\ &= t(z) p(z) + z p'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Now using the identity (3.3) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} f(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} g(z)} &= \frac{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} z f'(z)}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} g(z)} = \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} z F_c'(f)(z))' + c \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} z F_c'(f)(z)}{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} F_c(g)(z))' + c \mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} F_c(g)(z)} \\
 &= \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} z F_c'(f)(z))' + c \frac{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} F_c(f)(z))'}{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} F_c(g)(z)}}{z(\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} F_c(g)(z))' + c \frac{\mathbf{W}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\alpha} F_c(g)(z)}{t(z)+c}} \\
 &= \frac{t(z)p(z) + zp'(z) + cp(z)}{t(z)+c} \\
 &= p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{t(z)+c}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Since $c > -\frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$ and $\Re\{t(z)\} > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$, we see that

$$\Re\{t(z)+c\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}). \tag{3.13}$$

Applying Lemma 2 to (3.12), it follows that $p(z) \prec q_{k,\gamma}(z)$, that is $F_c(f) \in UK(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$.

Theorem 8. Let $c > -\frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$. If $f \in UK^*(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$, then $F_c(f) \in UK^*(\alpha; k; \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. Just as we derived Theorem 6 as consequence of Theorem 5 and (1.24), we easily deduce the integral-preserving property asserted by Theorem 8 by using Theorem 7 and (1.25).

Reference

- [1] A. Baricz, Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 73 (2008), 155-178.
- [2] A. Baricz and S. Ponnusamy, Starlikeness and convexity of generalized Bessel functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 21(2010), no. 9, 641-653.
- [3] A. Baricz, P. A. Kupan and R. Szasz, The radius of starlikeness of normalized Bessel functions of the first kind, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142(2014), no. 6, 2019-2025.
- [4] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135(1996), 429-446.
- [5] P. Ebenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, in General Inequalities, 3 (Oberwolfach, 1981), vol. 64 of Internationale Schriftenreihe zur Numerischen Mathematik, pp. 339--348, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1983.
- [6] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16(1965), 755--658.
- [7] A. E. Livingston, On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), 352-357.

- [8] S. S.Miller and P. T.Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J., 28(1981), no. 2, 157--172.
- [9] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [10] S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinants of differential superordinations, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 48(2003), no.10, 815--826.
- [11] S. R. Mondal and A. Swaminathan, Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions,Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. (2) 35(2012), no. 1, 179-194.
- [12] J. K. Prajapat, Certain geometric properties of normalized Bessel functions, Appl. Math.Lett. 24(2011), no. 12, 2133-2139.
- [13] J. K. Prajapat, Certain geometric properties of the Wright functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 26(2015), no. 3, 203-212.
- [14] R. Szasz and P. A. Kupan, About the univalence of the Bessel functions, Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 54(2009), no. 1, 127-132.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ekram_008eg@ yahoo.com