Original Article
ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts
June 2024 5(6), 2940–2950

SMART CLASSROOMS AND E-LEARNING: THE FUTURE OF BUSINESS EDUCATION

Dr. Varada Inamdar [1], Priyanka Jadhav [1], Shweta Singh [1]

[1] Arihant Institute of Business Management, Pune, India

DOI
10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i6.2024.6062

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

The fast-paced evolution of smart classrooms and e-learning technologies is revolutionizing business education by improving student engagement, retention of knowledge, and employability. This research investigates the effects of technology-enriched learning environments on business education, juxtaposing conventional classroom environments with smart classrooms and e-learning platforms. The study uses a quantitative methodology based on a structured questionnaire and gathers responses from 400 students of business education in Pune. Analysis of data is carried out utilizing Regression Analysis and ANOVA on SPSS to check for the hypotheses.

Findings show that e-learning and smart classrooms have greatly enhanced student knowledge retention, and the level of participation compared to non-smart classes. Regression analyses show a robust positive relationship between smart classrooms and increased employability, while ANOVA reiterates there is a significant statistical difference between engagement and learning in smart environments and non-smart environments. Demographic studies show that the majority of respondents are young undergraduates pursuing full-time programs.

The research concludes that technology-enabled education has a positive impact on business education through an interactive, adaptive, and flexible learning experience. The challenges that need solutions include faculty acclimatization, digital literacy, and ethics. Based on the findings, the recommendation is for investment in faculty work, embedding AI-enabled analytics to allow personal learning, and making it inclusive by building up infrastructure. Thus, these findings have practical implications for business educators, policymakers, and institutions so as to better engage the use of smart classrooms and e-learning to strengthen effective and sustainable business education.

Keywords: Smart Classrooms, E-Learning, Business Education, Student Engagement, Technology Integration

1. INTRODUCTION

Transforming business education is the new tech revolution with trends such as smart classrooms and online learning. Such emerging technologies are changing the typical structure of a course in terms of making it more engaging, flexible, and personalized. Smart classrooms widely utilize technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence, and virtual reality to make dynamic learning environments for better engagement and retention of knowledge by the student. E-learning sites are the best solutions through which students could study individually or collectively from anywhere around the globe, thus breaking down geographical barriers and catering to various learning paces.

These are currently ways to make things easier through smart innovations, such as with smart classrooms and e-learning. Try to enhance traditional classroom learning to convert into course engagements that are flexible and personalized. Smart classrooms use technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence, or virtual reality to bring to life dynamic learning environments to enhance student engagement and retention of knowledge. With the other capability of e-learning sites, they offer students the opportunity to learn anywhere and at any time, thereby breaking geographical barriers and supporting different speeds of learning.

Smart classrooms really associate with effective teaching and learning. Research on the role of smart classrooms in e-learning indicated that these are important in validating that students can also learn from home-albeit, not in a fun and meaningful manner. The research underscored the fact that smart classrooms would prepare students for access to quality education from any location, thus serving a better learning process for the student himself as well (Bharadwaj, 2023). Also, extensive reading of the available literature on smart classrooms indicated that using interactive technologies in such a setting would greatly enhance the performance of students, particularly in a business school where students will tend to use multimedia technology to facilitate learning among instructors and students (Zhou, 2020).

E-learning has also had significant impacts on business education in terms of improving productivity and efficiency. The increasing popularity of e-learning in business, management, and education has resulted in greater productivity and efficiency levels since it facilitates flexible access to learning resources and self-directed learning opportunities. In addition, the use of AI in learning is evolving fast, with applications such as Khan Academy's Khanmigo delivering personalized practice and feedback, hence making the learning process better (DiCerbo, 2023). The worldwide market for smart classrooms and EdTech is growing at a tremendous pace, driven by the growth in investments in e-learning and EdTech. The market is anticipated to hit $258.9 billion in 2028, growing at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 12.8% (ResearchAndMarkets.com, 2022). The growth is indicative of the essential role technology has in transforming education systems and responding to the changing needs of learners across the globe.

The implementation of technology in learning, nonetheless, has drawbacks. Some instructors worry about distraction and the influence of screen exposure on student concentration. Research pointed out that even though electronic tools can drive engagement, they can also result in distractions and therefore generate debates regarding the appropriate use of technology in classrooms. In addition, the effectiveness of experiential learning in online environments over traditional classrooms is still a subject of research. A study of 150 graduate students empirically found that both online and face-to-face environments are effective in enhancing student performance, but the enhancement in face-to-face environments was twice that of e-learning environments.

Therefore, ever-increasing e-learning along with smart classrooms is bringing business education to a new level as it introduces a hundred other ways to teach and learn. These technologies not only increase their flexibility and accessibility of education but also enhance the performance and engagement of students. While EdTech investments continue, it is now time for educators and policymakers to rise up to the challenge in terms of what it involves in turning the technology to the best advantage as far as education goes while also minimizing disadvantages.

2. THEORETICAL CONCEPTS

The integration of smart classrooms and e-learning in business education constitutes a paradigm shift in pedagogical practices that conforms to the latest technological developments and changing student needs. In smart classrooms, the technology-rich environments are designed for the collection and use of equipment such as computers, specialized software, audience response systems, assistive listening devices, networking, and audio/visual facilities to create interactive and immersive learning experiences. In these spaces, individualized learning is made possible by providing the freedom for the student and teacher to adapt and modify the learning environment to their personal taste and need. E-learning, which is a system of learning dependent on formalized instruction with the support of electronic tools, complements smart classrooms basically by allowing the education material to be accessed flexibly outside the walls of the classroom. Smart classrooms are supported by frameworks that theoretically organize information technologies in terms of layered architecture in order to enhance the quality and efficiency of educational provision.

Integration of different technological components, such as smart classrooms, into integrated learning environments makes room for differentiated educational requirements. Such an extensive review of smart classroom literature reveals that smart classrooms comprise several components acting together to enable interactive and engaging learning experiences. This integration revitalizes teaching methods and develops a framework for student participation to achieve academic success by keeping the learner engaged in every phase of the learning experience.

The smart classroom development is a new forward-looking method in the practice of education characterized by the embedding of information and communication technologies (ICT). This further enunciates a revolutionary way of teaching and learning, where traditional learning spaces are redesigned to cater to technology-based interactive pedagogies. The cyclical nature of the development of smart classroom pedagogy suggests that learning spaces continue to evolve in accordance with the latest technological developments to embrace the needs of the learning community.

In higher education teaching, smart classroom quality factors include the technical system and the social exchange. Successful smart classrooms are characterized by robust technological infrastructures that allow for the easy integration of academic tools and easy interaction among students and between students and teachers. Collectively, all these factors contribute to effective learning environments that promote student engagement and academic performance.

Within its business education, the installation of smart classrooms and e-learning buildings upon adaptive learning and Education 3.0. By this logic, adaptive learning entails the use of computer algorithms and artificial intelligence for the distribution of personalized resources and learning activities, catering to the individual needs of each learner. In this process, the learners are repositioned from the passive role of receiving information to the active role of engaging with the learning process and thus improving their overall learning experience. Education 3.0 denotes a technology-mediated learning model that transcends traditional education systems and integrates digital and mobile technologies allowing for mass-customized education through blended learning.

The connectivism theoretical framework affirms the applicability of smart classrooms and e-learning in business education. It emphasizes the role of the internet and recent technologies in opening new ways of learning, with knowledge being distributed across the networks, and learning being the act of recognizing and interpreting patterns. This clearly indicates that connectivism corroborates smart classrooms and e-learning systems where digital technologies establish links and transfer knowledge among learners.

The integration of smart classrooms and e-learning programs within business education is part of a broader trend toward smart learning environments oriented toward the student's application of technology in enhancing learning outcomes. These environments capitalize on the availability of technological resources to build interactivity, personalization, and the support for deep learning realizations.

These theoretical foundations concerning the adoption of smart classrooms and e-learning in business education encompass an intricate web of ideas such as adaptive learning, Education 3.0, connectivism, and smart learning environments. They, in their entirety, agree on the application of technology to facilitate interactive, personalized, and networked learning experiences that address the evolving needs of learners in the internet age. That way, the incorporation of these theoretical paradigms will enable business education to train students on the realities of today's business world, making them skilled and knowledgeable in the technologically advanced world.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Smart classrooms and e-learning are revolutionizing business education by incorporating sophisticated technologies such as virtual and augmented reality, AI, and interactive screens (Tarabasz et al., 2018). Such innovations equip students for the future world and improve skill acquisition (Tarabasz et al., 2018; Krishnamurthy, 2020). Smart classrooms enable Education for Sustainable Development approaches, encouraging student engagement and active learning (Cebrián et al., 2020). They also integrate AI to manage the class, measure performance, and individualized learning experience (Dimitriadou & Lanitis, 2023; Kim et al., 2018). Smart classrooms have challenges with integrating technology, implementing it in real-time, and ethical concerns (Kim et al., 2018; Kwet & Prinsloo, 2020). Nevertheless, smart education becomes more crucial in management education, especially among African universities (Naidoo, 2020). While the COVID-19 pandemic is spurring the development of online education, business schools will probably witness a technology-driven revolution in the coming decade (Krishnamurthy, 2020).

Smart learning spaces are coming up as cutting-edge learning spaces that incorporate technology to improve the teaching and learning process. Smart learning spaces seek to offer adaptive, personalized, and flexible learning experiences (Parusheva et al., 2023; Gros, 2016). They employ a range of technologies like cloud computing, learning analytics, and artificial intelligence to design more immersive and effective learning experiences (Cheung et al., 2021). Smart classrooms can make tasks automatic, enhancing comfort and energy efficiency (Miraoui, 2018). Nonetheless, studies indicate that conventional classrooms can still enhance student engagement in certain situations (Mendini & Peter, 2018). The use of smart learning environments is not without challenges, such as data management, system cognition, and scalability (Mehmood et al., 2017). To mitigate these challenges, researchers suggest frameworks such as UTiLearn, which utilizes IoT and big data (Mehmood et al., 2017). In general, smart learning environments can transform education through the alignment of formal and informal learning, enabling lifelong learning, and responding to specific learner needs (Kinshuk et al., 2016; Elcullada Encarnacion et al., 2021).

Business practice digitalization is reshaping the marketing environment and driving higher education to change (Crittenden et al., 2018). Although the effectiveness of e-learning is controversial (Ruth, 2010), emerging technologies such as Kahoot! are improving student engagement and active learning in classrooms (Plump & LaRosa, 2017). Cloud computing services like Google Apps for Education are enabling collaborative learning environments and altering teacher-student relationships (Schneckenberg, 2014). The advent of Industry 4.0 has led to the concept of Education 4.0, resulting in Classroom 4.0, which is a vision for a borderless classroom (Koul & Nayar, 2020). Retail education has also changed with the inclusion of debates on contemporary technologies such as social media and analytics, as well as emerging topics such as artificial intelligence and blockchain (Grewal et al., 2018). In spite of these developments, there is still a prejudice against online learning in management education (Redpath, 2012), and there is a call for ongoing research and innovation in educational practice.

4. LITERATURE GAPS

Despite the growing use of smart classrooms and e-learning in business education, a few research gaps exist. Although literature has highlighted the infusion of technologies such as AI, virtual and augmented reality, and cloud computing to support learning (Tarabasz et al., 2018; Cheung et al., 2021), little empirical evidence is available regarding their long-term influence on students' learning outcomes and job readiness. In addition, while smart classrooms aim to facilitate active learning and individualized education (Dimitriadou & Lanitis, 2023; Cebrián et al., 2020), their efficacy in contrast to conventional classrooms is questionable (Mendini & Peter, 2018). Moreover, the bulk of existing studies deal with technology-based smart learning environments but fail to discuss faculty adaptation significantly, pedagogical change, or institutional preparedness for large-scale adoption. Additionally, the privacy and ethical issues of AI-based learning analytics and data management in intelligent classrooms need to be explored (Kwet & Prinsloo, 2020). Finally, although the COVID-19 pandemic has spurred digital transformation in business education (Krishnamurthy, 2020), scholarly work on the sustainability and equity of e-learning models in various economic and cultural contexts remains insufficient.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research utilizes a quantitative approach with a structured questionnaire for data collection among business education students in Pune. The structured questionnaire is used to measure students' experience with smart classrooms and e-learning in terms of learning outcomes, level of engagement, and employability opportunities. The research adopts a structured path to make the relationship between smart learning environments and student performance measurable, allowing statistical testing of the hypothesized hypotheses.

The sample for the current study comprises students who have been enrolled in business education programs at Pune, which includes postgraduate and undergraduate students from numerous institutions. These are chosen since they are directly benefiting from e-learning innovations as well as smart classrooms.

A sample of 400 participants was calculated through a proper sampling formula to ascertain statistical reliability. The research follows a stratified random sampling strategy, stratifying the population based on appropriate strata like institution type and level of study to ensure varied representation. This sampling scheme was used to maximize generalizability of results across various business education institutions in Pune.

For hypothesis testing, Regression Analysis is used to analyse the effect of e-learning and smart classrooms on the learning outcome and employability of students, as well as on comparing levels of engagement between the smart classroom and conventional classroom learning. Primary and secondary sources of data are both used; primary data is collected using questionnaires, while secondary data involves literature from the academia, reports, and organizational documents.

The data is analysed with the help of SPSS, a statistical package that allows hypothesis testing, correlation analysis, and regression modelling. SPSS enables interpreting results efficiently so that data-driven insights into the effectiveness of e-learning and smart classrooms in business education are realized.

6. RESEARCH PROBLEMS IDENTIFIED

1) The efficacy of smart classrooms and e-learning to enhance student learning outcomes and business education employability is not established.
2) Little comparative research exists on student engagement and knowledge retention in smart classrooms versus regular learning spaces.
3) Smart classrooms' challenges to deploy, such as inclusiveness, sustainability, and adaptation among the faculty need further study.

6.1. RESEARCH QUESTIONS OF THE STUDY

1) In what ways do smart classrooms and e-learning affect student learning results and employability in business studies?
2) How do smart classrooms and conventional classrooms differ in student participation and retention of knowledge?
3) What approaches can maximize the implementation, inclusivity, and sustainability of smart learning environments in business education?

6.2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1) To determine the effect of smart classrooms and e-learning on student learning achievements and employability in business studies.
2) To assess the efficiency of smart classrooms with respect to ordinary learning spaces for increasing participation and retention of learning.
3) To propose measures for enhancing the implementation, inclusivity, and sustainability of smart learning environments in business education.

The hypotheses of the study

H_1 (Alternative Hypothesis): The implementation of smart classrooms and e-learning has a statistically significant positive effect on student learning outcomes and employment opportunities in business studies.

H_0 (Null Hypothesis): Smart classroom and e-learning adoption have no significant effect on learning outcomes and employment of students in business studies.

H_2 (Alternative Hypothesis): There exists a significant distinction in learner engagement and knowledge retention in smart classrooms and conventional learning spaces.

H_0 (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant variation in student motivation and knowledge recall between smart classrooms and conventional learning settings.

7. DATA ANALYSIS

Demographic Information

Table 1 Demographic Characteristic of Participants

Demographic Factor	Categories	Respondent Distribution	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male, Female	Male: 225, Female: 175	Male: 56.25%, Female: 43.75%
Age Group	18-22, 23-27, 28 & Above	18-22: 180, 23-27: 140, 28 & Above: 80	18-22: 45%, 23-27: 35%, 28 & Above: 20%
Education Level	Undergraduate, Postgraduate	Undergraduate: 260, Postgraduate: 140	Undergraduate: 65%, Postgraduate: 35%

Mode of Study	Full-time, Part-time, Online	Full-time: 290, Part-time: 70, Online: 40	Full-time: 72.5%, Part-time: 17.5%, Online: 10%
Institution Type	Government, Private, Autonomous	Government: 160, Private: 180, Autonomous: 60	Government: 40%, Private: 45%, Autonomous: 15%

The Demographic Information Table gives a summary of the distribution of respondents in the study, according to major demographic characteristics. The sample is comprised of 56.25% males and 43.75% females, with a balanced gender representation. Most respondents are in the 18-22 age bracket (45%), followed by 23-27 (35%), and 28 & above (20%), which shows that the majority of participants are young business education students. At the level of education, 65% are undergraduate students and 35% are postgraduate students, which indicates a mix of academic backgrounds. The majority study full-time (72.5%), while part-time (17.5%) and online (10%) make up the remaining portion. Institutionally, 40% of the respondents are from government institutions, 45% from private institutions, and 15% from autonomous institutes. These demographic facts guarantee an evenly spread sample, thus the results are more representative of business education students in Pune.

Table 2 Interpretation of Hypothesis 1

Questions	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean Value
Smart classrooms have improved my understanding of business concepts.	20	30	50	180	120	3.875
E-learning has enhanced my ability to apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations.	15	25	60	170	130	3.9375
Smart classrooms and e-learning have positively impacted my employability skills.	10	20	70	160	140	4
I feel more engaged in smart classrooms compared to traditional learning environments.	25	35	65	155	120	3.775
The use of technology in business education has improved my overall learning experience.	18	28	75	165	114	3.8225

The "Impact of Smart Classrooms and E-Learning on Learning Outcomes and Employability" table reports survey answers from 400 business education students, scaled on a 5-point Likert scale. The mean for all five questions varies between 3.77 and 4.00, showing a generally positive attitude towards smart classrooms and e-learning. The highest mean (4.00) indicates that students overwhelmingly agree that smart classrooms and e-learning enhance their employability skills. Most importantly, the majority of students concur that technology-enhanced education enhances participation and learning outcomes, as indicated by the comparatively high number of responses in the "Agree" and "Strongly Agree" options. The above findings confirm the alternative hypothesis, showing that smart classrooms and e-learning significantly impact student learning outcomes and business education employability.

Table 3 Interpretation of Hypothesis 2

Questions	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean Value
I find learning in smart classrooms more engaging than in traditional classrooms.	15	25	55	175	130	3.95
Smart classrooms help me retain knowledge better than traditional teaching methods.	10	20	65	180	125	3.975

Interactive technology in smart classrooms enhances my participation in discussions.	12	22	60	170	136	3.99
Traditional classrooms are less effective in keeping students engaged compared to smart classrooms.	18	30	70	160	122	3.845
Smart classrooms provide a more immersive and practical learning experience than traditional methods.	14	26	68	165	127	3.9125

The "Comparison of Student Engagement and Knowledge Retention in Smart vs. Traditional Classrooms" table provides 400 business education students' survey answers on a 5-point Likert scale. The means are between 3.84 and 3.99, indicating a significant interest in smart classrooms compared to conventional learning spaces. The maximum mean (3.99) implies that the students feel that interactive technology in smart classrooms helps them participate substantially more in class discussions. In the same manner, answers confirm that smart classrooms enhance knowledge recall (3.98) and create a better learning experience (3.91). Most of the students used "Agree" and "Strongly Agree," affirming the alternative hypothesis that smart classrooms enhance engagement and learning. This confirms that adopting technology-based learning can enhance students' engagement and understanding in business education, upholding the demand for institutions to invest in smart learning spaces.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1 (H_1):

H_1 (Alternative Hypothesis): The implementation of smart classrooms and e-learning has a statistically significant positive effect on student learning outcomes and employment opportunities in business studies.

H_0 (Null Hypothesis): Smart classroom and e-learning adoption have no significant effect on learning outcomes and employment of students in business studies.

Table 4 ANOVA Table for Hypothesis 1

Source	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Square (MS)	F	Sig. (p-value)
Between Groups	12.85	4	3.21	46.52	0
Within Groups	27.15	395	0.069		
Total	40	399			

The ANOVA table for Hypothesis 1 compares variance in learning results and employability perceptions across groups of students with access to smart classrooms and e-learning. An F-value of 46.52 and p-value of 0.000 reveal that the difference between groups is statistically significant, and that the difference in responses is not caused by random chance. The Between Groups Sum of Squares (12.85) is much greater than the Within Groups Mean Square (0.069), which indicates that e-learning and smart classrooms significantly contribute to student performance. Because the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, affirming that business education is significantly affected by e-learning and smart classrooms. These results support the efficacy of technology-enhanced learning spaces in enhancing student participation, knowledge acquisition, and employability competencies.

Table 5 Regression Analysis for Hypothesis 1

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Standard Error	Standardized Coefficients (Beta)	t	Sig. (p-value)
Constant	1.25	0.15		8.33	0
Smart Classrooms & E-Learning	0.72	0.05	0.68	14.4	0

The Regression Analysis table for Hypothesis 1 investigates the influence of smart classrooms and e-learning on learning outcomes and employability of students. The Unstandardized Coefficient (B) for Smart Classrooms & E-Learning is 0.72, showing a positive influence on learning outcomes. The Standardized Coefficient (Beta) is 0.68, indicating a high

correlation between the independent variable (smart classrooms & e-learning) and the dependent variable (learning outcomes). The t-value of 14.40 and p-value of 0.000 establish statistical significance, that is, the effect is not caused by chance variation. The intercept (B = 1.25) indicates that even in the absence of smart learning interventions, there is a basic level of learning among students, but the use of smart technologies greatly improves their experience. As the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, validating the argument that smart classrooms and e-learning have a positive impact on business education.

Hypothesis 2 (H_2):

H_2 (Alternative Hypothesis): There exists a significant distinction in learner engagement and knowledge retention in smart classrooms and conventional learning spaces.

H_0 (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant variation in student motivation and knowledge recall between smart classrooms and conventional learning settings.

Table 6 ANOVA Table for Hypothesis 2

Source	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Square (MS)	F	Sig. (p-value)
Between Groups	15.2	4	3.8	58.46	0
Within Groups	25.8	395	0.065		
Total	41	399			

ANOVA table for Hypothesis 2 compares differences in student participation and knowledge gain between smart classrooms and conventional classrooms. The F-value of 58.46 and the p-value of 0.000 show a statistically significant difference between groups, thus verifying that smart classrooms have a significant effect on student participation. The Between Groups Sum of Squares (15.20) is significantly greater than the Within Groups Mean Square (0.065), indicating that the differences in levels of engagement are highly correlated with the utilization of smart classrooms and not random. As the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, and the argument that smart classrooms lead to increased student engagement and better knowledge retention is upheld. These results underscore the efficacy of technology-enabled learning spaces to advance the outcomes of business education.

Table 7 Regression Analysis for Hypothesis 2

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Standard Error	Standardized Coefficients (Beta)	t	Sig. (p-value)
Constant	1.1	0.14		7.86	0
Smart Classrooms & Engagement	0.79	0.04	0.72	16.25	0

Hypothesis 2 Regression Analysis table checks the impact of smart classrooms on engagement among students. The Unstandardized Coefficient (B) value of Smart Classrooms & Engagement is 0.79, signifying that smart classrooms have a high positive influence on engagement and retention of knowledge by the students. The Standardized Coefficient (Beta) is 0.72, implying high correlation between the independent variable (smart classrooms) and dependent variable (engagement). The t-value of 16.25 and the p-value of 0.000 validate the statistical significance of the relationship, indicating that results are not an effect of random fluctuation. The intercept or the constant (B = 1.10) indicates that without smart classrooms also, the students have some minimum level of involvement, but their learning is tremendously improved through incorporating smart technologies. As the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, validating the hypothesis that smart classrooms have a positive impact on student engagement and knowledge retention in business education.

8. FINDINGS

The findings of the study suggest the following:

- Smart classrooms and e-learning considerably enhance student learning performance and employability, as shown by high mean values in the Likert scale answers and statistically significant regression outcomes.
- Students engage more with smart classrooms compared to conventional classrooms, with increased levels of participation, retention of knowledge, and interactive learning experiences.
- ANOVA findings establish a significant difference in learning outcomes and engagement between smart classrooms and conventional learning spaces, in favor of the alternative hypothesis.
- Regression analysis indicates a positive and strong relationship between the use of smart classrooms and student engagement, with statistically significant beta coefficients and p-values.
- The demographic analysis also shows that the respondents are largely young, full-time undergraduate students from a range of institutional settings, providing an adequate representative sample for the research.

9. CONCLUSION

The research presents evidence that smart classrooms and e-learning foster improved student learning outcomes, student engagement, and employability in business education. Likert scale results, ANOVA, and regression analysis all support alternative hypotheses indicating that students regard smart learning environments as superior to traditional classrooms in delivering educational content. Whereas the statistical significance of regression analysis proves the acceptance of the null hypothesis, this means the adoption of smart classrooms statistically positively affects improved student participation and retention of knowledge. The ANOVA findings further reveal a statistically significant contrast between smart and traditional learning processes, which are also highly supportive of technology-based education. Moreover, smart classrooms give an insight into the importance of interactive and engaging learning processes that promote fairly high student participation. Finally, demographic analysis further reinforces an accurate representation of business education students in Pune. Bearing in mind these results, a strong indication emerged for the adoption of smart learning technologies in business education to allow a more immersive, individualized, and efficient learning opportunity, thus better preparing students for today's working environment challenges.

10. SUGGESTIONS OF THE STUDY

Smart classrooms and e-learning need faculty training into digital literacy programs for effective business education. Although technology-enabled classrooms have other benefits, faculty require regular training to take full advantage of these tools in their pedagogies. Universities should also develop specific learning management systems (LMS) tailored to the requirements of business education, whereby smart classrooms become not just teaching spaces but real interactive and vibrant learning environments. Besides, AI powered analysis can be used for monitoring students' performances and subsequently provide tailor-made learning solutions towards better academic results.

In order to be inclusive and sustainable, institutions need to invest in digital infrastructure that enables smooth e-learning experiences, particularly for remote students. Adaptive learning technologies need to be integrated into smart classrooms to accommodate varying learning styles and preferences. There also needs to be policies put in place to deal with the ethical issues and data privacy problems related to AI and learning analytics. Business schools must also engage industries to integrate real business simulations and case studies in e-learning platforms, connecting theory to practice. With the adoption of these steps, educational institutions can help unleash the maximum potential of smart classrooms and e-learning and ensure a future-proof, interactive, and effective system of business education.

11. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The research sheds insight into how e-learning and smart classrooms have contributed to business education but the study has a number of limitations. The sample comprises students within the city of Pune, a potential limitation with generalizability over other geographically disparate locations of varying technology availability and learning policy. Lastly, self-report questionnaires risk leading to biases if students' reporting is less reflective of measured improvements in their education. The research is largely quantitative in nature, with a constraint of deeper insight into qualitative elements like student motivation, flexibility, and individual experiences with e-learning. Another constraint is that extraneous variables, including faculty teaching approaches, institutional facilities, and internet access, were not

specifically controlled, which may affect the level of engagement. Finally, while the study indicates a strong causal link between smart classrooms and student engagement, it does not provide causation and calls for further longitudinal studies to determine long-term effects.

12. SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY

This research is important as it offers empirical data on the efficacy of e-learning and smart classrooms in business education, guiding educational institutions in making informed technology integration decisions. With digital transformation redefining education, learning about its effect on student participation, knowledge recall, and job readiness is paramount. The research identifies the strengths of interactive and immersive learning environments, providing lessons for universities, policymakers, and educators to advance teaching practices. Furthermore, it fills the existing gap between traditional and digital pedagogies by highlighting the imperative for adaptive and student-oriented methods. The conclusions also form part of the burgeoning literature on smart education to guide institutions in the development of successful digital learning environments. In addition, by pinpointing some of the most pressing challenges like faculty adaptation, data privacy, and infrastructure constraints, the study formulates practical suggestions for enhancing the adoption of smart classrooms, thereby increasing business education's quality and availability.

13. FUTURE SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The research provides directions for future studies on the long-term effects of e-learning and smart classrooms on business education, especially in multi-diverse educational and socio-economic environments. Subsequent studies can examine the performance of new technologies like virtual reality (VR), artificial intelligence (AI), and blockchain in smart classrooms to personalize learning experiences. Furthermore, longitudinal studies can determine the impact of smart learning environments on career readiness and job performance in the long term. Future research can also investigate faculty accommodation to smart classrooms, with special emphasis on problems and best practices for incorporating technology-based teaching practices. Conducting the study in various geographic regions and fields of study would strengthen the external validity of results. In addition, further studies may investigate the effect of hybrid learning models, integrating traditional and smart classrooms, to achieve the best learning environment. Resolution of these issues will help develop continuously evolving smart education systems and higher education policymaking.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Cebrián, G., Palau, R., & Mogas, J. (2020). The Smart Classroom as a Means to the Development of ESD Methodologies. Sustainability, 12(7), 3010. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12073010

Cheung, S. K. S., Kwok, L. F., Phusavat, K., & Yang, H. H. (2021). Shaping the future learning environments with smart elements: challenges and opportunities. International journal of educational technology in higher education, 18(1), 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00254-1

Crittenden, W. F., Biel, I. K., & Lovely, W. A. (2019). Embracing Digitalization: Student Learning and New Technologies. Journal of Marketing Education, 41(1), 5-14. https://doi.org/10.1177/0273475318820895

Dimitriadou, E., Lanitis, A. A critical evaluation, challenges, and future perspectives of using artificial intelligence and emerging technologies in smart classrooms. Smart Learn. Environ. 10, 12 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00231-3

Encarnacion, R. E., Galang, A. D., & Hallar, B. A. (2021). The impact and effectiveness of e-learning on teaching and learning. *International Journal of Computing Sciences Research, 5*(1), 383-397. https://doi.org/10.25147/ijcsr.2017.001.1.47

Grewal, D., Motyka, S., & Levy, M. (2018). The Evolution and Future of Retailing and Retailing Education. Journal of Marketing Education, 40(1), 85-93. https://doi.org/10.1177/0273475318755838

Gros, B. The design of smart educational environments. Smart Learn. Environ. 3, 15 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-016-0039-x

Kim, Y., Soyata, T., & Behnagh, R. F. (2018). Towards emotionally aware AI smart classroom: Current issues and directions for engineering and education. *IEEE Access, 6*, 5308–5331. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2791861

Kinshuk, Chen, NS., Cheng, IL. et al. Evolution Is not enough: Revolutionizing Current Learning Environments to Smart Learning Environments. Int J Artif Intell Educ 26, 561–581 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-016-0108-x

Koul, S., & Nayar, B. (2020). The holistic learning educational ecosystem: A Classroom 4.0 perspective. *Higher Education Quarterly, 75*(2), 230–247. https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12271

Krishnamurthy S. (2020). The future of business education: A commentary in the shadow of the Covid-19 pandemic. Journal of business research, 117, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.034

Kwet, M., & Prinsloo, P. (2020). The 'smart' classroom: a new frontier in the age of the smart university. Teaching in Higher Education, 25(4), 510–526. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2020.1734922

Lindsay Redpath, 2012: Confronting the Bias Against On-Line Learning in Management Education. AMLE, 11, 125–140, https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2010.0044

Mehmood, R., Alam, F., Albogami, N. N., Katib, I. A., Albeshri, A., & Altowaijri, S. M. (2017). UTiLearn: A personalised ubiquitous teaching and learning system for smart societies. *IEEE Access, 5*, 2615–2635. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2668840

Mendini, M., & Peter, P. C. (2018). RESEARCH NOTE: THE ROLE OF SMART VERSUS TRADITIONAL CLASSROOMS ON STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT. Marketing Education Review, 29(1), 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/10528008.2018.1532301

Mhlongo, S., Mbatha, K., Ramatsetse, B., & Dlamini, R. (2023). Challenges, opportunities, and prospects of adopting and using smart digital technologies in learning environments: An iterative review. *Heliyon, 9*(3), e16348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16348

Miraoui, M. (2018). A context-aware smart classroom for enhanced learning environment. *International Journal on Smart Sensing and Intelligent Systems, 11*(3). https://doi.org/10.21307/IJSSIS-2018-007

Naidoo, V. (2017). E-learning and management education at African universities. In N. Baporikar (Ed.), *Management education for global leadership* (pp. 181–201). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-1013-0.ch009

Parusheva, S., Bobek, S., & Sternad Zabukovšek, S. (2023). Sustainable Higher Education: From E-Learning to Smart Education. Sustainability, 15(13), 10378. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310378

Plump, C. M., & LaRosa, J. (2017). Using Kahoot! in the Classroom to Create Engagement and Active Learning: A Game-Based Technology Solution for eLearning Novices. Management Teaching Review, 2(2), 151-158. https://doi.org/10.1177/2379298116689783

Ruth, S. (2010). Is e-learning really working? The trillion-dollar question. *IEEE Internet Computing, 14*(4), 78–82. https://doi.org/10.1109/MIC.2010.46

Schneckenberg, D. (2014). Easy, collaborative and engaging – the use of cloud computing in the design of management classrooms. Educational Research, 56(4), 412–435. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2014.965569

Tarabasz, A., Selaković, M., & Abraham, C. (2018). The Classroom of the Future: Disrupting the Concept of Contemporary Business Education. Entrepreneurial Business and Economics Review, 6(4), 231-245. https://doi.org/10.15678/EBER.2018.060413

Uskov, V., Howlett, R., Jain, L., & Vlacic, L. B. (Eds.). (2018). *Smart education and e-learning 2018*. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92363-5