Original Article
ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts
June 2024 5(6), 1084–1090

INTEGRATING UDL AND PT: EQUITABLE LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES FOR STUDENTS WITH SLD

Geetika Kapoor [1], Dr. Anjali Midha Saran [2]

[1] PhD. Scholar G.D. Goenka University
[2] Professor GD Goenka University

DOI
10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i6.2024.4548

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Precision Teaching (PT) are two educational frameworks aimed at enhancing learning outcomes, for learners with a wide variety of needs and abilities. While both approaches strive to improve educational experiences, they differ significantly in their methodologies, theoretical foundations, and applications. The current paper is an analytical perspective paper that aims to integrate elements of UDL and PT as a school-based ecological solution to help educators create inclusive, effective and data-informed learning environments that enhance success for learners with Specific Learning Disabilities (SLD).

Keywords: Universal Design for Learning, Precision Teaching, Specific Learning Disabilities, Inclusion, Equitable Education

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING (UDL)

UDL is an educational framework that guides the design of learning environments to accommodate individual learning differences. UDL is rooted in the universal design movement in architecture that advocated environmental modifications, such as, building of ramps, in order to make places more accessible to people with disabilities (Shlasko & Pacheco, 2024). UDL applies similar principles to education to create accessible and effective learning experiences for all students. The UDL framework is built upon three primary principles:

Multiple Means of Representation: Presenting information in various formats to address the diverse ways students perceive and comprehend information.

Multiple Means of Action and Expression: Providing students with different ways to demonstrate what they know, catering to varied physical and strategic abilities.

Multiple Means of Engagement: Offering various ways to motivate and engage students, tapping into their interests and preferences.

1.2. APPLICATION TO SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES

For students with SLDs, UDL offers a flexible approach that reduces barriers to learning (Panneersevlam & Sujathamalini, 2019). By incorporating assistive technologies and adaptive materials, UDL ensures that instructional content is accessible. For instance, text-to-speech software can aid students with dyslexia, while graphic organizers can help those with difficulties in organizing information. The proactive nature of UDL minimizes the need for individualized accommodations, promoting an inclusive classroom environment. Research indicates that implementing UDL principles enhances learning outcomes for students with and without disabilities at higher education levels (Almeqdad et al., 2023).

2. PRECISION TEACHING (PT)

PT is a behavior measurement system that emphasizes the development of behavioral repertoires and utilizes Standard Celeration Charts as its primary tool. This system has been applied across various areas, including mainstream and special education, and has successfully improved academic, motor, communication, and other skills (Evans et al., 2021).

2.1. APPLICATION TO SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES

PT's emphasis on continuous measurement and individualized instruction makes it particularly effective for students with SLDs. By closely monitoring performance, educators can identify specific areas of difficulty and adjust teaching strategies accordingly. For example, a student struggling with reading fluency can receive targeted interventions, with progress tracked meticulously to ensure effectiveness. A systematic review highlighted the effectiveness of PT in improving various academic skills across different domains (Gist & Bulla, 2020).

3. COMPARISON OF UDL AND PT

1) Theoretical Foundations

UDL is grounded in cognitive neuroscience and the understanding that learners have diverse ways of processing information (AlRawi & Alkahatani, 2021). It aligns with constructivist theories, promoting active engagement and knowledge construction. In contrast, PT is rooted in behaviorism, focusing on observable behaviors and the use of reinforcement to shape learning (Evans et al., 2021). This fundamental difference influences how each approach addresses learning disabilities.

2) Instructional Strategies

UDL employs a broad range of instructional strategies to cater to diverse learners, including the use of multimedia resources, collaborative activities, and flexible assessments. PT, however, utilizes precise, data-driven instructional methods, often involving pinpointed practice and immediate feedback to build fluency in specific skills.

3) Assessment Methods

Assessment in UDL is formative and ongoing, with a focus on providing feedback that informs instructional adjustments. Assessments are varied to allow students multiple ways to demonstrate understanding. PT relies heavily on continuous, quantitative assessments, using tools like the Standard Celeration Chart to monitor progress and make swift instructional changes.

4) Flexibility vs. Precision

UDL offers flexibility in instructional design, allowing students to choose how they engage with content and demonstrate learning. This adaptability can be beneficial for students with SLDs who may need alternative pathways to access the curriculum. PT, while less flexible in its methods, provides precision in targeting and improving specific skills, which can lead to rapid gains in areas of deficit.

4. CONTRAST OF UDL AND PT

1) Scope and Focus

UDL provides a macro-level framework applicable to entire curricula and learning environments, aiming to make education accessible to all students. PT operates at a micro-level, concentrating on individual student behaviors and specific skill acquisition.

2) Proactivity vs. Reactivity

UDL is proactive, designing learning experiences that anticipate and accommodate diverse needs from the outset. PT is reactive, responding to student performance data to inform instructional decisions.

3) Inclusivity vs. Individualization

UDL promotes inclusivity by designing learning environments that reduce the need for individual accommodations. PT emphasizes individualization, customizing instruction based on precise measurements of student performance.

5. EFFECTIVENESS FOR STUDENTS WITH SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES

1) Research Evidence

Extensive research has demonstrated that Universal Design for Learning (UDL) significantly enhances engagement and accessibility for students with Specific Learning Disabilities (SLD). By incorporating multiple modes of content delivery, expression, and engagement, UDL ensures that learners with diverse needs can access educational materials in a manner best suited to their cognitive and sensory preferences (CAST, 2018). For instance, students with dyslexia may benefit from audiobooks, while those with dyscalculia might engage better with manipulatives and visual aids. Despite its broad applicability, UDL does not inherently address individualized skill deficits, as it is primarily designed to remove barriers to learning rather than provide intensive interventions.

On the other hand, Precision Teaching (PT) is highly effective in improving fluency, mastery, and retention of specific skills. By systematically measuring performance and adjusting instructional strategies based on data, PT has been particularly beneficial in areas such as literacy and numeracy development (Kapoor et al., 2024). For example, PT has been successfully used to enhance reading fluency in students with dyslexia by providing repeated practice opportunities and tracking progress through the Standard Celeration Chart (Binder, 1996). However, PT's primary focus on skill acquisition at a granular level may not sufficiently account for the broader socio-emotional and contextual factors influencing a student's overall learning experience.

2) Practical Considerations

The implementation of UDL necessitates substantial systemic changes within educational institutions, particularly in terms of curriculum design and professional development for teachers. Creating universally accessible learning environments requires investment in adaptable instructional materials, technological tools, and ongoing teacher training (Rao & Meo, 2016). While this investment promotes long-term inclusivity, it can be resource-intensive and may require extensive institutional commitment.

Similarly, Precision Teaching demands a high level of data collection, analysis, and individualized intervention planning. Educators must frequently assess student performance, adjust instructional strategies, and ensure that interventions are tailored to meet individual needs (Evans et al., 2021). The requirement for meticulous monitoring and expertise in data interpretation can be time-consuming and may pose challenges in general education settings where teachers manage diverse student populations.

Integrating both UDL and PT within an educational framework may present an optimal approach. UDL provides the foundation for an inclusive and flexible learning environment, while PT offers precise, data-driven methods for addressing specific learning challenges. By combining these approaches, educators can create a comprehensive support system that fosters both accessibility and targeted intervention, ensuring equitable learning opportunities for students with SLD.

6. ALIGNING 3 PRIMARY PRINCIPLES OF UDL WITH PT

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Precision Teaching (PT) serve as complementary frameworks that enhance accessibility, engagement, and effectiveness in education, particularly for students with Specific Learning Disabilities (SLD). While UDL aims to remove learning barriers by offering multiple pathways for content delivery, action, and engagement, PT ensures precision in instructional design by systematically tracking student performance and making data-driven decisions. The integration of these two models enables a robust and individualized approach to teaching, particularly by aligning three core UDL principles—Multiple Means of Representation, Multiple Means of Action and Expression, and Multiple Means of Engagement—within the PT framework.

Multiple Means of Representation: Data-Driven Customization of Learning Materials

A key principle of UDL is ensuring that information is presented in varied formats to meet the diverse learning needs of students. This approach acknowledges that learners differ in how they perceive and process information due to differences in cognitive abilities, prior knowledge, and sensory preferences (CAST, 2018). PT aligns with this principle by emphasizing precise behavior definitions and the systematic use of "pinpoints" to break down learning tasks into structured, measurable components (Evans et al., 2021). These pinpoints allow educators to tailor instructional content to the unique needs of each student by defining behaviors in a way that considers different sensory input channels, such as visual, auditory, and kinesthetic modalities.

For example, a student with dyslexia may struggle with traditional text-based learning. UDL suggests providing alternative formats such as audiobooks, text-to-speech software, and interactive visual aids. PT complements this approach by allowing educators to measure how effectively each medium supports the student's learning. By systematically tracking reading fluency and comprehension rates across different formats, teachers can determine the most effective representation strategy. By incorporating PT's emphasis on precise behavior definitions within UDL's flexible content presentation strategies, educators can ensure that learning materials are both accessible and effective for students with SLD.

6.1. MULTIPLE MEANS OF ACTION AND EXPRESSION: INDIVIDUALIZED PERFORMANCE MONITORING

UDL promotes providing students with multiple ways to demonstrate their learning, recognizing that traditional assessment methods may not accurately reflect the capabilities of all learners (CAST, 2018). Some students, particularly those with SLD, may struggle with written assessments but excel in verbal or project-based demonstrations. PT aligns with this principle by using continuous observation and dimensional measurement to track individual student progress. Through the use of the Standard Celeration Chart (SCC), PT allows educators to analyze performance trends in real time, making it possible to assess students based on their unique strengths rather than through a rigid, one-size-fits-all evaluation system (Gist & Bulla, 2020).

For instance, a student with dysgraphia may find handwriting assignments challenging but may be able to express their ideas clearly through speech-to-text software or oral presentations. UDL encourages offering these alternative expression methods, while PT provides a structured system for measuring their effectiveness. By tracking the frequency and accuracy of written responses compared to dictated responses, PT enables teachers to adjust instructional methods to align with the student's most effective mode of expression.

Similarly, in a science classroom, a student who struggles with written lab reports may be assessed through hands-on experiments or video-recorded presentations. PT's real-time tracking of task completion rates and engagement levels ensures that the student is evaluated based on their actual learning progress rather than their ability to conform to traditional assessment formats.

By integrating PT's precise performance monitoring with UDL's emphasis on flexible assessment, educators can ensure that students with SLD are provided with equitable opportunities to demonstrate their learning in ways that align with their strengths.

6.2. MULTIPLE MEANS OF ENGAGEMENT: DYNAMIC AND RESPONSIVE DECISION-MAKING

Maintaining student motivation and engagement is essential for effective learning, particularly for students with SLD who may experience frustration or disengagement due to learning challenges. UDL addresses this issue by providing multiple ways to sustain interest and motivation, such as incorporating student choice, goal setting and real-world applications (CAST, 2018). PT enhances this approach by emphasizing frequent, data-driven instructional adjustments that respond to individual student needs in real time (Evans et al., 2021).

For example, a student may struggle with maintaining focus during reading activities. UDL suggests incorporating interactive elements such as digital storytelling, peer discussions, and scaffolded reading exercises. PT can then track the effectiveness of these strategies by measuring factors such as reading fluency rates, on-task behavior frequency, and comprehension accuracy. If data indicate that peer discussions significantly increase comprehension while reducing task avoidance behaviors, educators can prioritize this strategy to maximize engagement.

Another example is in mathematics instruction, where students who find traditional worksheets disengaging may respond better to gamified learning experiences. UDL encourages using interactive math platforms and real-world problem-solving scenarios to increase motivation. PT can support this approach by tracking student performance across different engagement strategies, allowing educators to refine their methods based on empirical data rather than assumptions.

By integrating PT's real-time progress monitoring with UDL's motivational strategies, educators can create a responsive learning environment that keeps students with SLD engaged while adapting instruction to meet their evolving needs.

7. TOWARDS AN ECOLOGICAL PREVENTIVE MODEL FOR SLD

Leveraging Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Precision Teaching (PT) to create an ecological preventive model for Specific Learning Disabilities (SLD) detection and service delivery is a transformative approach for enhancing inclusion and fostering equitable learning opportunities. This model synthesizes UDL's proactive, inclusive design with PT's rigorous, data-driven methodology to ensure that students with SLD are identified early and supported throughout their educational journey.

At the core of this model is the principle of universality. UDL encourages the design of curricula and learning environments that are accessible to all students from the outset. By embedding multiple means of representation, expression, and engagement into teaching practices, educators can reduce barriers to learning that might otherwise mask the early signs of SLD. This proactive stance not only benefits students with SLD but also creates a flexible framework that accommodates diverse learning needs, thereby promoting equity across the classroom.

In parallel, Precision Teaching provides a systematic approach to monitoring student progress. PT emphasizes frequent, precise measurement of learning behaviors, allowing educators to quickly detect deviations from expected progress. When integrated with UDL strategies, these measurements offer a nuanced view of each student's learning trajectory. For instance, regular assessments and frequency counts can serve as early indicators of potential SLD, triggering timely interventions. This continuous feedback loop is crucial for an ecological model, as it creates a responsive system that adapts to the unique learning profiles of each student.

An ecological preventive model also recognizes the interconnectedness of various educational contexts (Sonja, 2019). By adopting a multi-tiered system of support (MTSS), educators can implement universal screenings in Tier 1 environments where all students benefit from UDL-designed instruction. For students who show early signs of learning challenges through PT data, Tier 2 interventions provide more targeted support. These interventions can be finely tuned using PT's data analytics, ensuring that instructional strategies are both evidence-based and individually responsive. For those requiring even more intensive support, Tier 3 interventions can be implemented, again guided by precise performance data to adjust instruction in real time.

Collaboration among educators, specialists, and families is another key component of this integrated approach. The ecological model promotes shared responsibility for identifying and supporting students with SLD. UDL strategies make classroom instruction inherently inclusive, while PT data offers objective insights that can be discussed among team

members to refine intervention strategies. This collaborative environment not only enhances detection accuracy but also ensures that service delivery is coordinated across different contexts—school, home, and community.

Furthermore, leveraging technology within this model can amplify its effectiveness. Digital tools that support UDL, such as multimedia content and interactive platforms, can engage diverse learners while simultaneously collecting real-time performance data. PT's digital measurement systems can seamlessly integrate with these platforms, providing educators with dynamic dashboards that highlight student progress and flag potential areas of concern. This synergy between technology, UDL, and PT ensures that interventions are timely and tailored, thus maximizing the potential for positive learning outcomes.

8. CONCLUSION

Universal Design for Learning and Precision Teaching offer distinct yet complementary approaches to supporting students with specific learning disabilities. UDL's inclusive framework ensures that learning environments are accessible and engaging for all students, while PT's precise, data-driven methods provide targeted interventions to address individual learning needs. Integrating UDL and PT within an ecological preventive model offers a holistic, inclusive framework for SLD detection and service delivery. By designing universally accessible learning environments, continuously monitoring student progress, and implementing tiered interventions, this approach fosters early detection and prompt support. It ultimately creates a more equitable educational landscape where all students, especially those with SLD, are empowered to succeed.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Almeqdad, Q. I., Alodat, A. M., Alquraan, M. F., Mohaidat, M. A., & Al-Makhzoomy, A. K. (2023). The effectiveness of universal design for learning: A systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. Cogent Education, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2218191

AlRawi, J. M., & AlKahtani, M. A. (2021). Universal design for learning for educating students with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities, 68(6), 800–808. https://doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2021.1900505

Binder, C. (1996). Behavioral fluency: Evolution of a new paradigm. The Behavior Analyst, 19(2), 163-197. Retrieved 15 March, 2024 from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Carl-Binder/publication/223960067_Behavioral_Fluency_Evolution_of_a_New_Paradigm/links/590b91b00f7e9b7fed8f674f/Behavioral-Fluency-Evolution-of-a-New-Paradigm.pdf

CAST. (2018). Universal Design for Learning Guidelines, version 2.2. Retrieved from https://udlguidelines.cast.org

Evans, A. L., Bulla, A. J., & Kieta, A. R. (2021). The Precision Teaching system: A synthesized definition, concept analysis, and process. Behavior Analysis in Practice, 14, 559–576. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40617-020-00502-2

Gist, C., & Bulla, A. J. (2020). A Systematic Review of Frequency Building and Precision Teaching with School-Aged Children. Journal of Behavioral Education. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10864-020-09404-3

Kapoor, G., & Kaul, S. (2024). Critical review of use of medical versus social model for identification of learning disabilities. Journal of Indian Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health, 20(3), 200-204. https://doi.org/10.1177/09731342241248423

Panneersevlam, G., & Sujhathamalini, J. (2019, Sept 4-5). Universal learning design startegies to handle children with specific learning disabilities [Paper presentation]. Universal Design for Learning Embedded with Assistive Technology for Children with Special Needs, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India. Retrieved March 13, 2025 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377297544_UNIVERSAL_DESIGN_LEARNING_STRATEGIES_TO_HANDLE_CHILDREN_WITH_SPECIFIC_LEARNING_DISABILITIES

Rao, K., & Meo, G. (2016). Using universal design for learning to design standards-based lessons. Sage Open, 6(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244016680688

Shlasko, D., & Pacheco, R. (2024, March 11). Applying Universal Design for Learning (UDL) supports inclusive education. Learning for Justice. https://www.learningforjustice.org/magazine/applying-universal-design-for-learning-udl-supports-inclusive-education

Hollingsworth, S. M. (2019). Multi-tiered System of Supports as Collective Work: a (Re)structuring Option for Middle Schools. Current Issues in Middle Level Education, 24:(2). https://doi.org/10.20429/cimle.2019.240204