Review Article
ISSN (Online): 2350-0530
ISSN (Print): 2394-3629

International Journal of Research - GRANTHAALAYAH
April 2025 13(4), 223–232

RHYTIDECTOMY AND ITS MEDICO-LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Sabari. CH. [1]

[1] Assistant Professor, Department of Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration, The Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Received 07 March 2025
Accepted 10 April 2025
Published 14 May 2025

Corresponding Author

Sabari. CH.,
sabarijayaraman88@gmail.com

DOI
10.29121/granthaalayah.v13.i4.2025.6156

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

Rhytidectomy, or facelift surgery, is one of the most common cosmetic surgical procedures performed to reverse the effects of ageing by tightening the skin and underlying tissues of the face. The central aim of the present study is to examine rhytidectomy from clinical and medico-legal perspectives, in the context of India. This research is doctrinal, employing qualitative assessments of medical literature, legal statutes and cases to analyse legal duties and patient protection. A detailed history of the facelift is provided, as well as information on types of procedures, appropriate patient selection, preoperative protocol, and postoperative care. It also concerns itself with medico-legal matters like patient consent, negligence, and remedies for botched surgeries. The findings highlight a disconnect between surgical procedure and legal enforcement within the Indian context and the need for defined guidelines as well as accountability. This study finds that although there are many aesthetic benefits to rhytidectomy, strong legal protections and professional standards are necessary to ensure the safety and rights of patients undergoing aesthetic surgery.

Keywords: Rhytidectomy, Medico-legal, Consent, Complications

1. INTRODUCTION

The last several decades have seen significant growth in facial aesthetic surgery. Consistent surgical technique and treatment strategy improvements have grown naturally from a greater understanding of facial anatomy and ageing. Few procedures have seen as much innovation over the years as the facelift procedure. With this in mind, it is hard to believe that the facelift is over 100 years old. While aesthetic surgery is acceptable in modern society, its early 20th-century beginnings were secretive. There was animosity against aesthetic procedures, even by the surgeons who secretly performed the operations. In the 1920s, the American medical community attempted to ban cosmetic surgery. Eugene von Hollander is

recognized as the pioneer of the facelift, having claimed in 1932 that his initial procedure was conducted on a Polish noblewoman in 1901. In 1912, Hollander first referenced this procedure in a chapter titled 'Cosmetic Surgery,' although he did not disclose the specific date of the operation until later. In this chapter, he elaborated on the technique of making elliptical skin excisions in natural skin folds near the hairline and ears. Later, Lexer reported in 1931 his use of s-shaped excisions in the temporal area and elliptical incisions along the forehead and hairline for an actress in 1906. Charles Miller is believed to be the first American to perform a facelift, having published an article on his methods in 1907. Other contemporaries, including Joseph, Passot, and Bourget, also described analogous techniques involving elliptical excisions aimed at rejuvenating the aging face. Notably, Bourguet was the first to detail subcutaneous dissection with undermining, as well as fat excisions to address periorbital fat pads. It was not until the conclusion of World War I that advancements in facial rejuvenation truly began to flourish. The aftermath of the war created a significant demand for reconstructive surgery, which laid the groundwork for the facelift. Additionally, an increase in the number of surgeons, the growing affluence of Americans, and improvements in anesthesia quality all played a role in the development of facelift techniques. More surgeons started to publish their methodologies, with Noel contributing to the field in 1926 through a book that detailed facialplasty, blepharoplasty, and lifting procedures for the forehead and neck. In 1920, Bettman described a continuous temporal scalp incision, along with preauricular, postauricular, and mastoid incisions, which closely resemble the incisions utilized in contemporary facelift procedures. By the 1960s, surgeons began to focus on deeper tissues to address the limitations associated with subcutaneous facelifts, with Aufrict being the first to advocate for deep suturing. In 1976, Mitz and Peyronie utilized insights from anatomical cadaver studies to identify the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS). They observed that this layer was interconnected with the neck's platysma, the temporoparietal fascia of the scalp, and surrounded the facial mimetic muscles. This identification of a distinct fascial layer, separate from the parotid-masseteric fascia, significantly influenced contemporary facelift techniques. Further advancements occurred in 1989 when Furnas detailed the midface ligaments, enhancing the understanding of the facial soft tissue support system and its implications in the ageing process. Subsequent modifications to facelift techniques emphasized the importance of retaining ligament release during sub-SMAS or deep plane dissections. Additionally, some surgeons pioneered subperiosteal methods for repositioning facial soft tissues, primarily aiming to restore descended malar fat to its original position. In recent years, volumizing treatments like injectable fillers have improved results, and there has been a growing focus on minimally invasive approaches, including limited lifts and various non-surgical lifting options.

2. MOTIVATIONS

People opt for facelift surgery (rhytidectomy) because of various psychological, social, and professional motivations. A key reason is the wish to regain a youthful look by correcting sagging skin, wrinkles, and other ageing signs, often stemming from dissatisfaction with their facial appearance. On a psychological level, individuals may pursue surgery to enhance their self-esteem and ensure their outward appearance reflects their internal sense of youth. Social factors, such as cultural ideals of beauty, media representations of youth, and peer experiences with cosmetic enhancements, significantly influence this decision. In professional contexts, particularly in competitive or appearance-focused fields, individuals may

feel that a youthful appearance can improve job opportunities or maintain their relevance in their careers. Furthermore, significant life changes like divorce, retirement, or reaching milestone ages often lead individuals to consider facial rejuvenation as a way to renew themselves and restore confidence. These interconnected elements play a crucial role in the choice to undergo facelift surgery. Facelifts are primarily sought to restore youthful facial contours that may have diminished due to ageing. As individuals age, they often experience sagging skin and a reduction in facial volume, which can lead to a fatigued or older appearance. The goal of a facelift is to counteract these effects, resulting in a more lifted and rejuvenated facial profile. Additionally, the aesthetic improvements gained from a facelift can significantly boost an individual's confidence and self-esteem, positively affecting their self-perception and overall quality of life. Furthermore, facelifts effectively reduce the appearance of wrinkles and fine lines, which many find frustrating as they age. By tightening the skin and smoothing out these imperfections, a facelift contributes to a more youthful and refreshed look. It also enhances facial definition, addressing issues like jowls and sagging skin to create a more sculpted appearance. Unlike temporary non-surgical treatments, facelifts are known for their long-lasting results, allowing individuals to enjoy their enhanced appearance for years. Due to advancements in surgical techniques, the outcomes of facelifts are designed to appear natural, ensuring that enhancements do not result in an artificial or exaggerated look.

3. THE SELECTION OF PATIENTS AND THE PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT

The selection of patients and the preoperative assessment process in rhytidectomy are essential for achieving favourable outcomes in cosmetic surgery. A successful facelift relies heavily on a well-organized treatment strategy that is grounded in a thorough preoperative evaluation, as insufficient planning can result in less-than-ideal results. The initial consultation should focus on understanding the patient's primary concerns and gathering a comprehensive medical history, which includes evaluating the patient's psychological preparedness. It is crucial to exercise caution when considering individuals who display characteristics of the SIMON profile (Single, Immature, Male, Over expectant, Narcissistic), as these patients may harbour unrealistic expectations and experience dissatisfaction with postoperative results. Furthermore, it is vital for both the surgeon and the patient to have a shared and clear understanding of the procedure, including its associated risks and benefits. The patient's primary aesthetic issue should be distinctly recognized, followed by an in-depth review of their medical background, lifestyle choices, and current medications. Particular care should be taken regarding substances that may hinder wound healing, such as isotretinoin and vitamin E, and it is advisable to discontinue nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), aspirin, and vitamin E supplements at least two weeks prior to surgery to minimize the risk of bleeding during the perioperative period. Additionally, smoking and alcohol use are not allowed due to their detrimental effects on tissue perfusion and the heightened risk of skin flap necrosis; thus, patients should be strongly encouraged to stop smoking at least two months before the procedure. A comprehensive facial evaluation is crucial for effective procedural planning, necessitating a thorough examination of areas that are likely to benefit from a facelift, including the jowls, platysmal banding, and submental fat deposits. Facial analysis is generally categorized into three anatomical sections, with the upper third comprising the forehead and periocular area. The superficial plane rhytidectomy typically overlooks certain aspects, which

encompasses the cheeks and ears. It is crucial to assess the extent of skin laxity in this area and to meticulously document the preoperative position of the earlobe to predict any potential displacement that may occur post-surgery. The lower area of the face includes the jawline, chin, and neck, which also require careful consideration. Dedo's classification of cervical contour serves as an important tool for evaluating neck morphology and informing treatment approaches. This classification system categorizes neck conditions into six distinct classes, ranging from Class I, characterized by the absence of submental fat and a well-defined cervicomental angle, to Class VI, which involves a low-positioned hyoid bone that contributes to neck laxity. Individualized treatment plans should be developed based on this classification, as rhytidectomy alone may not always yield the desired aesthetic results. In such cases, additional resurfacing or structural interventions should be considered during the preoperative discussions. Furthermore, accurate preoperative markings of the face and neck while the patient is in an upright position are vital for enhancing surgical precision and ensuring successful outcomes.

4. TYPES OF FACELIFTS

As surgical understanding has progressed, particularly in relation to the dynamics of facial ligaments and soft tissue, facelift methodologies have become more sophisticated and tailored. The following provides a comprehensive overview of the main types of facelifts utilized in medical practice.

4.1. SUPERFICIAL MUSCULOAPONEUROTIC SYSTEM (SMAS) FACELIFT

Individuals seeking enhancements in skin texture and jawline definition, as well as those experiencing unilateral flaccid facial palsy, may find facelifting procedures advantageous. Ideal candidates for less invasive rhytidectomy methods, such as the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) plication, are typically those with a slender physique and mild to moderate skin laxity and jowling, provided they do not exhibit significant ptosis of the malar fat pads or pronounced nasolabial folds. Conversely, patients with thicker skin and higher body weight often experience suboptimal results with these conservative techniques. The primary objective of SMAS plication facelifting is to enhance facial aesthetics by refining the cervicomandibular angle, diminishing jowls, and enhancing the definition of the jawline. This technique, which has its roots in the 1970s through the work of Swedish plastic surgeon Tord Skoog, has gained traction as a viable option for facial rejuvenation. Various adaptations of the technique have emerged, allowing for effective resuspension of the SMAS in a desirable vector, with some surgeons opting for flap elevation and transposition, while others prefer plication or imbrication methods. Plication involves folding the SMAS and securing it with sutures to create tension, whereas imbrication entails the excision of excess tissue. The SMAS facelift has become the benchmark in modern aesthetic surgery, as it addresses both the superficial skin layer and the underlying SMAS, which plays a crucial role in facial dynamics and supports the overlying soft tissues. The procedure entails lifting the skin, repositioning the SMAS layer, and redraping the skin to restore a youthful contour, effectively addressing concerns such as jowling, deep nasolabial folds, and sagging in the mid to lower face. The outcomes are generally more natural and enduring by repositioning the SMAS rather than merely tightening the skin.

4.2. THE DEEP PLANE FACELIFT

The deep plane facelift, first introduced by Sam Hamra in 1990, employs a dissection technique situated beneath the superficial muscular aponeurotic system (SMAS) of the midface. This approach facilitates the direct release of essential facial retaining ligaments, thereby maximizing the mobilization of superficial soft tissues. By applying tension solely at the fascial level, the deep plane method achieves a tension-free closure of the skin, which contributes to enduring aesthetic outcomes. Furthermore, this technique effectively addresses pseudo-herniated buccal fat that can lead to jowling. Despite ongoing discussions regarding the superiority of various facelift techniques, a well-executed deep plane facelift is capable of delivering significant and lasting rejuvenation to both the lower and midface regions. This advanced modification of the SMAS facelift involves dissection beneath the SMAS and the liberation of facial retaining ligaments, which enhances the mobility of the cheek and nasolabial areas. By elevating the skin and SMAS as a unified structure, this technique minimizes skin tension and enhances the natural appearance and durability of the results. The deep plane approach is particularly beneficial for patients experiencing considerable midface descent, malar flattening, and pronounced nasolabial folds. Although it requires a high level of technical skill, it provides exceptional rejuvenation with a minimal risk of yielding an unnatural or overly taut appearance.

4.3. THE MINI FACELIFT

The mini facelift, commonly known as the short-scar facelift, is a minimally invasive surgical option designed for individuals exhibiting mild to moderate facial ageing. This technique utilises shorter incisions, typically located around the ear area, and involves minimal dissection of the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS). It is particularly suitable for younger patients or those seeking a subtle enhancement, but it offers limited access to the neck and may not be as effective for individuals with noticeable skin laxity or prominent platysmal bands. Key benefits of this procedure include a shorter surgical duration, reduced scarring, and a quicker recovery period.

4.4. MIDFACE LIFT

A midface lift, commonly referred to as cheek lift surgery, is a cosmetic surgical procedure aimed at elevating and repositioning the tissues in the central part of the face, specifically targeting the cheeks, malar fat pads, and the regions surrounding the tear troughs and nasolabial folds. This surgery effectively counters the signs of aging by restoring volume and minimizing sagging in these areas. Various techniques are available, such as the endoscopic midface lift and the Minimal Access Cranial Suspension (MACS) facelift, each offering distinct advantages tailored to the individual patient's requirements. By concentrating on the midface, this procedure yields a more precise and natural appearance, making it a favoured option for those seeking facial rejuvenation. The midface is essential in establishing the overall symmetry and aesthetic appeal of the face, with key components including the cheekbones, malar fat pads, and tear troughs. As one ages, the midface tissues tend to sag, leading to hollow cheeks, drooping brows, and pronounced nasolabial folds, which collectively contribute to a fatigued and aged look. A midface lift effectively addresses these issues by lifting and repositioning the sagging tissues, resulting in a naturally refreshed appearance.

4.5. THE THREAD LIFT

The thread lift is a minimally invasive or non-surgical option compared to conventional rhytidectomy. This procedure entails the insertion of absorbable barbed sutures beneath the skin, which serve to elevate and secure the drooping facial tissues. Although it is appealing due to its brief recovery period and ease of execution, the thread lift provides only temporary and modest enhancements, generally lasting between 12 to 18 months. It is most appropriate for individuals exhibiting early signs of facial sagging who have not yet reached the stage for surgical facelift options.

4.6. THE NECK LIFT

The neck lift procedure addresses the signs of ageing in the neck area and is frequently combined with conventional facelifts to achieve a balanced rejuvenation effect. This procedure may involve techniques such as platysmaplasty, which tightens the platysma muscle, submental liposuction to remove excess fat, and the excision of surplus skin. the approach to cervical rejuvenation can be tailored to the individual's neck type, as classified by Dedo, and to specific anatomical concerns like submental fat deposits, visible platysmal bands, or a low hyoid bone position.

5. COMPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH FACE AND NECK LIFT SURGERY AND POST-OPERATIVE CARE

Complications include skin necrosis, which occurs when the blood supply to the skin is inadequate, leading to tissue death. This condition may result in the formation of an eschar that requires surgical removal, along with the need for dressings and potential corrective surgery for unsightly scars. Additionally, fat necrosis can occur if the fat cells beneath the skin suffer from insufficient blood flow or are damaged during the procedure, leading to lumps that may be palpable or visible. In some cases, liquid discharge from the surgical site may arise due to fat liquefaction, necessitating further surgical intervention. Infections in areas of fat necrosis may require antibiotics or additional surgery. Furthermore, skin contour irregularities, such as visible wrinkling or puckering, may develop post-surgery, potentially requiring further treatment. Patients may also experience tightness and restricted movement due to the tightening of deeper facial and neck tissues, which can limit actions like fully opening the mouth or turning the head. While these limitations typically resolve within a few months, some individuals may continue to feel a sense of restriction. There is a risk of facial nerve injury, which could impair the ability to perform facial movements such as raising eyebrows, closing eyes, or smiling. The development of hematomas is the most prevalent significant complication associated with facelift surgery. Factors that may contribute to the occurrence of hematomas in patients include male gender, high blood pressure, the use of anticoagulant medications like aspirin, smoking habits, body mass index, fluctuations in blood pressure before and after surgery, as well as post-operative activities such as retching and vomiting, and feelings of nausea. Hematomas can lead to tissue ischemia, prolonged swelling, skin discoloration, and patient discomfort. The reported incidence of hematomas ranges from 0.2% to 8.1% in various studies. Research on hematoma formation post-facelift often highlights the use of drains at the surgical site, which can introduce complications such as infection, leakage, and dislodgment. Additionally, these drains can create tracts upon removal, require painful extraction, and pose a risk of damaging blood vessels during the process.

Post-operative care after a rhytidectomy (facelift) includes essential guidelines to promote healing and reduce complications. Patients should limit their activities right after the procedure, keep their heads elevated while sleeping, and use facial ice packs during the first week to alleviate swelling and discomfort. Drains may be inserted and are generally removed within 2 to 4 days. Showering is allowed 72 hours after surgery, assuming the drains have been taken out, and incisions should be cleaned gently without scrubbing. Patients should refrain from driving or working while taking prescribed pain medications but can use prescribed drugs for pain relief and antihistamines like Benadryl for itching. It is important to avoid non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as ibuprofen during the first week. Common postoperative symptoms include moderate swelling and bruising in the face and neck, discomfort manageable with medication, and mild to moderate drainage from the incisions. Sutures are typically removed 5 to 7 days after surgery, with a return to light activities within 3 to 7 days and to more vigorous activities after 3 to 4 weeks. Patients should reach out to their surgeon if they experience severe pain, ongoing swelling, excessive drainage, or a fever exceeding 101.5°F.

6. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Informed consent is an essential legal and ethical obligation in all medical interventions, especially in elective cosmetic surgeries like facelifts. Given that facelifts are primarily aimed at enhancing appearance rather than addressing medical issues, the responsibility on the surgeon to secure appropriate consent is greater than in other surgical disciplines. A legitimate informed consent process necessitates that the patient is thoroughly informed about the procedure, associated risks, alternative options, anticipated results, and recovery duration. The information must be conveyed in straightforward, non-technical terms to ensure the patient fully understands the details. Neglecting to obtain proper consent can result in legal actions for negligence, battery, or inadequacy in service under tort and consumer protection laws. A notable Indian case regarding consent is Samira Kohli V. Dr. Prabha Manchanda & Anr, where the Supreme Court of India underscored that specific consent is required for every medical procedure. In this instance, the patient had agreed to a diagnostic procedure, yet the surgeon conducted a hysterectomy without explicit consent. The court ruled in favour of the patient, asserting that blanket consent cannot be presumed, and any additional procedure necessitates a distinct, informed agreement. This ruling carries significant implications for facelift surgeries, as it mandates that surgeons ensure patients explicitly consent to every component of the procedure, including any unforeseen corrections that may be needed during surgery. Another pivotal Indian case, Mr. Martin F. D'Souza V. Mohd. Ishfaq, further emphasized the necessity of informed consent and meticulous documentation in medical practices. The decision highlighted that a physician's defense in a malpractice case heavily relies on well-documented patient consent and effective communication. In the realm of facelifts, this indicates that surgeons must not only verbally inform patients about potential risks, such as scarring, asymmetry, nerve damage, or dissatisfaction with outcomes, but also ensure that this information is properly recorded.

The case of Montgomery V. Lanarkshire Health Board significantly influenced the law surrounding informed consent by changing the focus from what a 'reasonable doctor' would disclose to what a 'reasonable patient' would wish to know. The UK Supreme Court determined that physicians are obligated to reveal all material risks that a patient might find significant, rather than solely what the physician deems pertinent. This ruling is especially relevant in the context of facelift

surgeries, where patient expectations can vary greatly, and dissatisfaction may result in legal challenges. If a patient asserts that they were not properly informed about a particular risk, courts may favour the patient if it is concluded that a 'reasonable patient' would have desired that information prior to consenting to the procedure. To mitigate potential legal issues, surgeons should prioritize a comprehensive, well-documented, and patient-focused consent process. Implementing detailed written consent forms, pre-operative images, and even video recordings of the consent conversation can provide robust legal safeguards. Patients should be allowed ample time to reflect on their choices, and any unrealistic expectations must be addressed prior to the operation. Additionally, marketing materials and consultations should refrain from guaranteeing outcomes or employing misleading visuals, as this could result in allegations of deception or fraud.

A facelift procedure, if conducted by an unqualified or unlicensed practitioner, can lead to serious legal repercussions. Such an individual may be charged under various sections of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (hereinafter mentioned as BNS) for causing injury to the victims, when the medical practitioner is negligent while conducting the procedure and thereby causing death of the patient, he may be charged under Section 106 of the BNS, which pertains to causing death by negligence. Patients have the right to file complaints under the Consumer Protection Act for inadequate service, which can result in compensation claims. Furthermore, performing surgery without the necessary qualifications can lead to the revocation of the practitioner's license, imprisonment, or financial penalties, and regulatory authorities may shut down the establishment involved. According to the Consumer Protection Act of 2019 in India, individuals undergoing cosmetic procedures, such as facelifts, are recognized as consumers, while the medical professionals and clinics providing these services are deemed service providers. Suppose a facelift leads to harm due to negligence, lack of informed consent, misrepresentation, or substandard care. In that case, the affected individual can lodge a complaint with the Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission at the district, state, or national level, depending on the claim's value. The law grants the forum the authority to award compensation for physical and emotional distress, medical costs, corrective treatments, lost wages, and punitive damages when appropriate. Importantly, the Act facilitates legal action by enabling online submissions and prompt resolutions, making it an effective mechanism for victims of cosmetic surgery malpractice to seek justice and financial compensation.

7. CONCLUSION

Facelift surgery, also known as rhytidectomy, represents a convergence of cutting-edge medical advancements and shifting legal frameworks. With the growing popularity of this procedure in India, driven by heightened aesthetic consciousness, societal expectations, and the nation's rise as a hub for medical tourism, it is crucial to reconcile the allure of rejuvenation with the inherent risks of surgery. This study emphasizes that although technological progress has enhanced the safety and efficacy of facelifts, the legal and regulatory systems have lagged behind. Insufficient enforcement, a lack of specific regulations for cosmetic surgery, and gaps in patient education create opportunities for malpractice and violations of rights. To tackle these challenges, India needs to establish clearer, procedure-specific legal standards, enforce more rigorous surgeon accreditation processes, and adopt patient-focused practices such as thorough informed consent and effective grievance resolution mechanisms. Ultimately, prioritizing patient safety

while fostering professional integrity is essential for the ethical and sustainable advancement of cosmetic surgery in India. Therefore, legal protections and regulatory frameworks must adapt to safeguard patients, particularly as the market expands effectively. Implementing standardized consent forms, establishing surgeon accreditation, and enhancing patient education are critical measures for progress.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Barrett, D. M., Casanueva, F. J., & Wang, T. D. (2016). Evolution of the Rhytidectomy. World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2(1), 38-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wjorl.2016.05.005

Cheng, E. T., & Perkins, S. W. (2005). Rhytidectomy Analysis: Twenty years of Experience. Facial Plastic Surgery Clinics of North America, 13(1), 15-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsc.2004.06.003

Dedo, D. D. (1980). "How I do it"-Plastic Surgery: A Preoperative Classification of the Neck for Cervicofacial rhytidectomy. The Laryngoscope, 90(11 Pt 1), 1894-1896. https://doi.org/10.1288/00005537-198011000-00020

Golash, A. (n.d.). 8 Reasons Behind the Increasing Popularity of Facelift Surgery. Plastic and Hand Surgery Clinic. Retrieved May 8, 2025

Howard, P. (2024). Understanding the Motivations Behind Facelifts. Lesavoy Plastic Surgery.

Joshi, K., Hohman, M. H., & Seiger, E. (2023). SMAS Plication Facelift. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK531458/

Kandinov, A., Mutchnick, S., Nangia, V., Svider, P. F., Zuliani, G. F., Shkoukani, M. A., & Carron, M. A. (2017). Analysis of Factors Associated with Rhytidectomy Malpractice Litigation Cases. JAMA Facial Plastic Surgery, 19(4), 255-259. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamafacial.2016.1782

LaRowe, E., & Frankel, L. (2016). Face lift Post-Operative Instructions. University of Michigan Health System.

Piras, M., Boffi, A., Casadei, R., & Mazzoni, A. (2016). Cosmetic Surgery: Medicolegal Considerations. Open Medicine, 11(1), 327-329. https://doi.org/10.1515/med-2016-0044

Pourdanesh, F., Esmaeelinejad, M., Jafari, S. M., & Nematollahi, Z. (2016). Facelift: Current Concepts, Techniques, and Principles. In Tech. https://doi.org/10.5772/63150

Raggio, B. S., & Patel, B. C. (2023). Deep Plane Facelift. In StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.statpearls.com/

Rehman, U., et al. (2023). Title of the Article. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 61(4), 455-463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2023.01.015

Supreme Court of India. (2008). Samira Kohli v. Dr. Prabha Manchanda & Anr., AIR 2008 SC 1385.

Supreme Court of India. (2009). Martin F. D'Souza v. Mohd. Ishfaq, (2009) 3 SCC 1.

Supreme Court of the United Kingdom. (2015). Montgomery v Lanarkshire Health Board [2015] UKSC 11. https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/uksc-2013-0136.html

The British Association of Aesthetic Plastic Surgeons. (2022). Patient Information Guide and Consent Document (BAAPS Consent Forms 2022).

Truswell, W. H. IV, & Fox, A. J. (2023). Reducing Surgical Risks in a Rhytidectomy. Facial Plastic Surgery Clinics of North America, 31(2), 239-252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsc.2023.01.013

Yang, A. J., & Hohman, M. H. (2023). Rhytidectomy. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK564338/