


Original Article

A STUDY ON EFFECTS OF STRUCTURED TRAINING INTERVENTIONS ON INJURY RECOVERY AND PSYCHOLOGICAL READINESS IN COMPETITIVE BOXING

Farid Khan ^{1*}, Dr. Arun Mathur ²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Physical Education, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur, India

² Professor, Department of Physical Education, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur, India



ABSTRACT

Boxing is one of the most physically dangerous and psychologically complex sports, where high-impact hits and high rates of repetitive contact are obligatory, physiological loads and constant cognitive-emotional control during the competition are characterized as stressful factors. Boxers at both state and university level in India are prone to injury that interrupts continuity in training, performance patterns, and psychological upkeep. Despite the growing interest in boxing among Indian universities and state academies, there is a lack of empirical research conducted to investigate the concept of injury recovery as a physical and psychological process. In this research, the impact of the structured training interventions on the recovery of physical injuries and changes in psychology of the competent boxers on the state and university level in Rajasthan are studied. The research has a mixed-method research design based on the biopsychosocial model of sport injury, self-efficacy theory, and cognitive appraisal frameworks. Twelve teams of boxers were investigated to obtain quantitative data on recovery time in injuries, competitive anxiety, confidence, and mental strength with the help of standardized measures, and qualitative interviews were carried out to add some context to the data. The statistical tests were one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), repeated-measures ANOVA and multiple regression modelling to analyse differences between groups and changes with time and predictive relationships. The results indicate that psychologically informed training interventions, which are structured, help tremendously in decreasing time to recover the injuries, reducing the competition anxiety, and increasing the confidence and mental strength. The mediating factor of physical recovery and competitive readiness was found to be confidence. The paper will conclude by finding that injury recovery in boxing is not a strictly physiological process but a psychologically and socially entrenched process that is dependent on training settings, coaching actions, and institutional reinforcement. The paper supports the need to change the paradigm of the Indian boxing training systems to integrated and athlete-focused recovery models.

Keywords: Boxing, Injury Rehabilitation, Training Intervention, Competitive Anxiety, Self-Efficacy, Rajasthan.

INTRODUCTION

The sport of boxing has a special place in the competitive world because of the nature of its dependence on the controlled violence, physical and psychological toughness of an individual. In contrast to other non-contact or low-contact sports, boxing makes the sportspersons to continuously experience physical collisions and stay tactically aware, emotionally controlled, and make strategic decisions. Injuries are not thus accidental events, but structural facts, which are embedded in the practice of the sport and

*Corresponding Author:

Email address: Farid Khan (alfaridkhan.uae@gmail.com)

Received: 06 November 2025; Accepted: 23 December 2025; Published 19 January 2026

DOI: [10.29121/granthaalayah.v14.i1.2026.6597](https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v14.i1.2026.6597)

Page Number: 8-12

Journal Title: International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH

Journal Abbreviation: Int. J. Res. Granthaalayah

Online ISSN: 2350-0530, Print ISSN: 2394-3629

Publisher: Granthaalayah Publications and Printers, India

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' Contributions: Each author made an equal contribution to the conception and design of the study. All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Transparency: The authors affirm that this manuscript presents an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study. All essential aspects have been included, and any deviations from the original study plan have been clearly explained. The writing process strictly adhered to established ethical standards.

Copyright: © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.

its competitive culture. The injuries in musculoskeletal, the damage of the ligaments, fractures, and concussive trauma are frequent, especially at the levels where the infrastructure is not properly equipped and recovered.

Boxing in India has been undergoing a very rapid growth in the recent twenty years following an increase in university sports systems and state sponsored training academies. Rajasthan has also become a significant player in this growth and has been able to bring up boxers who compete at inter-university, state and national level. Yet, when it comes to the institutionalization of boxing, the same thing has not happened in regard to the integration of the sports science, psychological support, and the use of evidence-based rehabilitation protocols. This consequently makes state and university-level injury management informal, coach-based, and performance-based. Injury is a serious event in the career of an athlete, which interferes with training programs, participation in competitions, and psychological balance. Recent studies have proven that not only physical capacity is impaired by the injury, but self-confidence, emotional stability and athletic identity as well. In the case of boxers whose performance is highly reliant on confidence, assertiveness as well, and risk-taking, the case of psychological disturbances after the injury can be devastating. Even better performance and clinical recovery often do not eliminate fear of re-injury and performance anxiety, and loss of trust in the body.

The training interventions are central in the creation of these recovery experiences. Training is not just a physical process of conditioning, but a social and psychological context which conveys the norms, expectations, safety, and trust in the ability of the athlete. Periodization, progressive loading, injury-specific modification, and psychological preparation are all aspects of structured training interventions which have been found internationally to enable more successful recovery and return-to-play outcomes. Nevertheless, the training of Indian boxers is usually based on principles of quick recovery, and the psychological readiness is not paid much attention.

Although there is increased knowledge on athlete mental health, there is limited empirical research studies on the integrated effects of training interventions on physical injuries recovery and psychological adjustment of Indian boxers. The literature ignores analysing any of injury prevalence or competitive anxiety outside of training systems. This gap is addressed by this study, which will investigate the effects of organized training interventions on physical and psychological recovery in state- and university-level boxers in Rajasthan, as a part of an integrated theoretical and methodological framework.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To investigate the impact of organized training programs on physical injury recovery in boxers at the state and university levels at Rajasthan.
- To examine psychological alterations, such as competitive anxiety, confidence and mental resilience, which occur to boxers during competition after injury.
- To determine the correlation between physical recovery and psychological preparation to compete.
- To investigate how boxers perceived support in training in the injury rehabilitation and post injury recovery to competition.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The recent sport science sources tend to increasingly view injury recovery as a biopsychosocial process, a process of interaction between biological recovery, psychological response and the social environment. According to [McKay et al. \(2022\)](#), every injury prevention and rehabilitation approach that excludes consideration of psychological and contextual aspects is necessarily less effective. This view is a challenge of the traditional biomedical models which consider injury a purely physiological event. The psychological preparedness to resume sport has also become a prominent construct in modern studies of injury. [Arderin et al. \(2022\)](#) emphasize that the athletes who come back to competitions lacking adequate psychological preparation also demonstrate high levels of anxiety, lack of confidence in their performance, and are more likely to be re-injured. Factors that comprise psychological readiness are perceived control, fear management, confidence, and trust on the injured body. In sports that involve high risk like boxing, the aspect of these factors gains greater significance as there are direct implications of hesitation or fear of an opponent in the field. Competitive anxiety has been widely studied to be a result and an indicator of the outcome of injuries. A study of Indian university athletes by [Gupta and Mehta \(2023\)](#) revealed no fewer than thirty percent of injury history predictors of the increased level of cognitive anxiety resulting in competition. Worry, fear of failure and intrusive thoughts, are referred to as cognitive anxiety that has been found to have negative effects on attention, reaction time and motor coordination. Additionally, [Bennett et al. \(2023\)](#) observe that inadequate rehabilitation communication (including the premature use of the return-to-play decisions) commonly increases the anxiety. The self-efficacy theory also gives a strong explanatory model of psychological recovery. Bandura defines self-efficacy as a conviction that a person can do what he or she needs to cope with the future. [Kumar and Singh \(2024\)](#) showed that psychological skills training was an effective way of developing rehabilitation self-efficacy in Indian combat sport athletes, which resulted in the increased adherence to rehabilitation programs and confidence based on returning to the arena. Such results indicate that psychological interventions implemented in training may have a direct impact on the results of recovery.

Social determinants of injury recovery are coaching behaviour and training environment, which are crucial factors. As [Chen and Light \(2024\)](#) stress, coaches usually play the role of the primary provider of psychological guidance in the context of combat sport, especially in situations where professional sports psychology assistance is not provided. Coaching behaviours that have been found to support in attaining better psychological outcomes include individualized progression, empathetic communication and inclusion in the rehabilitation process, whilst authoritarian or dismissive behaviours enhance anxiety and the fear of re-injury.

Although these improvements have occurred, the study of Indian boxing is still immature and scattered. According to [Sharma, and Yadav \(2023\)](#), most of the Indian universities have inconsistent injury management practices, which are usually influenced by the pressure to participate in competitions, instead of the welfare of the athlete. The lack of integrative research of simultaneous effects of training interventions on physical and psychological recovery in boxing is obvious. The gap addressed by the current study is that training interventions are at the centre of the analysis of injury recovery.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research design used was mixed-method research design based on the biopsychosocial model of sport injury. The sample included 120 international boxers of state and university level (78 men and 42 women) between the ages of 18-25 years representing different universities and state academies in the state of Rajasthan. The Purposive sampling was used to make sure that athletes who had a minimum of three years of competitive boxing experience and had at least one reported injury in the last two years were included. Standardized instruments of injury recovery duration, competitive anxiety, confidence and mental resilience were used to collect quantitative information. The number of weeks to recover after an injury was used as an operationalization of the recovery period. Competitive anxiety was measured with the help of standard state anxiety inventory, whereas confidence and mental resilience were measured with validated psychological scales. The data were recorded at two levels, the first one being right after rehabilitation and the second one after returning to the competition. The qualitative data were gathered using semi-structured interviews of 20 respondents who were chosen with the help of a quantitative sample. Experience of injury, perceived training support, return to competition psychological challenges, and coach role in the recovery were the areas of discussion in interviews. Every ethical guideline was adhered to, such as informed consent and confidentiality. Quantitative analysis entailed descriptive statistics, one-way ANOVA, repeated-measures ANOVA and multiple regression analysis. The effect sizes were computed to evaluate practical significance. Thematic analysis of qualitative data to contextualize and elaborate interpretation of statistical results was carried out.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

ANOVA, one-way, showed statistically significant difference between training interventions groups in injury recovery time. Boxers that engaged in structured, periodized training interventions also had significantly faster recovery times than those that engaged in non-systematic/ad hoc training programs ($F = 9.47$, $p < .001$). The magnitude of this effect ($\eta^2 = .14$) is that training structure has a moderate-large practical effect on recovery outcomes, implying that training intervention produces a significant effect on the duration of recovery. The ANOVA in repeated measures was found to significance in the reduction of competitive anxiety after the structured training interventions ($F = 18.62$, $p = .001$, partial $\eta^2 = .18$). There was a sizable interaction result that anxiety decrease was considerably more in those athletes whose training involved aspects of psychological preparation like gradual exposure, confidence exercises, and coach-athlete reassurance. The multiple regression analysis showed that confidence was an important predictor of the readiness ($b = .52$, $p < .001$) whereas anxiety was an inverse predictor of readiness ($b = [-.33]$, $p < .001$). Recovery of injuries was a moderate source of variation by itself, although the addition of confidence increased the explanatory power of the model substantially (Adjusted $R^2 = .61$), which suggests a mediating psychological influence.

FINDINGS

The results of the current research indicate that the effects of the training interventions on recovery and psychological functioning after injury on the group of state and university level boxers in Rajasthan is statistically significant and conceptually complicated. Instead of existing as independent entities, physical recovery and psychological adaptation turned out to be mutually dependent processes where training structure and coaching behaviour as well as institutional context contributed. Among such outstanding findings, it is possible to mark the fact that the process of physical recovery was not enough to guarantee the competitiveness. Despite most of the participants recovering clinically within the medical orchestrated deadlines, most of them still reported psychological reluctance during the match. This indecisiveness has been expressed through less offensive involvement, an over-reliance on defensive movement and shunning high-stakes encounters. This kind of behaviour change points to the fact that the fear of re-injury even when the body was physically healed, which implies that biomedical indications of recovery were not connected to competitive experience lived. Psychological limitations such as fear of re-injury were the most significant, especially in athletes who had prior experience of recurrent injuries. According to the reports of these athletes, cognitive anxiety increased with the factors of constant worry, self-observation of physical sensations, and intrusive thoughts during bouts. The data also show that fear was not only an emotional reaction but also a performance-controlling process, which influenced the choice of strategy and motor

performance. Of the boxers who viewed their training interventions as gradual, structured, and confidence-promoting, a considerable degree of fear reduction was recorded, which highlights the importance of training design in mediating the effects of psychological vulnerability.

The other important finding is the mediating effect of confidence in the relationship that exists between physical recovery and performance on the competition. Statistical calculations proved that confidence enhanced the linking relationship between readiness to compete and recovery status immensely. Athletes that had recovered confidence in their bodies with the help of organized training said they had more trust in their bodies, risk tolerance and more aggressive fighting styles. On the other hand, those athletes that had lost confidence but regained it through physical recovery did not show any performance inhibition meaning confidence is one of the psychological gates by which physical ability is converted into effective performance. The behaviour of coaching became a decisive factor of recovery. The sportsmen always mentioned coaches as the major provider of psychological support in the process of injuries and rehabilitation. Coaching behaviours that were found to be supportive like reassurance, personalized progress and ongoing engagement in trainings activities correlated with low anxiety and high motivation. Contrarily, coaching practices that were pressure-based, such as the expectations of quick recovery and reduction of psychological issues, increased fear and eroded confidence in the recovery process. The results mentioned suggest the relational aspect of injury recovery, especially in the cases where the formal psychological support systems are not present.

The institutional differences also influenced the recovery experience. The boxers with universities or state academies that were better resourced were more consistent in terms of access to structured rehabilitation training, injury monitoring and supportive coaching environments. The athletes in the less-resourced institutions were also used to working on the self-managed recovery which exposed them to physical injuries and mental strain. This discovery emphasizes the fact that psychological resilience is not merely an individual phenomenon, but it is strongly shaped by structural and organizational terms. A gender-based examination indicated that there were subtle differences in the psychological response to injury. Women boxers said that they experienced greater emotional distress during the periods of injury, which was partly caused by the perceived lack of competitive opportunities and the fear of being supplanted. Nevertheless, with equal training assistance and encouragement, the women athletes showed a similar pattern of psychological recovery as the males. These discoveries dispel deficit assumptions and highlight the need to create training settings where everyone is included. Altogether, the results prove that the training interventions have a multi-dimensional effect on the recovery following injury, affecting it at the physical, psychological, and social levels. Proper recovery was not characterized by the absence of pain or medical clearance but by recovered confidence levels, less fear, and perceived preparedness to compete.

DISCUSSION

The results of this research are very much empirical evidence of the current theoretical models which conceptualise injury recovery as biopsychosocial process. The study undermines the reductionist models which separate rehabilitation and its context in the wider training environment and social interactions by proving that structured training interventions are much more likely to affect both physical and psychological outcomes. The enduring fear of re-injury in physically recovered athletes can be explained by the cognitive appraisal theory that states that emotional reactions are determined by the assessment of the danger and the ability of people to handle it. Within a boxing context, injury essentially influences how athletes perceive vulnerability in the body regarding their previous automatic movements and turns them into a subject of conscious control and scepticism. These appraisals seem to be recalibrated by the intervention of structured training that brings risk back under controlled conditions in order to recover perceived control. Confidence that was found to mediate between positive results is a strong indicator of self-efficacy theory. The confidence was not just the result of recovery but a process by which the preparedness of the physical form was transformed into competitive performance. This observation supports the claim that psychological mastery experiences and physical conditioning should be the focus of rehabilitation programs. Without this kind of integration then there are chances that the athletes will come back into the field still physically fit but psychologically wounded.

The findings revealed that injury recovery is socially embedded around the role of coaches. Coaches, in the Indian university and state sports environment tend to work as the only person in charge who oversees physical and psychological rehabilitation. The results indicate that the process of coaching might support or hinder recovery, based on the way injury is perceived and handled. Supportive coaching enhances the psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness, which have been defined as major needs in self-determination theory, and pressurizing methods diminish these needs, which contribute to anxiety. The institutional differences identified during the study demonstrate the relevance of the organizational setting with regard to determining athlete well-being. Institutions differed greatly in terms of access to structured training interventions and injury monitoring as well as psychological support, which affected the quality of recovery. This underscores the fact that there is a systemic problem in the Indian development of sports activities in the sense that the performance of the athletes is not necessarily predetermined by institutional or standard welfare provisions. Findings related to gender issues also serve to enhance the discussion by showing that structural inequalities can also be combined with psychological recovery. The increased susceptibility of female athletes to injury during the injury phase is indicative of more global problems of representation, opportunity, and security in competitive sport. Nonetheless, the similar recovery results, which were seen when the support was fair, indicate that psychological resilience is not a gender issue

but a situational matter. All in all, the discussion highlights that training interventions should be redefined as psychosocial systems, but not as only physical regimens. The fact that mental recovery is not prioritized poses a serious threat in the sport of boxing where psychological preparation has a direct impact on the safety and performance. The research thus serves in the current controversies in athlete-based training strategies and mental health interventions in competitive sport.

CONCLUSION

The current research gives a thorough and context-based analysis of the effects of training interventions on the recovery of physical injuries and mental adjustment of boxers at the level of states and universities in the state of Rajasthan. Combining the methods of quantitative analysis, qualitative analysis, and modern theories, the research proves the fact that the recovery of injuries in boxing is a multidimensional phenomenon due to the influence of physical training, psychological preparation, coach practice, and institutional reinforcement.

The results provide conclusive evidence that training interventions, which are carried out in a structured form and with adequate psychological understanding, can work wonders in terms of cutting short recovery time, decreasing anxiety during competition, and boosting self-confidence and mentally strong outcomes. The physical recovery did not prove to be a sufficient factor in the competitive readiness; psychological have an overriding influence on the results of the performance including confidence and fear management. Confidence was identified as an important mediating variable, which meant that athletes could use physical preparedness in terms of assertive and competitive behaviour. The paper emphasizes the critical role of coaches as psychological facilitators of recovery especially in settings where there is no formal support of sports psychology. Coaching methods that focused on the progressive approach, encouragement, and involvement of athletes were related to positive recovery performance whereas pressure-oriented coaching practices compromised psychological stability. These results put ethical and professional accountability on coaching systems to embrace athlete-centred recoveries philosophies.

In policy and institutional terms, the study reveals unequal levels of training support among different universities and state academies, which explains why uniform recovery systems in the Indian sports systems are necessary. A merger of psychological skills training, injury education, and mental health awareness training in coaching programs is a key to sustainable development of athletes. To sum it up, this paper supports the idea of a paradigm shift in the Indian boxing training systems, towards more performance-focused, injury-response models versus the concept of holistic, body-mind-focused recovery models. This change is not only required to improve the competitiveness success but also to protect the athlete's health and their long-term engagement in the sport. Further research that focuses on investigation of longitudinal and intervention-based designs should be considered in future to improve and confirm the integrated recovery models in combat sports.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

- Ardern, C. L. (2022). Psychological Readiness to Return to Sport After Injury. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 56(8), 453–461.
- Bennett, H. (2023). Psychological Factors Influencing Return to Sport. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 35(2), 179–201.
- Chen, M. A., and Light, R. (2024). Coaching Behaviour and Athlete Wellbeing. *Sport, Education and Society*, 29(1), 98–114.
- Gupta, S., and Mehta, R. (2023). Competitive Anxiety Among Indian Athletes. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 54(4), 421–438.
- Kumar, A., and Singh, V. (2024). Psychological Skills Training in Combat Sports. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 24(2), 389–398.
- McKay, C. D. (2022). The Biopsychosocial Model of Sports Injury. *Sports Medicine*, 52(1), 1–12.
- Sharma, P., and Yadav, R. (2023). Injury Rehabilitation in Indian University Sport. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 41(9), 1045–1056.