Original Article
ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts
June 2024 5(6), 336–343

TECHNOLOGY-DRIVEN TRENDS IN HOSPITALITY: THE RISE OF SMART HOTELS IN THE DIGITAL ERA

Surendra Singh [1], Monu Sharma [2], Peeyush Raj Bangad[3]

[1]Lecturer, Institute of Hotel Management Bathinda
[2]Assistant Lecturer, Institute of Hotel Management, Bathinda
[3]Assistant Professor, Guru Kashi University

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the integration of smart technologies in the hospitality sector, focusing on customer perceptions, adoption rates, and operational outcomes of smart hotels. Using a mixed-method approach and data from 150 respondents, the research evaluates critical factors influencing the acceptance of smart hotel technologies, including demographic variables, perceived benefits, and barriers. Advanced statistical techniques such as ANOVA, Chi-Square tests, and correlation analysis were applied to test hypotheses and derive meaningful insights. The results reveal that customer satisfaction and operational efficiencies significantly influence the adoption of smart hotel technologies, while challenges like data privacy concerns and high costs impede widespread implementation. The study highlights the role of automation, IoT-enabled services, and AI in enhancing customer experiences and streamlining operations. The findings underscore the need for tailored strategies to address diverse customer preferences and demographic variations, as well as robust solutions to mitigate privacy and cost concerns. This research contributes to both academic literature and practical applications by offering actionable recommendations for hotel operators aiming to maximize the benefits of smart technologies while addressing potential barriers.

Keywords: Smart Hotels, Iot, Hospitality Industry, Customer Satisfaction, Operational Efficiency, Data Privacy, Technology Adoption, Automation

Corresponding Author

Surendra Singh, surenapr83@gmail.com

DOI
10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i6.2024.3912

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The hospitality industry is undergoing a profound transformation driven by the rapid integration of smart technologies. Smart hotels represent a significant leap forward in service delivery, leveraging IoT, AI, and automation to enhance guest experiences and operational efficiencies. These technologies enable personalized services, seamless interactions, and optimized resource management, marking a departure from traditional hospitality practices. By focusing on customer-centric innovations, smart hotels are redefining industry standards and catering to the evolving expectations of tech-savvy travelers. As a result, understanding the impact of these technologies on customer perceptions and business outcomes has become critical for industry stakeholders. A key aspect of smart hotel adoption is its ability to bridge customer expectations with operational capabilities. Features such as automated check-ins, voice-controlled room settings, and energy-efficient systems not only elevate guest satisfaction but also streamline hotel operations. Previous studies (Xu, 2018; Casais & Ferreira, 2023) highlight the dual benefits of smart technologies: enhancing guest

experiences while enabling cost-effective operations. However, challenges such as data privacy concerns, high implementation costs, and varying customer readiness pose significant barriers to adoption. These complexities underline the importance of targeted strategies that address demographic-specific needs and mitigate adoption challenges. This study explores three primary objectives: assessing customer perceptions of smart hotel technologies, evaluating operational efficiencies, and testing key hypotheses concerning technology adoption and customer satisfaction. By analyzing responses from 150 survey participants using advanced statistical tools, this research aims to provide actionable insights for hotel operators and policymakers. The findings contribute to the broader discourse on technology-driven trends in hospitality, offering practical recommendations for overcoming barriers and maximizing the benefits of smart hotel innovations.

The objectives of the study are to:

1. Assess customer perceptions of smart hotel technologies.
2. Evaluate operational efficiencies derived from these technologies.
3. Test hypotheses concerning technology adoption and customer satisfaction.

Hypothesis

Hypothesis 1: There is no significant difference among age groups regarding perceptions of smart hotel technology.
Hypothesis 2: There is no significant association between income levels and willingness to adopt smart hotel services.
Hypothesis 3: There is no significant relationship between customer satisfaction and technology adoption.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Casais and Ferreira (2023) highlighted the significance of smart hotels in achieving sustainable tourism goals. Dalgic and Birdir (2020) identified key technological applications in smart hotels, such as automated check-ins, voice-controlled room settings, and energy-efficient systems. Xu (2018) emphasized the importance of customer service orientation in the successful implementation of smart hotel technologies. Other studies, such as Kim and Han (2020), examined the readiness of consumers for smart technologies, revealing a positive correlation between perceived ease of use and technology acceptance. Teng et al. (2015) integrated altruism and planned behavior theories to predict customer intentions for adopting green hotel services. Despite these advancements, challenges such as privacy concerns (Yang et al., 2021) and high implementation costs (Nam et al., 2021) remain barriers to widespread adoption.

2.1 SMART HOTELS AND CUSTOMER PERCEPTIONS

Customer perceptions play a pivotal role in the adoption of smart hotel technologies. Research by Kim and Han (2020) reveals that perceived ease of use and innovative appeal are key determinants of customer readiness for smart technologies. Guests value features like automated services, personalized interactions, and seamless connectivity, which enhance their overall travel experience. Casais and Ferreira (2023) emphasize the importance of aligning these technological innovations with customer expectations to drive satisfaction and loyalty. However, privacy concerns and data security issues remain critical challenges, as noted by Yang et al. (2021).

2.2 OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCIES THROUGH SMART TECHNOLOGIES

Smart technologies significantly enhance operational efficiencies in the hospitality sector. Automation of routine tasks, such as check-ins, energy management, and maintenance, reduces operational delays and minimizes errors (Dalgic & Birdir, 2020). Xu (2018) highlights that IoT-enabled systems in smart hotels optimize resource utilization, contributing to sustainability goals while improving service quality. The correlation between operational efficiency and customer loyalty underscores the strategic value of adopting these technologies, as operational improvements directly impact guest satisfaction (Han et al., 2018).

2.3 BARRIERS TO ADOPTION

Despite their potential, smart hotel technologies face several adoption barriers. Financial constraints, particularly for small and medium-sized hotels, limit widespread implementation (Nam et al., 2021). Furthermore, privacy concerns and the learning curve associated with new technologies deter some customers from fully embracing these innovations. Teng et al. (2015) argue that addressing these barriers requires robust data security measures, transparent communication, and affordability strategies. These findings underscore the need for tailored approaches that address demographic variations and customer apprehensions.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 RESEARCH DESIGN

This study utilizes a **quantitative research design** to systematically examine customer perceptions, adoption rates, and operational outcomes of smart hotel technologies. The design ensures the collection of measurable data through structured surveys to analyze trends, patterns, and relationships among key variables. This approach allows for hypothesis testing and statistical validation of findings, offering robust insights into the adoption of smart hotel technologies.

3.2 DATA COLLECTION

Data was collected through structured questionnaires distributed to 150 respondents in major metropolitan cities. The selection criteria involved **personal meet-ups with guests in hotel premises**, ensuring a targeted sample of participants who were familiar with smart hotel services. The questionnaire covered demographic details, perceptions of smart technologies, operational efficiencies, and barriers to adoption.

3.3 VARIABLES

The study focuses on the following **key variables**:

1. **DEPENDENT VARIABLES:**
    - Customer satisfaction
    - Willingness to adopt smart hotel services
    - Operational efficiencies
2. **INDEPENDENT VARIABLES:**
    - Demographic factors (e.g., age, income, travel frequency)
    - Perceived benefits and barriers (e.g., convenience, privacy concerns)
    - Technological features (e.g., IoT-enabled services, automation)

3.4 STATISTICAL TOOLS

To analyze the collected data and test the hypotheses, the study employs the following statistical techniques:

1. **ANOVA (Analysis of Variance):** Used to assess differences in perceptions of smart hotel technologies across age groups.
2. **Chi-Square Tests:** Applied to evaluate associations between categorical variables, such as income levels and willingness to adopt smart hotel services.
3. **Correlation Analysis:** Conducted to explore relationships between variables like customer satisfaction, technology adoption, operational efficiency, and customer loyalty.

3.5 SAMPLING

The study uses a **purposive sampling technique**, selecting individuals who are regular travelers and likely to have interacted with smart hotel technologies. This approach ensures relevance and accuracy in the responses. The sample size of **150 respondents** is adequate for statistical analysis and represents a mix of genders, age groups, occupations, and income levels.

3.6 RESEARCH INSTRUMENT

The primary data collection instrument was a **structured questionnaire**, comprising closed-ended questions on a **five-point Likert scale** ranging from "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree." The instrument was divided into sections:

- **Demographics**
- **Perceptions of Smart Hotel Technologies**
- **Operational Efficiencies**
- **Barriers and Challenges**

4. Data Analysis and Results

4.1 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS

This section provides an overview of the respondents' demographic profiles, including gender, age, occupation, monthly income, and travel frequency.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male,	82	54.7%
	Female	68	45.3%
Age	18-25	40	26.7%
	26-35	75	50%
	36+	35	23.3%
Occupation	Student,	45	30%
	Employee	70	46.7%
	Business	35	23.3%
Monthly Income	< 20000	50	33.3%
	20000-50000	70	46.7%
	> 50000	30	20%
Frequency of Travel	Rare	30	20%
	Occasional	70	46.7%
	Frequent	50	33.3%

This table presents the demographic breakdown of the 150 survey respondents, providing insights into their gender, age, occupation, monthly income, and frequency of travel. The majority of respondents were male (54.7%), with females making up 45.3%. Age distribution revealed that 50% of respondents fell within the 26-35 age group, indicating a strong representation of young professionals, while 26.7% were aged 18-25, and 23.3% were aged 36 and above. The occupation category showed that most respondents were employees (46.7%), followed by students (30%) and business owners (23.3%). In terms of income, 46.7% of respondents earned between $2000-$5000 monthly, with 33.3% earning less than $2000 and 20% earning more than $5000. Travel frequency data revealed that 46.7% of respondents traveled occasionally, 33.3% frequently, and 20% rarely, reflecting diverse travel habits suitable for assessing perceptions of smart hotel technologies.

4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE ANALYSIS

This section analyzes survey responses on perceptions of smart hotel technologies, operational efficiencies, and associated barriers and challenges using a five-point Likert scale.

4.2.1 PERCEPTIONS OF SMART HOTEL TECHNOLOGIES

Table 2: Perceptions of Smart Hotel Technologies

Response Category	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Enhance travel experiences	45 (30%)	70 (46.7%)	20 (13.3%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Convenience and time-saving	50 (33.3%)	65 (43.3%)	20 (13.3%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Innovative and appealing	40 (26.7%)	75 (50%)	25 (16.7%)	5 (3.3%)	5 (3.3%)
Improved service quality	35 (23.3%)	80 (53.3%)	20 (13.3%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Preference due to smart features	45 (30%)	70 (46.7%)	20 (13.3%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)

This table evaluates customer perceptions of smart hotel technologies across five dimensions: enhanced travel experiences, convenience and time-saving, innovation and appeal, improved service quality, and preference due to smart features. The results reveal that most respondents either strongly agreed or agreed with these statements. For instance, 76.7% of respondents believed that smart hotel technologies enhanced their travel experiences, and 76.6% found these technologies convenient and time-saving. Similarly, the majority found smart hotels innovative (76.7%) and believed they improved service quality (76.6%). Preferences for hotels with smart features were also high, with 76.7% of respondents expressing agreement. The low disagreement rates across all dimensions highlight widespread positive attitudes toward smart hotel technologies.

4.2.2 Operational Efficiencies in Smart Hotels

Table 3: Operational Efficiencies in Smart Hotels

Response Category	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Reduced check-in/out times	55 (36.7%)	65 (43.3%)	15 (10%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Reduced operational delays	45 (30%)	70 (46.7%)	20 (13.3%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Energy efficiency	50 (33.3%)	60 (40%)	25 (16.7%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Staff focus on satisfaction	40 (26.7%)	70 (46.7%)	25 (16.7%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Minimized human errors	50 (33.3%)	70 (46.7%)	15 (10%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)

This table focuses on the operational benefits of smart hotels, assessing factors like reduced check-in/out times, reduced operational delays, energy efficiency, staff focus on customer satisfaction, and minimized human errors. A significant majority of respondents (80%) agreed that smart hotels reduced check-in and check-out times, while 76.7% noted reduced operational delays. Energy efficiency was recognized as an added value by 73.3% of respondents, indicating that sustainable practices are appreciated by customers. Similarly, 73.4% agreed that staff in smart hotels could focus more on customer satisfaction due to automation. Lastly, 80% of respondents believed that technology in smart hotels helped minimize human errors, underlining the operational advantages of adopting smart solutions.

4.2.3 BARRIERS AND CHALLENGES

Table 4: Barriers and Challenges in Adopting Smart Hotel Technologies

Response Category	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Privacy concerns	60 (40%)	55 (36.7%)	20 (13.3%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Higher costs	50 (33.3%)	60 (40%)	25 (16.7%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)
Data security worries	65 (43.3%)	55 (36.7%)	20 (13.3%)	5 (3.3%)	5 (3.3%)
Learning inconvenience	45 (30%)	60 (40%)	25 (16.7%)	15 (10%)	5 (3.3%)
Usefulness varies among users	50 (33.3%)	65 (43.3%)	20 (13.3%)	10 (6.7%)	5 (3.3%)

This table addresses potential challenges and barriers to adopting smart hotel technologies, such as privacy concerns, higher costs, data security worries, learning inconvenience, and varying usefulness among customers. Privacy concerns emerged as a major issue, with 76.7% of respondents expressing agreement. Additionally, 73.3% noted that higher costs could deter adoption, and 80% raised concerns about data security. Learning inconvenience was recognized as a challenge by 70%, while 76.6% agreed that the usefulness of smart features might vary among different users. These findings highlight the importance of addressing cost, privacy, and usability issues to ensure broader acceptance of smart hotel technologies.

4.3 HYPOTHESIS TESTING

This section examines the relationship between demographic and perceptual variables using statistical methods like ANOVA, Chi-Square tests, and correlation analysis to test the stated hypotheses.

Hypothesis 1: Impact of Age Groups on Perceptions of Smart Hotel Technology

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** There is no significant difference among age groups regarding perceptions of smart hotel technology.
- **Alternate Hypothesis (H_1):** There is a significant difference among age groups regarding perceptions of smart hotel technology.

Table 5: ANOVA Analysis of Age Groups and Perceptions of Smart Hotel Technology

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-Value	Sig. (p-value)	Effect Size	Power (%)
Between Groups	12.35	2	6.18	8.72	0.000	0.25	99%
Within Groups	104.67	147	0.71	-	-	-	-
Total	117.02	149	-	-	-	-	-

This table presents the results of an ANOVA test evaluating whether perceptions of smart hotel technologies differ across age groups. The analysis revealed a significant F-value of 8.72 and a p-value of 0.000, indicating a statistically significant difference among the age groups. The effect size of 0.25 suggests a moderate impact, while the power of 99% confirms the reliability of the results. This finding supports the hypothesis that age influences customer perceptions, underscoring the need for age-specific strategies in promoting smart hotels.

Hypothesis 2: Association Between Income Levels and Willingness to Adopt Smart Hotel Services

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** There is no significant association between income levels and willingness to adopt smart hotel services.
- **Alternate Hypothesis (H_1):** There is a significant association between income levels and willingness to adopt smart hotel services.

Table 6: Chi-Square Test of Income Levels and Willingness to Adopt Smart Hotel Services

Variables	Chi-Square	df	Sig. (p-value)	Observed Frequency	Expected Frequency	Residual	Standardized Residual
Income vs. Adoption	15.89	2	0.001	120	115	5	0.78

This table highlights the association between income levels and the willingness to adopt smart hotel technologies using a Chi-Square test. The significant p-value (0.001) confirms a strong relationship, indicating that income levels significantly influence adoption decisions. Observed and expected frequencies align closely, with a residual of 5 and a standardized residual of 0.78. These findings suggest that affordability and financial capacity are crucial factors in determining customer interest in smart hotel services.

Hypothesis 3: Relationship Between Customer Satisfaction and Technology Adoption

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** There is no significant relationship between customer satisfaction and technology adoption.
- **Alternate Hypothesis (H_1):** There is a significant positive relationship between customer satisfaction and technology adoption.

Table 7: Correlation Analysis of Customer Satisfaction and Technology Adoption

Variables	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Sig. (p-value)	Sample Size (N)	Mean	Standard Deviation	Variance	Confidence Interval (95%)
Customer Satisfaction vs. Tech Adoption	0.76	0.000	150	4.2	0.85	0.72	[0.68, 0.84]
Operational Efficiency vs. Customer Loyalty	0.62	0.000	150	4.1	0.90	0.81	[0.55, 0.70]

This table examines the relationship between customer satisfaction, technology adoption, operational efficiency, and customer loyalty. A strong positive correlation (r = 0.76) between customer satisfaction and technology adoption was observed, with a significant p-value (0.000). Similarly, operational efficiency showed a positive correlation (r = 0.62) with customer loyalty, emphasizing the role of efficient services in retaining customers. Confidence intervals further validate these relationships, underscoring the importance of customer satisfaction and operational excellence for successful adoption of smart hotel technologies.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study underscore the transformative role of smart technologies in the hospitality industry, particularly in enhancing customer experiences and operational efficiencies. The demographic analysis revealed significant trends among age groups and income levels, highlighting varying levels of interest and adoption. For instance, the 26-35 age group emerged as the most receptive demographic, indicating a preference for convenience and innovation-driven solutions in hospitality (Kim & Han, 2020). Additionally, income levels were strongly associated with willingness to adopt smart technologies, suggesting affordability as a critical determinant in customer decision-making. This aligns with Nam et al. (2021), who identified financial barriers as a significant obstacle to the widespread adoption of smart hotel solutions. Customer perceptions of smart hotel technologies are overwhelmingly positive, with respondents emphasizing enhanced travel experiences, time-saving convenience, and innovative appeal. Over 76% of participants agreed that smart hotels improved service quality, echoing findings by Xu (2018), which stress the importance of service orientation in smart hotel adoption. Features like automated check-ins and voice-controlled room settings were especially valued for their ability to streamline processes and personalize experiences. These insights suggest that smart hotels are well-positioned to cater to the evolving expectations of tech-savvy travelers, who prioritize efficiency and customization in their hospitality experiences (Casais & Ferreira, 2023).

Operational efficiencies derived from smart hotel technologies emerged as another crucial factor in customer satisfaction and loyalty. Respondents acknowledged the significant reduction in check-in/out times, minimized operational delays, and enhanced staff focus on customer satisfaction due to automation. Such benefits align with prior research highlighting

the operational advantages of smart hotels in terms of energy efficiency and error reduction (Dalgic & Birdir, 2020). For instance, 80% of respondents agreed that automated systems helped reduce human errors, reinforcing the operational reliability of these technologies. As noted by Han et al. (2018), the integration of efficient systems not only optimizes hotel operations but also strengthens customer loyalty by meeting high service standards. Despite these positive perceptions, barriers to adoption remain significant, particularly concerning privacy concerns and data security. Over 76% of respondents expressed worries about data privacy, aligning with Yang et al. (2021), who identified such concerns as critical obstacles in the adoption of smart technologies. Additionally, high implementation costs were noted as a deterrent by 73% of participants, highlighting the financial challenges faced by both consumers and hoteliers. Addressing these concerns requires a balanced approach that includes robust data protection measures and affordable solutions to make smart hotel technologies accessible to a broader audience. The strong correlations observed in hypothesis testing emphasize the importance of customer satisfaction and operational efficiency as key drivers of technology adoption. A correlation coefficient of 0.76 between customer satisfaction and technology adoption underscores the necessity of prioritizing customer-centric approaches in implementing smart technologies. Similarly, the link between operational efficiency and customer loyalty ($r = 0.62$) highlights the strategic importance of optimizing hotel operations to retain customers. These findings align with prior studies, such as those by Teng et al. (2015), which emphasize the role of perceived ease of use and efficiency in shaping customer intentions to adopt innovative solutions.

6. CONCLUSION

Smart hotel technologies are redefining the hospitality landscape, offering unprecedented levels of personalization, efficiency, and customer satisfaction. This study provides empirical evidence demonstrating the critical role of these technologies in enhancing customer experiences and operational outcomes. The findings highlight that younger demographics, particularly those aged 26-35, are more receptive to adopting smart hotel features, driven by their preference for convenience and innovation. However, barriers such as privacy concerns and high implementation costs remain significant challenges that require urgent attention. Operational benefits, such as reduced check-in/out times, enhanced energy efficiency, and error minimization, were recognized as key contributors to customer loyalty. Moreover, strong correlations between customer satisfaction, technology adoption, and operational efficiency underscore the strategic importance of a customer-centric approach in the adoption of smart hotel technologies. Policymakers and hotel operators are encouraged to prioritize robust data security measures and affordability strategies to address these barriers effectively. This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on technology-driven trends in the hospitality sector, offering valuable insights for academics and practitioners alike. By emphasizing the alignment of customer needs with innovative solutions, the research lays the foundation for sustainable growth in the adoption of smart hotel technologies, ensuring their relevance in a rapidly evolving industry.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Ainscow, M. (2020). Promoting inclusion and equity in education: lessons from international experiences. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy, 6*(1), 7-16.

Aktan, C. (2007). Global higher education trends and transformation paradigms. In *Higher Education in the Age of Change* (pp. 1-43). Yaşar University Publishing.

Alwan, M., & Alshurideh, M. (2022). The effect of digital marketing on purchase intention: Moderating effect of brand equity. *International Journal of Data and Network Science, 6*(3), 837-848.

Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2009). *Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution.* Paris: UNESCO.

Baker, M. A., Davis, E. A., & Weaver, P. A. (2014). Eco-friendly attitudes, barriers to participation, and differences in behavior at green hotels. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly, 55*(1), 89-99.

Bercovitz, J., & Feldman, M. (2006). Entrepreneurial universities and technology transfer: A conceptual framework for understanding the knowledge-based economy. *Journal of Technology Transfer, 31*(2), 175-188.

Bok, D. (2007). *Higher education in America.* United States of America.

Brown, G. (2001). Assessment: A guide for lecturers. New York: *Generic Centre: Learning and Teaching Support Network.*

Brown, S., Race, P., & Smith, B. (1996). *500 tips on assessment.* Milton Park: Routledge Falmer.

Casais, B., & Ferreira, L. (2023). Smart and sustainable hotels: tourism agenda 2030 perspective article. *Tourism Review, 78*(2), 344-351.

Chen, M.-F., & Tung, P.-J. (2014). Developing an extended theory of planned behavior model to predict consumers' intention to visit green hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 36,* 221-230.

Choi, H., Jang, J., & Kandampully, J. (2015). Application of the extended VBN theory to understand consumers' decisions about green hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 51,* 87-95.

Dalgic, A., & Birdir, K. (2020). Smart hotels and technological applications. In *Handbook of Research on Smart Technology Applications in the Tourism Industry* (pp. 323-343). IGI Global.

Han, H., & Kim, Y. (2010). An investigation of green hotel customers' decision formation: Developing an extended model of the theory of planned behavior. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 29*(4), 659-668.

Han, H., & Yoon, H. J. (2015). Hotel customers' environmentally responsible behavioral intention: Impact of key constructs on decision in green consumerism. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 45,* 22-33.

Han, H., Lee, J.-S., Trang, H. L. T., & Kim, W. (2018). Water conservation and waste reduction management for increasing guest loyalty and green hotel practices. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 75,* 58-66.

Ilina, E. L., Miloradov, K. A., & Kovaltchuk, A. P. (2019). Green hotel: Concepts and implementation. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10*(2), 300-306.

Kang, K. H., Stein, L., Heo, C. Y., & Lee, S. (2012). Consumers' willingness to pay for green initiatives of the hotel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 31*(2), 564-572.

Kim, J. J., & Han, H. (2020). Hotel service innovation with smart technologies: Exploring consumers' readiness and behaviors. *Sustainability, 14*(10), 5746.

Kumar, N., Aggarwal, S., & Raj, P. (2021). *The blockchain technology for secure and smart applications across industry verticals.* Academic Press.

Laroche, M., Bergeron, J., & Barbaro-Forleo, G. (2001). Targeting consumers who are willing to pay more for environmentally friendly products. *Journal of Consumer Marketing, 18*(6), 503-520.

Lee, J.-S., Hsu, L.-T., Han, H., & Kim, Y. (2010). Understanding how consumers view green hotels: How a hotel's green image can influence behavioral intentions. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 18*(7), 901-914.

Manaktola, K., & Jauhari, V. (2007). Exploring consumer attitude and behavior towards green practices in the lodging industry in India. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 19*(5), 364-377.

Martín-Martín, A., Thelwall, M., Orduna-Malea, E., & López-Cózar, E. D. (2021). Google Scholar, Microsoft Academic, Scopus, Dimensions, Web of Science, and OpenCitations' COCI: A multidisciplinary comparison of coverage via citations. *Scientometrics, 126*(1), 871-906.

Nam, K., Dutt, C. S., Chathoth, P., Daghfous, A., & Khan, M. S. (2021). The adoption of artificial intelligence and robotics in the hotel industry: Prospects and challenges. *Electronic Markets, 31,* 553-574.

Narayan, R., Gehlot, A., Singh, R., Akram, S. V., Priyadarshi, N., & Twala, B. (2022). Hospitality feedback system 4.0: Digitalization of feedback system with integration of Industry 4.0 enabling technologies. *Sustainability, 14*(19), 12158.

Prud'homme, B., & Raymond, L. (2013). Sustainable development practices in the hospitality industry: An empirical study of their impact on customer satisfaction and intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 34,* 116-126.

Rahman, I., & Reynolds, D. (2016). Predicting green hotel behavioral intentions using a theory of environmental commitment and sacrifice for the environment. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 52,* 107-116.

Roberts, J. A. (1996). Green consumers in the 1990s: Profile and implications for advertising. *Journal of Business Research, 36*(3), 217-231.

Robinot, E., & Giannelloni, J. L. (2010). Do hotels' "green" attributes contribute to customer satisfaction? *Journal of Services Marketing, 24*(2), 157-169.

Stern, P. C. (2000). New environmental theories: Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues, 56*(3), 407-424.

Teng, Y.-M., Wu, K.-S., & Liu, H.-H. (2015). Integrating altruism and the theory of planned behavior to predict patronage intention of a green hotel. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research, 39*(3), 299-315.

Wider, W., Fauzi, M. A., Gan, S. W., Yap, C. C., Khadri, M. W. A. B. A., & Maidin, S. S. (2023). A bibliometric analysis of emerging adulthood in the context of higher education institutions. *Heliyon, 9*(6), e16988.

Xu, X. (2018). Research on the construction and development of smart hotels from the perspective of serving customers. In *2018 2nd International Conference on Education Science and Economic Management (ICESEM 2018).* Atlantis Press.

Yang, H., Song, H., Cheung, C., & Guan, J. (2021). How to enhance hotel guests' acceptance and experience of smart hotel technology: An examination of visiting intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 97,* Article 103000.