

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF HIGH-INTENSITY INTERVAL TRAINING (HIIT) ON CARDIOVASCULAR FITNESS IN YOUTH ATHLETES

Dr. Tushar Dhar Shukla ¹

¹ Assistant Professor, University Institute of Physical Education & Sports, Chandigarh University, Mohali-140413, Punjab, India



DOI

[10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.1628](https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.1628)

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Copyright: © 2021 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.



ABSTRACT

This study explores how High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) compares to traditional endurance training in improving cardiovascular fitness among youth athletes. By examining key performance metrics such as VO2 max, heart rate variability, and recovery times, alongside health indicators like body composition and blood pressure, this research aims to highlight the benefits and potential drawbacks of incorporating HIIT into training programs for young athletes. The results could influence the optimization of training strategies and enhance athletic performance in youth.

Keywords: High-Intensity Interval Training, Cardiovascular Fitness, Youth Athletes, Traditional Endurance Training, Performance Metrics, Vo2 Max, Heart Rate Variability, Training Strategies, Athletic Performance

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. BACKGROUND

Cardiovascular fitness is crucial for athletic performance, particularly in sports that require sustained effort. It reflects the efficiency of the heart, lungs, and circulatory system in delivering oxygen to muscles during extended physical activities, essential for both peak performance and recovery. For young athletes, building cardiovascular fitness is vital for competitive success and long-term health.

Traditionally, endurance training—characterized by continuous, moderate-intensity exercise—has been the standard approach for enhancing cardiovascular fitness. This method is well-supported by literature and has been integral to the training regimens of endurance athletes. Endurance training is known for improving VO2 max, cardiovascular efficiency, and aerobic capacity.

Recently, High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) has gained attention as a potentially more effective and efficient alternative. HIIT involves short bursts of intense exercise followed by recovery periods. This method may provide comparable or even superior cardiovascular benefits in less time than traditional endurance training. HIIT's growing popularity is due to its efficiency and adaptability, offering significant benefits within a shorter training duration.

How to cite this article (APA): Shukla, T. D. (2023). The Effectiveness of High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) on Cardiovascular Fitness in Youth Athletes. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 4(2), 4239–4246. doi: 10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.1628

4239

Given that youth athletes often have limited training time due to academic and other commitments, HIIT presents a promising option. Despite its rising popularity, the scientific community continues to debate its effectiveness compared to traditional endurance training, especially for youth athletes. Understanding HIIT's benefits and limitations is crucial for optimizing training programs and helping young athletes reach their full potential.

1.2. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The primary goal of this study is to compare the effectiveness of High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) with traditional endurance training in improving cardiovascular fitness among youth athletes. This research will assess the impact of both training methods on key metrics such as VO2 max, heart rate variability, lactate threshold, and overall aerobic capacity. By analysing these indicators, the study aims to determine if HIIT can serve as a superior alternative to traditional endurance training for youth sports.

Additionally, the study will examine the broader implications of incorporating HIIT into youth training programs, including potential benefits related to time efficiency, adaptability to various sports, and overall performance enhancement. The research will also consider any associated risks, such as increased injury rates or overtraining, particularly in developing youth populations.

The findings are intended to provide evidence-based recommendations for coaches, trainers, and sports scientists working with youth athletes, guiding them in selecting effective training strategies to improve cardiovascular fitness and support long-term athletic development.

1.3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND HYPOTHESES

Research Question: How does High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) compare to traditional endurance training in terms of its impact on cardiovascular fitness in youth athletes?

Hypothesis: It is hypothesized that HIIT will result in more significant improvements in cardiovascular fitness, as measured by VO2 max, heart rate variability, and lactate threshold, compared to traditional endurance training in youth athletes. Furthermore, HIIT is expected to provide time-efficient benefits without negatively affecting the athletes' overall health and performance.

Sub-Hypotheses

- 1) VO2 Max Improvement: HIIT will produce a more substantial increase in VO2 max compared to traditional endurance training.
- 2) Heart Rate Variability (HRV): Athletes participating in HIIT will show greater improvements in heart rate variability, reflecting enhanced autonomic regulation and recovery.
- 3) Lactate Threshold: HIIT will elevate the lactate threshold more effectively, enabling athletes to perform at higher intensities for longer durations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. CARDIOVASCULAR FITNESS IN YOUTH ATHLETES

Cardiovascular fitness plays a crucial role in athletic performance, particularly in sports demanding sustained physical effort, speed, and efficiency. It is defined as the body's ability to transport and utilize oxygen during extended physical activities, impacting endurance, stamina, and overall athletic ability. For youth athletes, enhancing cardiovascular fitness is essential for both immediate sports performance and the promotion of long-term health and fitness habits.

Literature underscores the need for training programs specifically designed for the developmental stages of youth athletes. This is important because children and adolescents experience different physiological responses to exercise compared to adults. As youth athletes undergo rapid growth and their cardiovascular and musculoskeletal systems mature, training programs need to be carefully designed to maximize benefits and minimize the risk of injury or overtraining.

Furthermore, cardiovascular fitness in youth is linked to various health benefits, including a lower risk of obesity, cardiovascular diseases, and metabolic disorders in later life. Research emphasizes the significance of early intervention through appropriate training, which not only benefits sports performance but also contributes to overall health and quality of life. Regular physical activity is associated with better mental health outcomes as well, including improved mood and cognitive function, and reduced symptoms of anxiety and depression in young athletes.

Moreover, cardiovascular fitness in youth is linked to various health outcomes, including reduced risk of obesity, cardiovascular diseases, and metabolic disorders later in life. The importance of early intervention through appropriate training programs is highlighted by studies that show the long-term benefits of cardiovascular fitness developed during youth. These benefits extend beyond sports, contributing to overall health and quality of life. The literature also points to the role of cardiovascular fitness in mental health, with regular physical activity being associated with improved mood, cognitive function, and reduced symptoms of anxiety and depression in young athletes.

2.2. HIGH-INTENSITY INTERVAL TRAINING (HIIT)

High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) involves alternating between short periods of intense exercise and periods of rest or low-intensity recovery. During the high-intensity phases, exercise typically exceeds 85% of an individual's maximum heart rate, resulting in significant physiological adaptations. HIIT has become popular due to its efficiency in improving cardiovascular fitness, especially for those with limited time for exercise.

Studies show that HIIT leads to considerable improvements in VO₂ max, a key indicator of cardiovascular fitness that measures the maximum amount of oxygen the body can utilize during intense exercise. HIIT is also associated with enhanced metabolic efficiency, increased mitochondrial density, and better insulin sensitivity, contributing to overall cardiovascular health.

Research across various populations, including adults and adolescents, supports the effectiveness of HIIT in enhancing cardiovascular fitness and health markers. HIIT has been shown to improve aerobic capacity even in individuals who already engage in regular endurance training. Additionally, HIIT enhances cardiovascular function by increasing stroke volume and cardiac output, which supports better performance and endurance.

However, research specifically targeting youth athletes is still developing. Preliminary studies suggest that HIIT could offer benefits similar to or exceeding those of traditional endurance training. Nonetheless, more research is needed to fully understand HIIT's long-term effects, optimal intensity levels, and potential risks for youth athletes, given their unique developmental considerations.

2.3. TRADITIONAL ENDURANCE TRAINING

Traditional endurance training involves continuous exercise at a moderate intensity, usually between 60% and 75% of an individual's maximum heart rate. This approach has long been the foundation of athletic conditioning, particularly for sports that require sustained energy, such as long-distance running, cycling, and swimming.

The effectiveness of endurance training in improving cardiovascular fitness is well-documented. This training leads to increased VO₂ max, improved cardiac output, and enhanced oxygen delivery and utilization by muscles. Long-term endurance training results in greater capillary density, improved mitochondrial function, and increased aerobic capacity, all contributing to better endurance performance.

Endurance training also has positive effects on overall cardiovascular health. It is associated with lower blood pressure, improved lipid profiles, and reduced risk of cardiovascular diseases. Additionally, it supports weight management and metabolic health, making it a vital part of training for athletes and non-athletes alike.

For youth athletes, traditional endurance training is widely used and supported by substantial evidence. It effectively builds aerobic capacity and establishes a solid cardiovascular foundation. However, the time demands and the need for sustained motivation can be challenging for young athletes, who often balance training with academic and other extracurricular activities.

2.4. COMPARISON OF HIIT AND TRADITIONAL ENDURANCE TRAINING

Both HIIT and traditional endurance training improve cardiovascular fitness, but through different physiological mechanisms. Traditional endurance training involves sustained, moderate-intensity exercise to build aerobic capacity over time, while HIIT relies on brief, intense activity bursts to achieve similar or superior improvements in a shorter duration.

Comparative studies suggest that HIIT can produce comparable or even superior gains in VO₂ max and other cardiovascular fitness markers relative to traditional endurance training. Some research indicates that HIIT can lead to more rapid and significant improvements in aerobic capacity, metabolic efficiency, and cardiovascular function. This is attributed to the high intensity of HIIT intervals, which stress the cardiovascular system more intensely, prompting faster physiological adaptations.

Nevertheless, the comparative effectiveness of HIIT and traditional endurance training for youth athletes is not thoroughly researched. Existing evidence suggests that HIIT can be an effective alternative for improving cardiovascular fitness in youth, but more studies are needed to explore its long-term effects and safety. Specifically, research should focus on determining the optimal intensity and duration of HIIT sessions for youth athletes and addressing potential risks such as overtraining and injury.

Additionally, the psychological impact of HIIT versus traditional endurance training on young athletes warrants further investigation. The intense nature of HIIT may present psychological challenges, potentially affecting adherence and long-term commitment. Conversely, HIIT's time efficiency might be appealing to young athletes with busy schedules, making it a potentially more sustainable training option.

This review highlights the need for further research to directly compare HIIT and traditional endurance training in youth athletes. Addressing these research gaps will provide clearer guidance on effective training strategies for enhancing cardiovascular fitness in this demographic.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. PARTICIPANTS

The study involved 60 youth athletes, aged 12-18 years, equally divided by gender. These athletes were engaged in sports requiring cardiovascular endurance, such as soccer, basketball, and track and field. Prior to inclusion, participants underwent a screening process that assessed their regular participation in organized sports and baseline fitness levels. This pre-test assessment included measurements of VO₂ max, heart rate variability (HRV), and lactate threshold. The final sample was comprised of 30 athletes assigned to the High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) group and 30 to the traditional endurance training group.

3.2. RESEARCH DESIGN

An experimental design was utilized to evaluate the effectiveness of the two training methods. Participants were randomly assigned to one of two training regimens:

- **HIIT Group:** Participants in this group engaged in high-intensity interval training, featuring 30-second intervals of maximum effort exercises (e.g., sprinting or cycling) followed by 1-minute low-intensity recovery periods (e.g., walking or slow pedaling). Each session, including warm-up and cool-down, lasted approximately 20 minutes. The training regimen was performed three times per week for a duration of 8 weeks.
- **Traditional Endurance Group:** This group participated in continuous moderate-intensity exercise, such as jogging or cycling, for 30 minutes per session. The intensity was maintained at 60-70% of the participant's maximum heart rate. Like the HIIT group, training was conducted three times per week over the 8-week period.

3.3. DATA COLLECTION

Cardiovascular fitness metrics were assessed at two points: before (Week 0) and after (Week 8) the training period:

- **VO2 Max:** This was measured using a graded exercise test on a treadmill or cycle ergometer. At baseline, average VO2 max values were 45 ml/kg/min for the HIIT group and 46 ml/kg/min for the traditional endurance group.
- **Heart Rate Variability (HRV):** HRV was monitored using a heart rate monitor over a 24-hour period. The average baseline HRV was 55 ms for the HIIT group and 54 ms for the traditional endurance group.
- **Lactate Threshold:** This was assessed through a lactate testing protocol during incremental exercise tests. Baseline lactate thresholds were 4.2 mmol/L for the HIIT group and 4.3 mmol/L for the traditional endurance group.

Training adherence was recorded using wearable devices that tracked session duration and intensity. Adherence rates were high, with both groups maintaining a 95% adherence rate and experiencing minimal dropout.

3.4. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

To evaluate the effectiveness of HIIT versus traditional endurance training, the following statistical analyses were conducted:

- **Paired t-tests:** These were used to compare the pre- and post-training changes in VO2 max, HRV, and lactate threshold within each group.
- **ANOVA:** This analysis assessed differences between the HIIT and traditional endurance training groups in terms of changes in cardiovascular fitness metrics.
- **Effect Size Calculations (Cohen's d):** These were performed to evaluate the magnitude of differences between the two training groups.

4. RESULTS

4.1. BASELINE COMPARISONS

At the beginning of the study, cardiovascular fitness metrics were similar between the HIIT and traditional endurance training groups:

- **VO2 Max:** The HIIT group had a baseline VO2 max of 45.0 ± 3.5 ml/kg/min, while the traditional endurance group had a baseline VO2 max of 46.0 ± 3.8 ml/kg/min. The difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), indicating comparable initial cardiovascular fitness between the groups.
- **Heart Rate Variability (HRV):** The HIIT group's baseline HRV was 55.0 ± 8.2 ms, compared to 54.0 ± 7.9 ms in the traditional endurance group. This difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), suggesting similar baseline autonomic function.
- **Lactate Threshold:** At baseline, the HIIT group's lactate threshold was 4.2 ± 0.6 mmol/L, and the traditional endurance group's lactate threshold was 4.3 ± 0.7 mmol/L. The difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), confirming similar baseline values.

4.2. POST-TRAINING OUTCOMES

The analysis of post-training data highlighted the following results:

- **VO2 Max:** The HIIT group experienced a significant increase in VO2 max, rising from 45.0 ± 3.5 ml/kg/min to 48.6 ± 3.2 ml/kg/min ($p < 0.01$). The traditional endurance group's VO2 max improved from 46.0 ± 3.8 ml/kg/min to 48.8 ± 3.6 ml/kg/min ($p < 0.01$). The difference in improvements between the groups was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), with the HIIT group showing a greater enhancement.
- **Heart Rate Variability (HRV):** The HIIT group saw an increase in HRV from 55.0 ± 8.2 ms to 61.6 ± 7.5 ms ($p < 0.01$). The traditional endurance group's HRV improved from 54.0 ± 7.9 ms to 59.4 ± 8.1 ms ($p < 0.01$). There was no significant difference between the groups in HRV improvements ($p > 0.05$).
- **Lactate Threshold:** The lactate threshold in the HIIT group increased from 4.2 ± 0.6 mmol/L to 4.83 ± 0.5 mmol/L ($p < 0.01$). For the traditional endurance group, the lactate threshold improved from 4.3 ± 0.7 mmol/L

to 4.73 ± 0.6 mmol/L ($p < 0.01$). The difference in improvements between the groups was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), with the HIIT group showing a greater increase.

4.3. ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

- **Perceived Exertion:** The HIIT group reported higher perceived exertion levels compared to the traditional endurance group. On a scale of 1-10, the HIIT group had an average perceived exertion of 8.2 ± 1.1 , while the traditional endurance group averaged 6.9 ± 1.2 . This difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), reflecting the higher intensity of HIIT sessions.
- **Recovery Times:** Recovery times, defined as the duration for heart rate to return to baseline post-exercise, were longer for the HIIT group. The average recovery time was 6.5 ± 1.0 minutes for the HIIT participants, compared to 5.0 ± 0.8 minutes for the traditional endurance participants ($p < 0.01$).
- **Injury Rates:** The injury rate was lower in the HIIT group, with 2 reported injuries compared to 5 in the traditional endurance group. However, this difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), indicating that the risk of injury may be similar between the two training methods.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

This study provides important insights into the comparative effectiveness of High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) versus traditional endurance training for improving cardiovascular fitness among youth athletes.

- **VO2 Max Improvements:** The results indicate that HIIT led to a significantly greater increase in VO2 max compared to traditional endurance training. This suggests that HIIT is more effective at enhancing maximal oxygen uptake, which is critical for both athletic performance and endurance. The higher intensity intervals characteristic of HIIT likely induce more substantial cardiovascular and respiratory adaptations, resulting in more pronounced improvements in VO2 max.
- **Lactate Threshold:** The HIIT group demonstrated a more significant increase in lactate threshold compared to the traditional endurance group. A higher lactate threshold enables athletes to maintain higher exercise intensities before experiencing lactate accumulation, which is crucial for high-intensity sports. This finding highlights the effectiveness of HIIT in enhancing the body's ability to perform at elevated intensities.
- **Heart Rate Variability (HRV):** Both HIIT and traditional endurance training resulted in improvements in HRV, which reflects autonomic nervous system function and recovery. However, there was no significant difference between the groups, indicating that both training modalities positively impact recovery and autonomic regulation. This suggests that while HIIT may offer superior benefits for certain cardiovascular metrics, it does not necessarily impair recovery compared to traditional endurance training.
- **Perceived Exertion and Recovery Times:** Participants in the HIIT group reported higher levels of perceived exertion and had longer recovery times compared to those in the traditional endurance group. This is consistent with the higher intensity and shorter rest intervals inherent to HIIT. The increased perceived exertion underscores the demanding nature of HIIT, necessitating careful management to avoid overtraining and sustain motivation among young athletes.

5.2. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

The study's findings have several practical implications for designing youth training programs:

- **Efficiency and Effectiveness:** HIIT's ability to significantly improve VO2 max and lactate threshold in a shorter timeframe makes it a highly efficient training method. Coaches and trainers might integrate HIIT into training regimens to maximize cardiovascular improvements, particularly when time is limited.
- **Program Design:** Although HIIT is effective, it is crucial to balance intensity with adequate recovery. The higher perceived exertion and longer recovery times associated with HIIT suggest that careful monitoring and periodization are essential to optimize training outcomes and avoid fatigue.

- **Adaptability:** HIIT can be tailored to various sports and fitness levels. Trainers should customize HIIT protocols to align with the specific demands of different sports and individual athlete needs, ensuring the training remains effective and safe.
- **Youth Athlete Considerations:** Given the high intensity of HIIT, it is important to ensure that young athletes are properly prepared and supervised. Incorporating appropriate warm-up, cooldown, and recovery strategies into HIIT programs can help mitigate injury risk and promote long-term engagement.

5.3. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Several limitations must be considered when interpreting the study's results:

- **Sample Size:** The study's sample size of 60 participants may limit statistical power and the generalizability of the findings. Larger samples could provide more robust data and enhance the reliability of the conclusions.
- **Duration:** An 8-week training period may capture initial adaptations but may not reflect the long-term effects of HIIT. Extended studies are needed to evaluate the sustainability of HIIT benefits and its impact on performance over longer durations.
- **Generalizability:** The study focused on youth athletes involved in specific sports requiring cardiovascular endurance. Future research should investigate HIIT in various sports disciplines and age groups to determine its broader applicability.
- **Injury Rates and Psychological Impact:** While injury rates were lower in the HIIT group, the study did not extensively explore psychological impacts and injury mechanisms. Further research should address these aspects to provide a comprehensive understanding of HIIT's effects.
- **Long-Term Effects:** Future studies should explore the long-term impacts of HIIT on cardiovascular fitness, overall performance, and health. Additionally, examining HIIT's effects on other performance metrics, such as strength, speed, and skill development, could offer further insights into its effectiveness as a training modality.

6. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that High-Intensity Interval Training (HIIT) is an effective method for enhancing cardiovascular fitness in youth athletes. The key findings suggest that HIIT results in greater improvements in VO2 max and lactate threshold compared to traditional endurance training. These enhancements indicate that HIIT can be a valuable addition to training programs, providing substantial benefits in a relatively short timeframe.

7. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- **VO2 Max:** HIIT resulted in a significantly greater increase in VO2 max compared to traditional endurance training, highlighting its effectiveness in improving maximal aerobic capacity.
- **Lactate Threshold:** The HIIT group showed more substantial improvements in lactate threshold, which is vital for sustaining higher exercise intensities and boosting performance in high-intensity sports.
- **Heart Rate Variability:** Both HIIT and traditional endurance training positively influenced HRV, suggesting improvements in recovery and autonomic function. However, HIIT's greater perceived exertion and longer recovery times underscore the need for careful management.

8. CALL FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

While this study provides valuable insights into the benefits of HIIT, additional research is needed to explore its long-term effects and broader applicability. Future studies should involve larger sample sizes, longer training periods, and diverse sports disciplines to enhance the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, investigating HIIT's impact on other performance metrics, injury rates, and psychological factors will offer a more comprehensive understanding of its benefits and limitations.

9. PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION

Integrating HIIT into youth sports training programs can offer significant benefits. Coaches and trainers should consider incorporating HIIT to enhance cardiovascular fitness, especially when time efficiency is crucial. However, it is essential to design HIIT protocols that ensure adequate recovery and align with the intensity levels appropriate for young athletes.

In summary, HIIT represents a promising approach to optimizing cardiovascular fitness in youth athletes, with the potential to improve athletic performance and overall health. By adopting this training method while balancing its demands and ensuring well-structured program design, coaches and trainers can achieve improved outcomes for young athletes across various sports.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

- American College of Sports Medicine. (2018). *ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription* (10th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.
- Burgomaster, K. A., Howarth, K. R., Phillips, S. M., Rakobowchuk, M., MacDonald, M. J., & Gibala, M. J. (2008). Similar metabolic adaptations during exercise after low volume sprint interval and traditional endurance training in humans. *The Journal of Physiology*, 586(1), 151-160. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.142109>
- Gibala, M. J., Little, J. P., MacDonald, M. J., & Hawley, J. A. (2012). Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high-intensity interval training in health and disease. *The Journal of Physiology*, 590(5), 1077-1084. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.224725>
- Kemi, O. J., & Wisloff, U. (2010). High-intensity aerobic exercise training improves cardiovascular health. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*, 17(1), 60-65. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741826709347952>
- MacIntyre, T. E., & Moran, A. P. (2011). *Sport and exercise psychology: A critical introduction*. Routledge.
- Morrissey, M. C., & Drew, R. E. (2020). The effects of high-intensity interval training on cardiovascular fitness in adolescents: A systematic review. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 19(3), 482-490. <https://doi.org/10.52082/jssm.2020.482>
- Ribeiro, F., Bortolotto, L. A., & Lemos, C. (2019). Comparative effects of high-intensity interval training and moderate-intensity continuous training on fitness and health: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 22(2), 122-128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2018.06.009>
- Schoenfeld, B. J. (2010). The mechanisms of muscle hypertrophy and their application to resistance training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 24(10), 2857-2872. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e840f3>
- Weston, M., Wisløff, U., & Coombes, J. S. (2014). High-intensity interval training in patients with lifestyle-induced chronic disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 48(16), 1227-1235. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-093151>
- Zhang, Y., & Zhang, X. (2022). The effects of high-intensity interval training on cardiovascular health in youth athletes: A review of recent literature. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 17(1), 21-32. <https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.17.1.21>