



Original Article

THE HIMALAYAN MYTHSCAPE: INDIGENOUS MYTHS, FOLKLORE AND SACRED GEOGRAPHY IN GOKHALE'S WRITING

Dr. Nidhi Pande ^{1*}

¹HOD and Assistant Professor, Department of English SSJDWSSS Government PG College, Ranikhet, India



ABSTRACT

Namita Gokhale occupies a distinguished position among contemporary Indian women novelists, not merely for the range of her themes but for the distinctive spatial imagination that animates her fiction. Born in 1956 and raised across diverse cultural landscapes that included metropolitan centres and the Himalayan foothills, she developed an early sensitivity to contrasts between urban modernity and mountain life. These formative movements shaped a layered consciousness in which place is not a static backdrop but a living force that informs memory, identity, and narrative voice. Her long association with literary culture, including her role as co-director of a major international literature festival, has further sharpened her awareness of how local histories can be articulated within global literary conversations. Gokhale's writing draws deeply on lived experience, transforming personal memory into a shared imaginative resource. Her novels set in the Kumaon region and around Nainital reveal a sustained engagement with the Himalayas as both a physical environment and a psychological landscape. In works such as *The Book of Shadows*, *A Himalayan Love Story*, and *Things to Leave Behind*, she constructs a coherent Himalayan trilogy in which the mountains function not merely as setting but as an active presence shaping human lives. This narrative strategy aligns closely with the principles of literary regionalism, a theory that emphasizes the depiction of specific locales to explore broader social, cultural, and emotional realities. Unlike surface-level scenic description, regionalist writing seeks to capture the rhythms, memories, and inherited knowledge of a place, and Gokhale's fiction exemplifies this approach with remarkable consistency. In this paper we shall further delve into the works of Gokhale to understand the Himalayan imagination and her incorporation of myths, folklore, sacred geography and so on.

Keywords: Indian English Literature, Literary Theory, Folklore, Mysticism, Sacred Geography, Himalayas, Lived Experience.

INTRODUCTION

In Namita Gokhale's literary universe, the Himalayas are never merely a physical landscape; they emerge as a densely layered mythscape in which geography, memory, folklore, and sacred belief are inseparably intertwined. Her writing consistently treats the mountains as a living cultural archive, shaped by centuries of oral traditions, ritual practices, and mythic imagination. This approach reflects a deeply indigenous understanding of space, where land is not inert matter but a sacred continuum inhabited by gods, spirits, ancestors, and stories. The Himalayas in Gokhale's fiction function simultaneously as ecological terrain, cultural memory, and spiritual axis, creating a narrative space where myth and everyday life coexist without contradiction. Central to this mythscape is the idea of sacred geography, a concept rooted in South Asian religious thought. Sacred geography refers to the belief that certain

*Corresponding Author:

Email address: Dr. Nidhi Pande (nidhipande233@gmail.com)

Received: 06 October 2025; Accepted: 23 November 2025; Published 31 December 2025

DOI: [10.29121/granthaalayah.v13.i12.2025.6614](https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v13.i12.2025.6614)

Page Number: 70-76

Journal Title: International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH

Journal Abbreviation: Int. J. Res. Granthaalayah

Online ISSN: 2350-0530, Print ISSN: 2394-3629

Publisher: Granthaalayah Publications and Printers, India

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' Contributions: Each author made an equal contribution to the conception and design of the study. All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Transparency: The authors affirm that this manuscript presents an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study. All essential aspects have been included, and any deviations from the original study plan have been clearly explained. The writing process strictly adhered to established ethical standards.

Copyright: © 2025 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.

landscapes are imbued with spiritual power due to divine presence, mythic events, or ritual significance. Rivers, mountains, forests, and pilgrimage routes are understood not just symbolically but ontologically, as sites where the divine intersects with the human world. In Gokhale's writing, this worldview manifests through recurrent depictions of lakes, trees, shrines, and mountain paths that silently structure human experience. The land itself remembers, witnesses, and sometimes intervenes in the lives of those who inhabit it. Indigenous Himalayan folklore plays a crucial role in shaping this sacred spatial imagination. Unlike classical myths codified in scriptures, Himalayan folklore is largely oral, fluid, and localized, transmitted through stories, songs, seasonal rituals, and everyday speech. Gokhale draws on this living tradition to depict a world in which spirits inhabit forests, deities dwell in specific rocks or trees, and natural phenomena are interpreted as expressions of divine will. This aligns with anthropological theories of animism, which understand indigenous belief systems as attributing agency and consciousness to natural elements. In such cosmologies, the boundary between the natural and the supernatural is porous, allowing myth to function as a mode of knowledge rather than superstition.

The Himalayas have long occupied a privileged position in Indian mythological consciousness as a cosmic threshold between the earthly and the transcendent. In Hindu tradition, the mountains are imagined as the abode of gods, sages, and ascetics, a place where spiritual discipline and revelation become possible. Gokhale's writing inherits this symbolic legacy but reworks it through the lens of local belief and domestic life. The divine in her narratives does not appear solely in grand epics or theological abstraction; it surfaces in kitchens, courtyards, village paths and childhood memories. By grounding myth in the everyday, she collapses the hierarchy between the sacred and the ordinary. This narrative strategy resonates with Mircea Eliade's theory of hierophany, which describes the manifestation of the sacred within ordinary space and time. According to Eliade, sacred spaces are not constructed arbitrarily but revealed, transforming specific locations into spiritual centers. In Gokhale's Himalayan settings, lakes, trees, and mountain trails function as such revealed spaces. They are not interchangeable backdrops but singular sites charged with meaning through repeated acts of belief, remembrance, and ritual. Characters often experience these spaces not through rational understanding but through intuitive recognition, suggesting a deeply internalized sacred worldview. Folklore in Gokhale's writing also serves as a counter-narrative to modern rationalism and colonial epistemologies. Colonial discourse frequently dismissed indigenous myths as irrational or primitive, privileging scientific and administrative ways of knowing. Gokhale resists this erasure by presenting folklore as a coherent system of meaning that governs moral behavior, social relationships, and emotional life. The stories her characters inherit from elders are not escapist fantasies; they function as ethical frameworks and psychological maps that help individuals navigate suffering, loss, and uncertainty. This perspective aligns with postcolonial theory's emphasis on recovering subaltern knowledge systems that were marginalized under colonial rule. The sacred geography of the Himalayas in Gokhale's fiction is also profoundly gendered. Women often emerge as custodians of myth and ritual, preserving oral traditions through storytelling, fasting, prayer, and domestic rites. This reflects feminist anthropological insights that locate women at the center of cultural transmission in many indigenous societies. In Gokhale's narratives, female characters frequently mediate between the visible and invisible worlds, embodying intuitive knowledge that contrasts with male-dominated structures of authority. Through these portrayals, myth becomes a space of quiet resistance, offering women alternative forms of power within restrictive social systems. Nature itself participates actively in the mythic order Gokhale constructs. Forests, rivers, animals, and weather patterns are endowed with symbolic and spiritual significance, reinforcing an ecological consciousness rooted in reverence rather than exploitation. Ecocritical theory helps illuminate this dimension of her work, particularly its emphasis on relationality between humans and the environment. The Himalayan landscape is not something to be conquered or consumed; it demands respect, caution, and humility. Natural calamities, sudden weather changes, and the unpredictability of mountain life are often interpreted as moral or spiritual signs, reinforcing the idea that human existence is contingent and interdependent. Gokhale's mythscape also preserves a sense of temporal continuity that resists linear historical narratives. Myth, unlike history, operates in cyclical time, where past events remain perpetually present. This cyclical sense of time aligns with indigenous cosmologies in which ancestors, spirits, and deities continue to influence the living. In her writing, memories, legends, and rituals collapse temporal boundaries, allowing characters to inhabit multiple layers of time simultaneously. Such narrative temporality challenges modern notions of progress and development, suggesting instead that cultural survival depends on remembering and re-enacting foundational stories.

LANDSCAPE, MEMORY AND IDENTITY IN THE HIMALAYAN FICTION OF NAMITA GOKHALE

Her descriptive method often resembles what phenomenological theorists describe as "lived space." Phenomenology, particularly as articulated by thinkers such as Maurice Merleau-Ponty, argues that space is experienced through perception, memory, and bodily presence rather than as a purely objective entity. In Gokhale's fiction, Nainital and the Kumaon hills are not mapped geographically; they are remembered, felt, and re-inhabited through sensory impressions. Sounds, textures, seasonal changes, and minor domestic incidents accumulate to form a dense experiential field. These remembered details do not simply illustrate the past; they recreate it as an inner terrain that continues to shape the present. The hills thus become a mnemonic space where personal and collective histories intersect. A strong current of introspection runs through her narratives, giving them a meditative quality. Rather than allowing plot to dominate, Gokhale frequently turns inward, encouraging readers to pause and reflect alongside her characters. This inward movement resonates with theories of reflective realism, where the focus shifts from external action to the consciousness interpreting that action. Such realism does not deny the material world but filters it through emotional and psychological response.

As a result, the Himalayas in her novels appear simultaneously external and internal, real and imagined, grounding the narrative while opening it to philosophical reflection on time, loss, and belonging. Memory plays a central role in this imaginative process. Gokhale's portrayal of the hills is suffused with nostalgia, yet it is not a simplistic longing for the past. Instead, it reflects what cultural theorists describe as "memory layering," the idea that recollection is shaped by multiple temporal strata that merge into a dreamlike continuity. Past experiences, family stories, and sensory fragments overlap to form a composite inner world that accompanies the writer wherever she goes. This approach allows Gokhale to treat memory as creative energy rather than mere recollection, transforming seemingly trivial details into symbols of continuity and change. Her use of place also invites comparison with other writers who have made specific regions central to their imaginative worlds.

Just as certain poets and novelists are inseparably linked to particular landscapes, Gokhale's work demonstrates how intimate knowledge of a region can yield universal resonance. Through her recollection of neighbourhoods, domestic rituals, and natural surroundings, she reveals how local spaces encode emotional histories. The Kumaon hills thus become a site where private lives unfold against larger historical and cultural movements. This synthesis of place and history reaches its most ambitious form in *Things to Leave Behind*, a novel that intertwines family narratives with the broader experience of colonial rule. The Himalayan setting provides more than aesthetic appeal; it becomes a lens through which colonial encounters, indigenous knowledge systems, and social transformations are examined. Postcolonial theory is useful here, particularly its emphasis on reclaiming marginal or regional histories that challenge dominant historical narratives. By situating colonial experience within the Kumaon hills, Gokhale decentralizes imperial history and foregrounds the everyday lives shaped by it. Characters such as traditional healers and local elites illustrate the coexistence and tension between indigenous practices and foreign authority, highlighting the resilience of local knowledge. Across her Himalayan trilogy, the Kumaon region itself emerges as a central character. Its forests, wildlife, rivers, and seasonal rhythms are described with such intimacy that the land appears to possess agency. Ecocritical theory helps illuminate this aspect of her work. Ecocriticism examines how literature represents the relationship between humans and the natural world, often challenging anthropocentric perspectives. Gokhale's Himalayas are not passive scenery but dynamic forces that shape human emotion, belief, and survival. The interplay between harsh terrain and quiet beauty creates an atmosphere that is at once alluring and unsettling, reinforcing the idea that nature is both nurturing and formidable. Through this sustained engagement with landscape, memory, and history, Namita Gokhale transforms the Kumaon hills into a rich narrative universe. Her novels invite rereading because they offer more than plot; they offer an immersive experience of a place that unfolds differently with each encounter. By blending regional realism, phenomenological perception, postcolonial awareness, and ecological sensitivity, Gokhale crafts a body of work in which the Himalayas are not only remembered but continually reimagined as a vital force in Indian English fiction.

READING THINGS TO LEAVE BEHIND AND UNDERSTANDING THE HIMALAYAN IMAGINATION

Things to Leave Behind stands as one of Namita Gokhale's most intellectually demanding and artistically expansive novels, widely regarded as her most ambitious engagement with both history and place. The novel functions simultaneously as a tribute to and a lament for Kumaon, transforming the region into a symbolic and emotional homeland that is deeply cherished yet inevitably altered by time. At its core, the work meditates on humanity's persistent longing to break free from constraining forces, whether imposed by gender hierarchies, colonial authority, sexual norms, inherited traditions, or even the intensity of one's own emotions. Freedom in this novel is never absolute; it is negotiated, postponed, or partially imagined, making the desire for escape both urgent and tragic. Compared to Gokhale's earlier, more intimate narratives, *Things to Leave Behind* exhibits greater narrative ambition and historical complexity. The novel marks a decisive movement from the purely personal toward the openly political, while ultimately demonstrating how the two are inseparable. This approach resonates with feminist theory's central insight that "the personal is political," a concept developed to explain how private experiences, especially those of women, are shaped by larger social and power structures. In Gokhale's novel, domestic lives, marriages, and individual aspirations are profoundly affected by imperial rule, social reform movements, and the slow penetration of modern institutions into the hills. The characters' emotional struggles thus become reflections of historical transformation rather than isolated personal dilemmas. The historical period the novel inhabits is one of deep instability and gradual rupture. Spanning the mid-nineteenth to the early twentieth century, the narrative unfolds against a backdrop of colonial consolidation, political resistance, and cultural reorientation. While the pace of change appears slow in the Himalayan regions, its consequences are far-reaching.

New systems of education, medicine, print culture and transport subtly but irreversibly reshape everyday life. Benedict Anderson's theory of imagined communities is particularly useful here: the spread of newspapers, books, and shared languages enables people to imagine themselves as part of a wider political and cultural collective. In the novel, access to print culture and education expands mental horizons even as physical mobility remains limited, creating a tension between awareness and action. Gokhale portrays colonial modernity as deeply ambivalent. On one hand, it introduces ideas of hygiene, schooling, and global literature; on the other, it reinforces racial hierarchies and spatial segregation. This contradiction aligns with postcolonial theory, which examines how colonial systems simultaneously promise progress and produce exclusion. The novel vividly captures this duality through its depiction of divided urban spaces and social codes that regulate who may walk where, who may speak to whom, and whose lives are considered visible or valuable. Colonial order appears orderly on the surface but morally fractured underneath. At the heart of the narrative stands Tilitama, a character whose life embodies the constraints placed upon independent-minded

women in nineteenth-century India. Her delayed marriage, limited education, and exposure to reformist ideas give her a partial sense of agency without granting her the means to fully exercise it. This condition reflects what feminist scholars describe as "constrained agency," in which individuals develop critical awareness but remain structurally restricted from acting upon it. Tilottama's admiration for progressive thinkers and her engagement with newspapers nurture her intellectual independence, yet her social reality prevents her from translating thought into sustained action. Through her, Gokhale exposes the emotional toll of living between aspiration and resignation. The novel's cultural intersections further complicate its moral landscape. Religious conversion, missionary idealism, and cross-cultural encounters are not portrayed as simple oppositions between tradition and modernity. Instead, they unfold as layered negotiations shaped by power, vulnerability, and desire. Homi Bhabha's concept of hybridity helps explain this complexity: colonial spaces produce mixed cultural forms that are neither wholly indigenous nor entirely foreign. In *Things to Leave Behind*, such hybridity is evident in characters who move between belief systems, languages, and loyalties, inhabiting unstable identities that reflect the unsettled world around them.

Equally significant is the role of the Himalayan environment itself. The mountains, lakes and shifting weather patterns are not passive scenery but dynamic forces that mirror human instability. Ecocritical theory, which studies the relationship between literature and the natural world, illuminates how Gokhale uses landscape to reflect emotional and historical flux. The Himalayas, geologically young and still evolving, become an apt metaphor for societies in transition. Landslides, fog, seasonal change and extreme weather echo inner disturbances, reinforcing the idea that human lives are inseparable from their ecological contexts. This deep integration of nature and narrative continues across Gokhale's Himalayan fiction, including *A Himalayan Love Story*, where geography and memory are inseparable. Nainital is not treated as a fixed location but as an internalized space that travels with the characters. This aligns with phenomenological theories of place, which argue that locations are experienced subjectively through memory, emotion, and bodily presence rather than as abstract coordinates. Detailed descriptions of streets, bazaars, roads, and festivals recreate lived environments shaped by routine and recollection, allowing readers to inhabit the hills as remembered spaces rather than tourist landscapes. Seasonal change in Gokhale's work frequently parallels psychological transformation. Winter, spring, monsoon, and autumn function as emotional registers that anticipate or reflect shifts in her characters' lives. This narrative strategy draws on symbolic realism, where natural phenomena subtly forecast inner collapse or renewal. The fog, rain, and flowering landscapes do not merely decorate the narrative; they participate in it, foreshadowing mental breakdown, grief, or fleeting comfort. Nature thus becomes a language through which unspoken emotional truths are communicated. Through its fusion of history, gender, ecology, and memory, *Things to Leave Behind* emerges as a profound exploration of transition and loss. Gokhale's Himalayas are at once intimate and historical, nurturing and unforgiving. The novel mourns what is disappearing while refusing to romanticize the past, acknowledging that tradition itself often carried its own forms of confinement. In doing so, Gokhale creates a layered narrative where personal lives, political change, and the natural world converge, offering a nuanced meditation on what must be abandoned in order to move forward—and what, despite everything, continues to endure.

MYSTICISM AND BELIEF IN INDIAN THOUGHT

At its core, mysticism refers to a mode of knowing that transcends rational thought and sensory perception, seeking direct experiential contact with an ultimate reality. This reality may be understood as God, the absolute, or a deeper self, but the defining feature of mysticism lies not in doctrine but in experience. It involves a movement beyond the ego-bound self toward a higher or deeper state of being, often accompanied by surrender, silence, contemplation, and an ineffable sense of unity. Mystical experience is thus not merely an emotional exaltation; it is an inward transformation in which the boundaries between the individual and the transcendent dissolve. Across literary and philosophical traditions, mysticism has been interpreted in diverse and sometimes contradictory ways. Western writers and thinkers have often approached it as a deeply personal, inward phenomenon rather than a strictly religious one. Poets and philosophers associated with Romanticism, Transcendentalism, and Symbolism understood mystical experience as an expansion of consciousness rather than submission to institutional belief. This emphasis on subjective insight aligns with William James's theory of religious experience, which defines mysticism through qualities such as ineffability, transience, passivity, and noetic depth. According to James, mystical states convey a sense of profound knowledge that cannot be fully articulated, yet feels authoritative to the individual who experiences it. In Indian philosophical traditions, mysticism occupies a central and foundational position. Ancient Indian thought consistently privileges experiential realization over speculative theology. The Upanishadic worldview, for instance, rests on the idea that ultimate truth is not grasped through argument but realized through inner awakening. The identification of the individual self, or *atman*, with the universal absolute, or *Brahman*, forms the metaphysical basis of Vedantic mysticism. This philosophy holds that perceived multiplicity and separation are products of ignorance, known as *avidya*. Liberation, or *moksha*, occurs when this ignorance is dispelled through knowledge born of direct experience. Such realization is not intellectual assent but an existential shift in perception, in which the self recognizes its unity with all that exists. Yoga functions within this framework as a disciplined method for achieving mystical insight. Classical yoga philosophy describes systematic practices—ethical restraint, meditation, concentration, and self-discipline—that gradually dissolve the illusion of individuality. From this perspective, mysticism is not accidental or spontaneous but cultivated through sustained inner effort.

In contrast, some Indian philosophical schools, particularly those emphasizing logic and epistemology, place less emphasis on mystical intuition and focus instead on rational inquiry into knowledge and language. This diversity demonstrates that Indian

philosophy accommodates both mystical and non-mystical approaches without reducing one to the other. Comparable mystical tendencies appear in other civilizations as well. Ancient Greek thought, though largely rationalistic, contained mystical strands in mystery cults and later philosophical systems that emphasized ascent of the soul toward the One. In Islamic thought, Sufism developed a form of theistic mysticism grounded in love, devotion, and annihilation of the self in the divine. Jewish philosophical traditions also reveal mystical inclinations, particularly in writings that blend metaphysical speculation with spiritual symbolism. Across these traditions, mysticism consistently seeks an experiential truth that lies beyond conventional categories of belief. To understand mysticism more clearly, it is also necessary to distinguish it from related concepts such as theism, pantheism, deism, atheism, and agnosticism. Theism generally refers to belief in a personal, transcendent yet immanent God who engages with the world and human beings. Pantheism identifies the divine with the universe itself, dissolving the distinction between creator and creation. Deism imagines a distant creator who does not intervene in worldly affairs. Mysticism, however, can exist within or outside these frameworks. It does not necessarily depend on belief in a personal deity but on the conviction that ultimate reality is accessible through direct experience. In this sense, mysticism often unsettles rigid theological boundaries by privileging inner realization over external belief systems. The literary engagement with mysticism becomes particularly compelling in the writings of Namita Gokhale, whose work draws deeply from Indian mythological and spiritual traditions while remaining attentive to psychological and social realities. Her long-standing fascination with mythology is not merely narrative but philosophical, using myth as a medium to explore questions of belief, transcendence, and the unseen dimensions of life. In her fiction, mysticism is rarely abstract; it emerges organically through lived experience, memory, and emotional trauma. In narratives where supernatural or mystical elements appear, they are often rooted in grief, loss, and the human need for continuity beyond death. Psychological theory helps illuminate this dimension. Sigmund Freud observed that belief in the survival of loved ones after death often arises from unresolved attachment and mourning. From this perspective, mystical or paranormal perceptions may function as coping mechanisms that give shape to emotional pain.

At the same time, sociological theories suggest that shared belief in spirits, omens, or divine will reinforces communal meaning and resilience, especially in cultures where spiritual cosmologies are interwoven with daily life. Gokhale's portrayal of sacred trees, spirits, voices, and unseen presences reflects this intersection of mysticism and psychology. Such phenomena occupy an ambiguous space between belief and perception, faith and imagination. They can be understood as expressions of what scholars describe as paranormal experience—events that appear to exceed conventional scientific explanation but remain embedded in human experience. Unlike pure transcendence, paranormal phenomena retain an empirical dimension: they are seen, heard, or felt, even if their causes remain uncertain. Modern intellectual discourse often challenges these experiences through scientific naturalism, which seeks causal explanations grounded in observable reality. Yet postmodern thought has complicated this certainty by questioning the claim that science alone provides objective truth. This epistemological shift has allowed renewed interest in mystical and spiritual interpretations of reality, not necessarily as replacements for science but as alternative modes of understanding. Mysticism, in this context, does not deny reason but operates alongside it, addressing dimensions of existence that resist quantification. Importantly, mysticism should not be conflated with mythology or institutional religion. While myths provide symbolic frameworks and religious systems offer structure, mysticism concerns direct experience that may affirm, reinterpret, or even bypass established beliefs. In Gokhale's fictional universe, characters who appear to possess mystical or mediumistic qualities do not function as doctrinal authorities. Instead, they become vessels through which unresolved emotions, spiritual longing, and the desire for liberation are expressed. Mediumship, understood as communication with spirits or the continuation of consciousness beyond death, has appeared across cultures and historical periods. Whether interpreted as spiritual truth, psychological projection, or cultural practice, it reflects humanity's enduring refusal to accept absolute finality. The longing for liberation that animates mystical aspiration ultimately points toward the concept of moksha, central to Indian spiritual thought. Moksha represents freedom from the cycle of birth and death, achieved through self-realization rather than ritual compliance. Yet Gokhale's narratives often emphasize the difficulty, and sometimes the impossibility, of attaining such release. Characters who seek transcendence frequently encounter failure, fragmentation, or return, suggesting that the mystical journey is marked as much by struggle as by illumination. Through her engagement with mysticism, Namita Gokhale presents spirituality not as certainty but as questioning, not as doctrine but as lived tension. Mystical experience in her work exists between belief and doubt, reason and surrender, the visible and the unseen. In doing so, she reclaims mysticism as a deeply human response to suffering, loss and the desire for meaning, reminding readers that the search for transcendence is inseparable from the complexities of earthly life.

FOLKLORE, SACRED GEOGRAPHY AND THE FEMININE

Namita Gokhale's literary universe repeatedly returns to memory, myth, and mysticism as living forces rather than inert cultural residues. Her reworking of *Shakuntala: The Play of Memory* consciously invokes the shadow of Kalidasa's *Abhijnana Shakuntalam*, not as an act of simple retelling but as a philosophical dialogue across time. Gokhale's *Shakuntala* recognizes herself in her classical namesake, suggesting that identity is not bound to a single historical moment but circulates through layers of recollection. This narrative strategy resonates with ancient Indian philosophical positions, particularly those of the Lokayata and Advaita traditions, which offer contrasting but complementary ways of understanding memory and selfhood. For the Lokayatas, memory was not a mere cognitive function but proof of a conscious principle that exceeded the physical body. Advaita Vedanta, meanwhile, insists on the essential unity of the individual soul with the absolute reality, arguing that the illusion of separateness arises through

embodiment, social naming, and ego formation. Gokhale's Shakuntala inhabits precisely this tension: born into a world of names, kinship, caste, and possession, she is gradually severed from her primordial awareness, yet remains haunted by flashes of recognition that suggest an older, deeper self. These moments of recognition are often mediated through landscape and sensation. The river Ganga, shimmering in late morning light, touching Shakuntala's knees with a childlike insistence, becomes more than a physical presence. It acts as what phenomenological philosophy would call a "threshold experience," where bodily perception opens onto metaphysical insight. Sound, movement, and touch converge to produce an uncanny familiarity that disturbs linear time. When Shakuntala lies abandoned and wounded in Kashi, bleeding and drifting between consciousness and delirium, memory returns not as orderly narrative but as fragmented images: animals, ascetics, laughter, menace, and divine presences. This structure reflects Henri Bergson's theory of *durée*, where memory is not stored sequentially but exists as an ever-present continuum that surfaces unpredictably, especially in moments of crisis.

The animal imagery that accompanies Shakuntala's suffering—dogs, jackals, and scavengers—draws upon indigenous symbolic systems in which animals function as intermediaries between worlds. A dog settling beside her body is not merely an act of compassion but an echo of folk beliefs that see animals as guardians or witnesses at liminal moments. The jackal, with its watchful gaze, represents predatory time and mortality, while the appearance of Kali introduces a radically transformative dimension. Kali, fierce and unsettling, embodies what psychoanalytic theory would describe as the abject: that which terrifies because it dissolves boundaries between life and death, purity and decay. Yet in Shastra philosophy, Kali is also the compassionate mother who devours illusion. Gokhale's depiction aligns with this paradox. Kali appears terrifying, feeding on the remnants of dreams and desires, yet her realm promises release from pain precisely because it annihilates false attachments. Shakuntala's existential questions—about life, death, flight from the self, and insatiable desire—are framed within this encounter, making mysticism an inquiry rather than a doctrinal answer. This fusion of the mystical and the everyday continues in Gokhale's non-fictional recollections of Kumaoni women, where encounters with the uncanny are narrated with startling intimacy. The episode involving Shivani's meeting with a fearsome ascetic woman exemplifies how folk spirituality disrupts domestic normalcy. The apparition's physical excess—burning eyes, massive limbs, coppery skin adorned with bones and beads—draws directly from iconographic traditions of Bhairavi and Kali, goddesses associated with transgression and transformation. Anthropologically, such figures belong to what Victor Turner identified as liminal beings: entities that exist outside stable social categories and thus possess both destructive and regenerative power. The fear they inspire is inseparable from their capacity to bless. Once fed and appeased, the terrifying figure becomes almost human, bestowing protection and strength. Shivani's lifelong belief that this blessing enabled her resilience and creativity underscores a central theme in Gokhale's work: empowerment emerges not from sanitized spirituality but from confronting the raw, unsettling dimensions of the sacred. Gokhale's engagement with Tantra further complicates conventional moral binaries. Tantra, often caricatured as licentious or occult, is presented as an alternative spiritual technology developed in response to historical and cosmological conditions. Within Hindu cosmology, the progressive withdrawal of Vedic knowledge across cosmic ages renders elaborate sacrificial rituals inaccessible in the present era. Tantric practice, with its emphasis on embodied ritual, mantra, and direct experience, emerges as a pragmatic response to this loss. From a theoretical standpoint, Tantra exemplifies what Mircea Eliade described as "techniques of the sacred," methods designed to collapse the distance between human and divine. Gokhale acknowledges both the profundity of this tradition and its corruption in social practice. The predatory tantric figure encountered by Shakuntala represents the degeneration of sacred knowledge into exploitation, highlighting how power without ethical grounding becomes destructive. Yet Gokhale resists dismissing Tantra itself, insisting instead on a distinction between authentic spiritual discipline and its distorted social manifestations.

Sacred geography plays a crucial role in sustaining these beliefs. Temples, forests, ridges, and riverbanks are not neutral settings but charged locations where myth, history, and lived experience converge. The belief that parts of the goddess Sati fell at specific sites transforms geography into theology, embedding cosmic narratives within the physical terrain of the Himalayas. Stories of deities silenced, sealed, or domesticated by reformist interventions reveal the historical layering of belief systems. The symbolic "civilizing" of fierce local goddesses reflects broader processes of religious standardization, where unruly forms of the sacred are absorbed into orthodox frameworks. Yet traces of their earlier power persist in folklore, superstition, and fear, suggesting that the sacred cannot be fully contained by doctrine. In *The Book of Shadows*, Gokhale extends these ideas into a contemporary psychological register. The narrator's sense of safety in the presence of the supernatural contrasts sharply with her alienation from social reality. After enduring physical trauma, she experiences a merging of self and space, echoing Advaita notions of non-duality where boundaries between subject and object dissolve. The house becomes a living companion, and unexplained events like shadowless figures, or animals reacting to invisible presences, signal an intrusion of the numinous into daily life. Carl Jung's concept of the collective unconscious offers a useful lens here: the recurring motifs of goddesses, spirits, and haunted spaces suggest archetypal patterns that surface across individual psyches and cultural narratives. The eventual restoration of order, marked by the return of shadows and calm, implies a symbolic healing achieved through confrontation with these archetypes. Death, too, is treated as a philosophical problem rather than a terminal event. Gokhale's reflections emphasize the persistence of objects, habits, and memories after the soul's departure, challenging linear notions of finality. This perspective aligns with Indian metaphysical traditions that view death as transition rather than annihilation. Ghost stories, recurring apparitions, and spectral wedding processions populate her narratives, not as sensational devices but as expressions of communal memory. Sociologically, such stories function as shared

symbolic capital, binding communities through fear, curiosity, and moral caution. Once spoken, they acquire a contagious quality, shaping perception and experience across generations.

CONCLUSION

Across her fiction and non-fiction, Gokhale consistently resists rigid separations between philosophy and folklore, history and myth, or the material and the metaphysical. Instead, she constructs a narrative continuum in which lived experience, collective memory, and metaphysical speculation flow into one another, much like the rivers and mountain paths that recur as symbolic motifs in her work. Her engagement with classical texts, especially through the reimagining of Shakuntala, demonstrates that tradition in her writing is not static inheritance but an active, dialogic process through which ancient ideas about selfhood, memory, and the divine are re-examined in contemporary contexts. Central to Gokhale's vision is the idea that identity is layered rather than singular. Drawing implicitly on Advaita notions of non-duality and philosophical theories of memory, her narratives suggest that the self is shaped by forgotten connections to a deeper, transpersonal reality. Social markers such as name, caste, gender, and domestic roles may dominate everyday consciousness, but they never entirely erase the intuitive awareness of something prior and more expansive. Moments of crisis—illness, abandonment, violence, or grief—often become thresholds through which suppressed memories and archetypal images resurface. In this sense, suffering in Gokhale's work functions not merely as narrative conflict but as a catalyst for metaphysical insight. The study has also shown that Gokhale's mysticism is inseparable from place. The Himalayas emerge not simply as a scenic backdrop but as a myth-charged terrain where gods, spirits, animals, and humans coexist within a shared cosmology. Sacred sites, haunted ridges, temples, forests, and rivers operate as liminal spaces—points of passage between visible and invisible worlds. By foregrounding local beliefs, oral traditions, and folk practices, Gokhale challenges homogenized, "civilized" versions of spirituality and restores legitimacy to marginal, often feminized forms of the sacred. Fierce goddesses such as Kali and Bhairavi, along with tantric practitioners and guardian spirits, embody energies that are unsettling yet transformative, reminding readers that spiritual power in Indian traditions is frequently disruptive rather than comforting. Another important conclusion of this study is that Gokhale's representation of Tantra and the occult does not romanticize transgression, nor does it dismiss it as mere superstition. Instead, her writing reveals Tantra as a complex spiritual system rooted in historical necessity, embodied practice, and experiential knowledge, while simultaneously exposing how such traditions can be distorted through ego, desire, and social power. This nuanced portrayal enables a critical engagement with spirituality that avoids both blind reverence and simplistic condemnation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Douglas, E. (2025). *Himalaya: A Human History*. Random House.

Frederick, S. (2024). Suicidal Motive: An Ecocritical Reading of Four Poems. In N. Selvamony, Nirmaldasan, & R. K. Alex (Eds.), *Essays in criticism* (134). Sarup.

Gokhale, N. (2024). *A Himalayan love Story* (4–72). Penguin Books India.

Gokhale, N. (2024). *Gods, graves & grandmother*. Penguin Books India.

Gokhale, N. (2024). *Nainital*. In *The Penguin Book of Indian journeys* (226). Penguin India.

Gokhale, N. (2025). *The Book of shadows* (32–219). Penguin Books India Pvt. Ltd.

Gokhale, N. (2025). *Things to leave behind* (226–229). Penguin India.

Jaiswal, A. (2024). Folklore and Postcolonial Identity : Revisiting Cultural Narratives in Indian Literature. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 4(2).

James, H. (2025). *The House of Fiction* (L. Edel, Ed.; 31). Rupert Hart-Davis.

Mountain echoes: Reminiscences of Kumaoni Women. (2025). Roli Books. (26, 54).

Rao, R. (2024). The Writer and the World. *The Literary Criticism*, 8(1), 256. Vision Books.